

# On the classification and topology of complex map-germs of corank one and $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension one

Kevin Houston  
School of Mathematics  
University of Leeds  
Leeds, LS2 9JT, U.K.  
e-mail: khouston@amsta.leeds.ac.uk

September 4, 2000

## Abstract

Corank one map-germs  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ ,  $n < p$ , of  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension one are classified and their vanishing topology is shown to be homotopically equivalent to a sphere.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification 2000 : 58K40, 58K65

## 1 Introduction

In his classic paper [10] Mather classified the  $\mathcal{A}$ -stable map-germs. The next target for classification, the  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension one germs, appears to be considerably more difficult, as one does not have an equivalent of Mather's result that  $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalent  $\mathcal{A}$ -stable maps are  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent. For example, the two real maps,  $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y^2, y^3 \pm x^2y)$ , have  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension one, are  $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalent but not  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent, see [11]. However, this problem does not occur in the complex situation for this example.

In his Ph.D. thesis, [1], Cooper classified corank 1  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 map-germs  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  by using explicit changes in source and target to reduce the map to a normal form. A more elementary proof of the classification is given in [2]. Surprisingly, just as in the stable case the situation comes down to dealing with the  $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalence class of the germ mainly because if the map is not an augmentation then the  $\mathcal{A}$ -orbit is open in the  $\mathcal{K}$ -orbit.

In this paper we generalise to the case of corank 1  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 map-germs  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^p$ ,  $n < p$ , i.e. the dimension of the target space is increased.

This paper was written while the author was a guest of the Isaac Newton Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge. He is grateful for the hospitality and financial support received. Thanks are also due to Maria Ruas for helpful conversations.

## 2 The results

The main theorem is the following.

**Theorem 2.1** *Suppose that  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ ,  $n < p$ , is a corank 1  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 map-germ, then the following are true.*

1.  $f$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent to a map of the form,

$$\begin{aligned} & (u_1, \dots, u_{l-1}, v_1, \dots, v_{l-1}, w_{11}, w_{12}, \dots, w_{rl}, x_1, \dots, x_{n-l(r+2)+1}, y) \\ & \mapsto (u_1, \dots, u_{l-1}, v_1, \dots, v_{l-1}, w_{11}, w_{12}, \dots, w_{rl}, x_1, \dots, x_{n-l(r+2)+1}, \\ & y^{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} u_i y^i, y^{l+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i y^i + y^l \sum_{i=1}^{n-l(r+2)+1} x_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{1i} y^i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{ri} y^i), \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = p - n - 1$  and  $l + 1$  is the multiplicity of the germ. Conversely, any such germ has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1.

2. The germ is precisely  $l + 2$ -determined.

3. An  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -versal unfolding is given by unfolding with the addition of the term  $\lambda y^l$  to the  $(p - rl - 1)$ th component function.

One immediately deduces the following.

**Corollary 2.2** *Corank 1  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 map-germs from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^p$  which are  $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalent are  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent.*

To every finitely  $\mathcal{A}$ -determined corank 1 map-germ there exists a unique stabilisation, see [7]. The image of this stabilisation is called the disentanglement of  $f$ . One can also investigate the multiple points in this image.

**Definition 2.3** *Let  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map. The  $k$ th image multiple point space of  $h$ , denoted  $M_k(h)$ , is defined to be,*

$$M_k(h) := \text{closure}\{y \in Y \mid \#h^{-1}(y) \geq k\}.$$

**Definition 2.4** *We define the  $k$ th disentanglement of  $f$ , denoted  $\text{Dis}_k(f)$  to be the  $k$ th multiple point space of the stabilisation of  $f$ .*

Suppose that  $f_{\mathbb{R}} : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$  is a finitely  $\mathcal{A}$ -determined map-germ, with a real stabilisation  $f_{\mathbb{R},t}$  and that the complexification of  $f_{\mathbb{R}}$ , denoted  $f_{\mathbb{C}}$  has stabilisation arising from complexifying  $f_{\mathbb{R},t}$ . We can denote the  $k$ th image multiple point spaces of these maps by  $\text{Dis}(f_{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $\text{Dis}(f_{\mathbb{C}})$ .

**Definition 2.5** *The map  $f_{\mathbb{R},t}$  is a good real perturbation if  $\dim H_i(\text{Dis}_1(f_{\mathbb{R}}); \mathbb{Z}) = \dim H_i(\text{Dis}_1(f_{\mathbb{C}}); \mathbb{Z})$  for all  $i = p - (p - n - 1)k - 1$ , with  $2 \leq k \leq p/(p - n)$ .*

This is a generalisation of the notion given in [12] and [9]. The idea is that the complex topology is visible over the reals.

**Theorem 2.6** *Suppose that  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ ,  $n < p$ , is a corank 1  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 map-germ.*

1. *The disentanglement  $\text{Dis}_1(f)$  is homotopically equivalent to a  $(n-l(p-n-1))$ -sphere. The higher disentanglements are empty or contractible.*
2. *It is obvious that  $f$  is the complexification of a real map-germ. This map has a good real perturbation and in fact the natural inclusion for this perturbation  $\text{Dis}_k(f_{\mathbb{R}}) \hookrightarrow \text{Dis}_k(f_{\mathbb{C}})$  is a homotopy equivalence for all  $k \geq 1$ .*

These results are analogous to the case of a quadratic isolated complete intersection singularity. For then the Milnor fibre is homotopically equivalent to a single sphere and it is possible to define a real Milnor fibre with the same topology. (In fact the above theorem is a consequence of these results).

When an isolated complete intersection singularity has Milnor number equal to one then it is  $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalent to a quadratic singularity. One may ask for corank 1 maps in the range  $n < p$ , if the disentanglement is homotopically a sphere, then is the map  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1?

### 3 Classification

#### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1 part 1

Firstly we define the augmentation of a map-germ.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  be a map with a 1-parameter stable unfolding  $F : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$ , where  $F(x, \lambda) = (f_\lambda(x), \lambda)$ . Then the augmentation of  $f$  by  $F$  is the map  $A_F(f) := (f_{\lambda^2}(x), \lambda)$ .

If  $f$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 then  $A_F(f)$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 and the equivalence class of  $A_F(f)$  is independent of the choice of miniversal unfolding of  $f$ . See Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 of [2]. Thus we can produce new codimension 1 maps from old codimension 1 maps. If  $f$  is not the augmentation of another germ then  $f$  is called primitive.

One can also generalise this definition so that the unfolding parameter is replaced by a function, see [4].

To prove part 1 of Theorem 2.1 we use results from the classification in the  $p = n + 1$  case given in [2]. Let us follow them and begin by defining a map  $f^l : (\mathbb{C}^{2l-1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2l}, 0)$  by

$$f^l(u, v, y) = (u, v, y^{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} u_i y^i, y^{l+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i y^i).$$

By Lemma 4.1 of [2] the  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension is 1. If we label the last two coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}^{2l}$   $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  then the  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -tangent space is

$$T\mathcal{A}_e f^l = \theta(f^l) \setminus \{y^l \partial / \partial Y_2, y^{l-1} \partial / \partial v_1, \dots, y \partial / \partial v_{l-1}\} + \langle y^{l-1} \partial / \partial v_1 + y^l \partial / \partial Y_2, \dots, y \partial / \partial v_{l-1} + y^l \partial / \partial Y_2 \rangle.$$

Let us now define an extension of this map,  $f^{l,r} : (\mathbb{C}^{2l-1+r^l}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2l+r(l+1)}, 0)$ :

$$f^{l,r}(u, v, y, w) = (u, v, y^{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} u_i y^i, y^{l+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i y^i, w, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{1i} y^i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{ri} y^i).$$

Through augmentation we get a map of the form in Theorem 2.1. By the proof of Proposition 3.7 of [6] it is known that  $f^{l,r}$  is finitely determined. However we can do better than this as the following shows.

**Theorem 3.2** The map  $f^{l,r}$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -tangent space equal to

$$T\mathcal{A}_e f^{l,r} = \theta(f^{l,r}) \setminus \{y^l \partial / \partial Y_2, y^{l-1} \partial / \partial v_1, \dots, y \partial / \partial v_{l-1}\} + \langle y^{l-1} \partial / \partial v_1 + y^l \partial / \partial Y_2, \dots, y \partial / \partial v_{l-1} + y^l \partial / \partial Y_2 \rangle.$$

Hence  $f^{l,r}$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension equal to 1. To prove the above theorem let us investigate what the effect of extension is.

Suppose we have a finitely determined map  $h : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  such that

$$h(w_1, \dots, w_l, y, u_1, \dots, u_{l-1}, x) = (w_1, \dots, w_l, \sum_{i=1}^l w_i y^i, y^{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} u_i y^i, u_1, \dots, u_{l-1}, x, f_1(u, x, y), \dots, f_t(u, x, y)).$$

Let  $\mathcal{O}_X$  denote the ring of function germs at 0 for the germ  $(X, 0)$ . The tangent space  $T\mathcal{A}_e$  is a  $h^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^p})$  submodule of  $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n})^p$ . Let  $e_i$  denote the standard basis vector for the  $i$ th copy of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ .

**Lemma 3.3**  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n} e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e h$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l+1$ .

**Proof.** It is evident that we can reduce the requirement to  $y^k e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, l+1$ .

Note that

$$y^k e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e \iff y^{k-1} e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e, \quad k-i \geq 0. (*)$$

This follows from the fact that  $y^j(e_i + y^i e_{l+1}) \in T\mathcal{R}_e$  and it implies that it suffices to show that  $y^k e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$  for all  $k$ .

For  $1 \leq s \leq l$   $e_i + y^s e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{R}_e$  and  $e_s \in T\mathcal{L}_e$  so  $y^s e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$ . We will now use induction: Suppose  $y^s e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$  for all  $s < k$  then  $y^k e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$

The number  $k$  will be of the form  $k = r(l+1) + i$  with  $r \geq 1$  (assuming  $k < l+1$  already dealt with as above) and  $0 \leq i \leq l$ .

Case  $i = 0$ : Clearly  $(y^{l+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} u_j y^j)^r e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{L}_e$  so  $y^{r(l+1)} e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$  as the other terms in  $y$  in the expansion have order less than  $r(l+1)$ .

Case  $i > 0$ : The assumption  $y^s e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$  for all  $s < r(l+1) + i$  implies that  $y^{s-i} e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e$  for all  $i \leq s < r(l+1) + i$  by  $(*)$ , i.e.

$$y^s e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e \text{ for all } s < r(l+1). (**)$$

Obviously  $(y^{l+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} u_j y^j)^r e_i \in T\mathcal{L}_e$  and this with  $(**)$  implies that  $y^{r(l+1)} e_i \in T\mathcal{A}_e$ . Thus as  $y^{r(l+1)}(e_i + y^i e_{l+1}) \in T\mathcal{R}_e$  we deduce that  $y^{r(l+1)+i} e_{l+1} \in T\mathcal{A}_e$ .  $\square$

After applying this lemma to the map  $f^{l,r}$  all that is required is to check that if  $g$  is a function in variables  $w_1$  to  $w_l$  then  $gy^l \partial / \partial Y_2$  is in the tangent space. This is easy to check.

The maps  $f^{l,r}$  have a very interesting property which will be very useful.

**Lemma 3.4** *The  $\mathcal{A}$ -orbit of  $f^{l,r}$  is open in its  $\mathcal{K}$ -orbit.*

**Proof.** Let the dimension of the source be  $n$  and that of the target be  $p$ . and denote the normal space of the  $\mathcal{G}_e$ -orbit by  $NG_e$ . It is easy to calculate that  $\dim NK_e(f^{l,r}) = p+1$  (It should be noted that this is not true for augmentations of  $f^{l,r}$  as then we have  $e_i \in TK_e$  for at least one  $i$ .) Thus we find that  $\dim N\mathcal{A}_e = \dim NK_e - p$ . But  $\dim N\mathcal{A}_e = \dim N\mathcal{A} - n$  (as  $f^{l,r}$  is not  $\mathcal{A}$ -stable, see [14] p.510) and  $\dim NK_e = \dim NK + (p-n)$  ([14] p.509). So  $\dim N\mathcal{A} = \dim NK$ , implying that the  $\mathcal{A}$ -orbit is open in the  $\mathcal{K}$ -orbit.  $\square$

**Proof (of Theorem 2.1).** We now generalise the proof of Proposition 4.3 of [2]. Suppose that  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  is a corank 1  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 map-germ,  $n < p$  with multiplicity  $l+1$ . The versal unfolding  $G : (\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$  is a  $n - l(p-n+1) + 1$ -fold prism on a minimal stable map-germ of multiplicity  $l+1$ . Thus by Theorem 2.7 of [2]  $f$  is the  $n - l(p-n+1) + 1$ -fold augmentation of an  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1, corank 1, multiplicity  $l+1$  map-germ  $f' : (\mathbb{C}^{2l+l(p-n+1)-1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2l+(p-n-1)(l+1)}, 0)$ . Such a map is obviously  $\mathcal{K}$ -equivalent to the map  $f^{l,p-n-1}$ . The  $\mathcal{A}$ -orbit of  $f^{l,p-n-1}$  is open in its  $\mathcal{K}$ -orbit by Lemma 3.4 and by Lemma 3.12 of [2] there is at most one open  $\mathcal{A}$ -orbit in a given complex contact class, thus we conclude that  $f'$  and  $f^{l,p-n-1}$  are  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent.

The  $n - l(p-n+1) + 1$ -fold augmentation of  $f^{l,p-n-1}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent to  $f$  as the  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalence class of the augmentation of codimension 1 map-germ  $g$  depends only on the  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalence class of  $g$ .  $\square$

### 3.2 Order of determinacy

To find the order of determinacy we use the techniques of [13], in particular his Proposition 3.8, which we summarise as the following. Denote the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$  by  $\mathfrak{m}_d$  and use the standard  $tf$  and  $wf$  notation of Singularity Theory, see [14].

**Proposition 3.5** *Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  be a map-germ. Let*

$$D \subset tf(\theta_{\mathbb{C}^n}) + wf(\theta_{\mathbb{C}^p}) + \mathfrak{m}_n^s \theta_f$$

*be an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ -module such that*

$$\mathfrak{m}_n^s \theta_f \subset tf(\mathfrak{m}_n \theta_{\mathbb{C}^n}) + f^*(\mathfrak{m}_p) \cdot D + \mathfrak{m}_n^{s+1} \theta_f.$$

*Then  $f$  is  $s$ -determined.*

Let  $f$  be as in Theorem 2.1. Then by calculation one can see that  $T\mathcal{A}_e f$  has the same type of structure as  $T\mathcal{A}_e(f^{l,r})$ : Let  $m = p - rl - 1$  then  $y^l e_m$ , and  $y^{l-i} e_{l+i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, l-1$  are missing from  $T\mathcal{A}_e f$ , but  $y^l e_m + y^{l-i} e_{l+i-1}$  is included. Thus if we let  $\mathfrak{m}_{n-1}$  denote the ideal generated by the variables other than  $y$  and

$$D = \langle \mathcal{O}_n, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n, \mathfrak{m}_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_n + \langle y^l \rangle \mathcal{O}_n, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_n + \langle y^2 \rangle \mathcal{O}_n, \\ \mathcal{O}_n, \mathfrak{m}_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_n + \langle y^{l+1} \rangle \mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{O}_n, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n \rangle$$

where the  $\mathfrak{m}_{n-1} \mathcal{O}_n + \langle y^j \rangle \mathcal{O}_n$  terms begin at position  $l$ , then  $D$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_n$ -module contained in  $T\mathcal{A}_e f$ .

The non-trivial problem is to show that, for all  $i$ ,  $y^{l+2} e_i$  is in the right hand side of the second inclusion in the proposition. For the positions corresponding to the functions  $u_1, \dots, u_{l-1}, v_1, \dots, v_{l-1}$  and  $w_{11}, \dots, w_{rl}$  we can use elements of  $tf(\mathfrak{m}_n \theta_{\mathbb{C}^n})$  modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_n^{l+3}$ . For the  $r$  extension terms and position  $2l-1$  we use  $y^{l+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i y^i$ , elements of  $tf$  and  $f^*(\mathfrak{m}_p) \cdot D$ . For the remaining position we use  $y \partial f / \partial y$  and terms in  $tf$  and  $f^*(\mathfrak{m}_p) \cdot D$ .

So  $f$  is at least  $(l+2)$ -determined. This is in fact exact. The  $(l+1)$ -jet is not finitely  $\mathcal{A}$ -determined as can be seen by showing (using the method of [8]) that  $(l+1)$ th multiple point space has dimension greater than that of a finitely determined map-germ.

## 4 Topology

Theorem 2.6 part 1 on the topology of the  $k$ th disentanglement has been proved for the  $p = n + 1$  in Corollary 5.3 of [5], though note that this was first proved in this case for  $k = 1$  in [1], see [2]. For more general  $p$  that  $\text{Dis}_1(f)$  is homotopically equivalent to a sphere can be deduced from the proof of Proposition 3.7 of [6] and Theorem 4.24 of [3] but the following, which investigates higher disentanglements, also shows it.

We begin with noting from Theorem 3.2 of [5] that for an augmentation  $\text{Dis}_m(A_F f)$  is homotopically equivalent to the suspension of  $\text{Dis}_m(f)$ . Thus we can assume our map is primitive.

Define  $f_t : \mathbb{C}^{2l-1} \times \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2l} \times \mathbb{C}^{r(l+1)}$  by

$$f_t(u, v, y, w) = (u, v, y^{l+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} u_i y^i, y^{l+2} + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} v_i y^i + t y^l, w, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{1i} y^i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^l w_{ri} y^i).$$

Then, for  $t \neq 0$  we can produce the disentanglement map for  $f_0$ .

Define  $g_t : \mathbb{C}^{2l-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2l}$  by  $g_t := f_t|_{f_t^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^{2l} \times \{0\})}$ , then  $g_t$  for  $t \neq 0$  gives the disentanglement map for  $g_0$ , a corank 1 map-germ of  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1. The space  $\text{Dis}_m(g)$  is homotopically equivalent to a  $2l - 1$  sphere if  $m = 1$ , and contractible or empty for  $m > 1$  by Corollary 5.3 of [5]. We shall show that  $\text{Dis}_m(f_0)$  is homotopically equivalent to this space. In the following we assume  $t \neq 0$  defines the disentanglement maps.

For a continuous map  $h : X \rightarrow Y$  of topological spaces let  $D^k(h)$  denote the  $k$ th multiple point space as defined in [8].

From the natural inclusion of  $\mathbb{C}^{2l}$  into  $\mathbb{C}^{2l+r(l+1)}$  we induce a natural map  $\phi^k : D^k(g_t) \rightarrow D^k(f_t)$ .

It is shown in the proof of Proposition 3.7 of [6] that  $D^k(g_t)$  and  $D^k(f_t)$  are non-singular for  $k < l + 1$ , and from the description there we can deduce that  $D^k(f_t)$  contracts equivariantly onto  $D^k(g_t)$ . The only other non-trivial spaces are  $D^{l+1}(f_t)$  and  $D^{l+1}(g_t)$  and from the description in [6] it follows that these are  $S_k$ -equivariantly homeomorphic Milnor fibres of what is effectively the same isolated complete intersection singularity.

To conclude that the natural map  $\text{Dis}_m(g_0) \rightarrow \text{Dis}_m(f_0)$  induces an isomorphism on integer homology for all  $m$  we use Theorem 3.2 of [6]:

**Lemma 4.1** *Suppose that  $h_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are finite and proper continuous maps for which the image computing spectral sequence exists (this is a technical condition which is true for the maps under consideration here) and that there exist continuous maps  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_1 : X_1 & \rightarrow & Y_1 \\ & \phi \downarrow & \downarrow \psi \\ h_2 : X_2 & \rightarrow & Y_2 \end{array}$$

*commutes. Then if the map  $\phi^k : D^k(h_1) \rightarrow D^k(h_2)$  is an  $S_k$ -homotopy equivalence for all  $k \geq 1$ , then  $\psi|_{M_m(h_1)} : M_m(h_1) \rightarrow M_m(h_2)$  induces an isomorphism on integer homology groups for all  $m \geq 1$ .*

We turn our attention to the fundamental groups of the image multiple point spaces and to this end we prove the following.

**Lemma 4.2** *Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a finite and proper continuous map,  $D^m(f)$  is path connected and that there exists a point  $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in D^m(f)$  such that  $x_c = x_d$  for  $c \neq d$ .*

1. *If  $D^{m-1}(f)$  is path connected then the natural map of fundamental groups*

$$\pi_1(D^{m-1}(f)) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_{m-1}(f))$$

*is surjective.*

2. *If  $D^{m+1}(f)$  is empty then*

$$\pi_1(D^m(f)) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_m(f))$$

*is surjective.*

**Proof.** (i) For a continuous map  $h$  we can define  $\varepsilon^k : D^k(h) \rightarrow D^{k-1}(h)$  by projecting through omission of the last copy of the source of  $h$ . Let  $D_j^k(h)$  be the image of  $D^k(h)$  in  $D^j(h)$  (through composition of maps  $\varepsilon^i$ ). Then  $M_r(h)$  is the image of  $h_r := h|_{D_r^r}$ . We have

$$D^j(f_r) = \begin{cases} D_j^r(h), & \text{for } j < r, \\ D^j(h), & \text{for } j \geq r. \end{cases}$$

As  $D^{m-1}(f)$  is path connected,  $D^j(f_{m-1})$  is path connected for  $j < m - 1$  as it is the image of  $D^{m-1}(f)$  in  $D^j(f)$ . As  $D^m(f)$  has a point with  $x_c = x_d$ ,  $c \neq d$ , then so does  $D^j(f_{m-1})$  for all  $2 \leq j < m$ . These two facts imply that every point in  $D^j(f_m)$  is connected by a path to a point with  $x_c = x_d$ ,  $c \neq d$ .

Now, for any continuous map  $h$ ,  $D^{j+1}(h) = D^2(\varepsilon^j : D^j(h) \rightarrow D^{j-1}(h))$ . From this and Theorem 4.18 of [3] we deduce that for  $j \leq m + 1$  that

$$\pi_1(D^j(f_{m-1})) \rightarrow \pi_1(\varepsilon^j(D^j(f_{m-1}))) = \pi_1(D^{j-1}(f_{m-1}))$$

is surjective and produce a chain of maps to get

$$\pi_1(D^{m-1}(f)) = \pi_1(D^{m-1}(f_{m-1})) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_{m-1}(f))$$

surjective.

(ii) One can follow a similar argument to show that  $\pi_1(D^{m-1}(f_m)) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_m(f))$  is surjective. As  $D^{m+1}(f)$  is empty then  $\varepsilon^m : (D^m(f)) \rightarrow \varepsilon^m(D^m(f)) = D^{m-1}(f_m)$  is a bijective and proper map so is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3** *The inclusion  $\text{Dis}_m(g_0) \rightarrow \text{Dis}_m(f_0)$  is a homotopy equivalence for all  $m \geq 1$  and hence Theorem 2.6 part 1 is proved.*

**Proof.** Note that  $M_m(f_t)$  and  $M_m(g_t)$  are Stein spaces and so are homotopy equivalent to CW-complexes of dimension equal to their complex dimension.

If  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_m(f_t) \leq 1$  then the statement is elementary to prove. If  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_m(f_t) > 1$  then it is enough to show that  $M_m(g_t)$  and  $M_m(f_t)$  are simply connected because a map between simply connected CW-complexes that induces an isomorphism on integer homology is a homotopy equivalence by Whitehead's theorem, [15], p220. In our given range we know that  $M_m(g_t)$  is simply connected.

Note that  $D^j(f_t)$  is contractible for  $j < l + 1$  and  $D^{l+1}(f_t)$  is the Milnor fibre of an isolated complete intersection singularity and so is homotopically equivalent to a wedge of spheres. Higher multiple point spaces are empty.

Case  $\dim D^{l+1}(f_t) > 0$ : Here  $D^{l+1}(f_t)$  is connected and since the restriction to a reflecting hyperplane in the ambient space is the Milnor fibre of an isolated complete intersection singularity, see [8] Theorem 2.14, there exists a point  $(x_1, \dots, x_{l+1})$  such that  $x_c = x_d$  for some  $c \neq d$ . From Lemma 4.2 we deduce that  $\pi_1(D^m(f_t)) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_m(f_t))$  is surjective for all  $m \leq l + 1$ . For  $m < l + 1$  the result is then true. For the  $l + 1$  case we note that we have are only concerned with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_{l+1}(f_t) \geq 2$ , i.e.  $D^{l+1}(f_t)$  is simply connected.

Case  $\dim D^{l+1}(f_t) = 0$ : As  $\dim D^{l+1}(f_t) = l - 1$  the only situations to check are for  $M_1(f_t)$ , which is simple, it is homotopically a circle, and for  $M_2(f_t)$  which has dimension 0.  $\square$

**Proof (of Theorem 2.6 part 2).** From Proposition 3.7 of [6] we see that a good real perturbation exists, (use  $t < 0$  in  $f_t$ ) and that the natural map  $\text{Dis}_m(f_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \text{Dis}_m(f_{\mathbb{C}})$  induces an isomorphism of integer homology groups.

If  $\dim M_m(f_{\mathbb{C}}) \leq 1$  then the statement is trivial. For the other situations we must show that  $\text{Dis}_m(f_{\mathbb{R}})$  is simply connected. Calculations show that  $D^k(f_{\mathbb{R},t})$  and  $D^k(f_{\mathbb{C},t})$  are connected, non-singular and contract onto the diagonal for  $k < l + 1$ . The space  $D^{l+1}(f_{\mathbb{C},t})$  is simply connected when its dimension is greater than 1, and  $D^{l+1}(f_{\mathbb{R},t})$  is  $S_{l+1}$ -homotopically equivalent to it. Thus by Lemma 4.2 the image multiple point sets for  $f_{\mathbb{R},t}$  are simply connected.

Again using Whitehead's theorem we conclude that the spaces are homotopically equivalent.  $\square$

We finish with a theorem on augmentations.

**Theorem 4.4** *Suppose that  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  is the augmentation by the isolated hypersurface singularity  $g : (\mathbb{C}^q, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  of the corank 1  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1, multiplicity  $l + 1$  map-germ. Let  $g$  have Milnor number  $\mu(g)$ .*

*Then  $\text{Dis}_1(A_{F,g}(f))$  is homotopically equivalent to a wedge of  $\mu(g)$   $n - l(p - n - 1) + q$ -spheres. Higher disentanglements are contractible or empty. Furthermore,*

$$\mu(g) \leq \mathcal{A}_e - \text{cod}(A_{F,g}(f)),$$

*with equality if  $g$  is quasihomogeneous.*

**Proof.** The result on homotopy follows from Theorem 3.2 of [5].

Note that  $f$  is quasihomogeneous and hence so is the unfolding  $F$ . Then, (denoting Tyurina number of  $g$  by  $\tau(g)$  and Milnor number by  $\mu(g)$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_e - \text{cod}(A_{F,g}(f)) &= \tau(g)\mathcal{A}_e - \text{cod}(f), \text{ by Theorem 3.3 of [4],} \\ &= \tau(g) \\ &= \leq \mu(g), \text{ with equality if } g \text{ quasihomogeneous.} \end{aligned}$$

□

## References

- [1] T. Cooper, Map germs of  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension one, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Warwick (1993).
- [2] T. Cooper, D. Mond, R. Wik Atique, Vanishing topology of codimension 1 multi-germs over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ , Preprint 1999, University of Warwick.
- [3] K. Houston, Local topology of images of finite complex analytic maps, *Topology* 36 (1997), 1077-1121.
- [4] K. Houston, On the singularities of folding maps and augmentations, *Mathematica Scandinavica* 82 (1998), 191-206.
- [5] K. Houston, Bouquet and join theorems for disentanglements, Preprint 2000, University of Leeds.
- [6] K. Houston, A note on good real perturbations of singularities, Preprint 2000, University of Leeds.
- [7] W.L. Marar, Mapping fibrations, *Manuscripta Math.* 80 (1993), 273-281.
- [8] W.L. Marar, D. Mond, Multiple point schemes for corank 1 maps, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 39 (1989), 553-567.
- [9] W.L. Marar, D. Mond, Real map germs with good perturbations, *Topology* 35 (1996), 157-165.
- [10] J.N. Mather, Stability of  $C^\infty$  mappings IV: Classification of stable maps by  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras, *Publ. Math. IHES* 37 (1969), 223-248.
- [11] D. Mond, On the classification of germs of maps from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, (3) 50 (1985), 333-369.
- [12] D. Mond, How good are real pictures? in: *Algebraic Geometry and Singularities*, A.C. López, L.N. Macarri (Eds.), Birkhäuser, 1996, pp. 259-276.

- [13] A. du Plessis, On the determinacy of smooth map-germs, *Invent. Math.* 58 (1980), 107-160.
- [14] C.T.C. Wall, Finite determinacy of smooth map-germs, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 13 (1981), 481-539.
- [15] G.W. Whitehead, *Elements of Homotopy Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.



## Recent Newton Institute Preprints

- NI00001-SMM **KZ Markov**  
*Justification of an effective field method in elasto-statics of heterogeneous solids*
- NI00002-SCE **YY Lobanov and VD Rushai**  
*Studying the evolution of open quantum systems via conditional Wiener integrals*
- NI00003-SCE **J-G Wang and G-S Tian**  
*Spin and charged gaps in strongly correlated electron systems with negative or positive couplings*
- NI00004-SCE **FV Kusmartsev**  
*Conducting electron strings in oxides*
- NI00005-ERN **SG Dani**  
*On ergodic  $Z^d$  actions on Lie groups by automorphisms*
- NI00006-SMM **V Nesi and G Alessandrini**  
*Univalence of  $\sigma$ -harmonic mappings and applications*
- NI00007-SCE **X Dai, T Xiang, T-K Ng et al**  
*Probing superconducting phase fluctuations from the current noise spectrum of pseudogaped metal-superconductor tunnel junctions*
- NI00008-ERN **B Hasselblatt**  
*Hyperbolic dynamical systems*
- NI00009-SCE **J Lou, S Quin, T-K Ng et al**  
*Topological effects at short antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chains*
- NI00010-SCE **V Zlatić and J Freericks**  
*Theory of valence transitions in Ytterbium-based compounds*
- NI00011-ERN **A Iozzi and D Witte**  
*Cartan-decomposition subgroups of  $SU(2,n)$*
- NI00012-ERN **D Witte and L Lifschitz**  
*On automorphisms of arithmetic subgroups of unipotent groups in positive characteristic*
- NI00013-ERN **D Witte**  
*Homogeneous Lorentz manifold with simple isometry group*
- NI00014-SGT **R Uribe-Vargos**  
*Global theorems on vertices and flattenings of closed curves*
- NI00015-SGT **EA Bartolo, P Cassou-Nogués, I Luengo et al**  
*Monodromy conjecture for some surface singularities*
- NI00016-SGT **IG Scherbak**  
*Boundary singularities and non-crystallographic Coxeter groups*
- NI00017-SGT **K Houston**  
*On the classification and topology of complex map-germs of corank one and  $A_e$ -codimension one*
- NI00018-SGT **PJ Topalov and VS Matveev**  
*Geodesic equivalence via integrability*
- NI00019-GTF **S Friedlander**  
*On vortex tube stretching and instabilities in an inviscid fluid*
- NI00020-SGT **VD Sedykh**  
*Some invariants of admissible homotopies of space curves*
- NI00021-SGT **IA Bogaevsky**  
*Singularities of linear waves in plane and space*

