# A'priori estimates for higher order multipliers on a circle

A. Alexandrou Himonas Gerard Misiołek \*

ABSTRACT: We present an elementary proof of an a'priori estimate of Bourgain for a general class of multipliers on a circle using an extension of methods developed in our previous work. The main tool is a suitable version of a counting argument of Zygmund for unbounded regions.

#### **1** Introduction and the result

In a series of papers beginning in early 90-ties Bourgain derived various periodic analogues of Strichartz inequalities. Apart from their intrinsic interest such inequalities have become a powerful tool for establishing well-posedness results for various nonlinear partial differential equations (see for example [B1], [B2], [B3], [HM1], [ST]). In [B1] and [B2] Bourgain provided explicit proofs of these inequalities in the quadratic ( $\nu = 2$ ) and cubic ( $\nu = 3$ ) cases and used them to study the periodic Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger and KdV equations respectively. In [B3] he stated them for a much larger class of multipliers with arbitrary integer  $\nu \geq 2$  (see (1.1) below). In our previous paper [HM2] we gave an elementary proof of these inequalities for the case when  $\nu$  is even. Our approach was motivated by the work of Fang and Grillakis [FG] on

<sup>\*</sup>Both authors were partially supported by the NSF under grant number DMS-9970857 and by the Faculty Research Program of the University of Notre Dame. The second author was also supported by the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences of the University of Cambridge.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42B15, Secondary 35G25.

Key words and phrases. Fourier transform, multiplier inequalities, interpolation

the Boussinessq equation. In this paper we extend those methods to complete the picture by establishing the inequalities for odd  $\nu$ .

Our main result is contained in the following

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $\nu \geq 2$  be a positive integer. Then there is a constant  $c_{\nu} > 0$  such that for any test function f, we have

$$\|(1+|\tau-\xi^{\nu}|)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{\nu}\frac{1}{r}}\hat{f}\|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{R})} \le c_{\nu}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T}\times\mathbb{R})},\tag{1.1}$$

where  $2 \leq q \leq \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$  and  $4 \leq r \leq \infty$ .

It is of interest to determine what is the best possible estimate of this type for any given  $\nu \ge 2$  above. In [B1] Bourgain constructed an example showing that if  $\nu = 2$  then the corresponding inequality fails for p = 6/5 and q = 2. He suggested however that it may continue to hold if we allow  $p = (6 - \epsilon)/(5 - \epsilon)$ , for any sufficiently small positive  $\epsilon$ . In a similar vein one may speculate that when  $\nu = 2$  inequality (1.1) will hold for p = 6/5 and  $q = (2 - \epsilon)/(1 - \epsilon)$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 in the next section follows the approach used in our previous paper [HM2]. Using standard harmonic analysis we first reduce the proof to a certain bilinear estimate (see Lemma 2.2) and then develop a new counting argument ala Zygmund [Z] (see also [FG]) that enables us to suitably estimate the number of integer points located on certain intersections of straight lines with unbounded regions (see Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 below). These constructions are the main technical device used in the paper.

### 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The principal step in our proof of the Theorem will be to establish the following inequality.

**Proposition 2.1** For an arbitrary integer  $\nu \geq 2$  and for any test function f, we have

$$\|(1+|\tau-\xi^{\nu}|)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{4\nu}}\hat{f}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{R})} \leq c_{\nu}\|f\|_{L^{4/3}(\mathbb{T}\times\mathbb{R})}.$$
(2.1)

As mentioned above (2.1) was proved in [B1] for  $\nu = 2$  and in [B3] for  $\nu = 3$ . Theorem 1.1 will now follow by interpolating between the above estimate and the trivial Fourier transform estimate using Stein's interpolation theorem (see for example [SW]).

**Proof of Proposition 2.1** The proof of the proposition in the case of even  $\nu$  can be found in [HM2] and it will not be reproduced here. We shall therefore concentrate on the case when  $\nu$  is odd. Observe that dualizing (2.1) gives

$$||f||_{L^4} \le C_{\nu} ||(1+|\tau-\xi^{\nu}|)^{\frac{\nu+1}{4\nu}} \hat{f}||_{L^2}.$$

We proceed to derive this inequality beginning with some standard preliminaries (see [HM2] for more details, if necessary). First, without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subseteq \{(\xi, \tau) : \tau - \xi^{\nu} \ge 0\}.$$

Next, we introduce a dyadic decomposition of the Fourier frequency  $(\xi, \tau)$ -space using a cut-off function in  $C^{\infty}[1/2, 2]$  with the property that  $\varphi(x) + \varphi(2x) = 1$ for all  $x \in [1/2, 1]$ . Defining

$$\varphi_j(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right), \quad \varphi_0(x) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j(x),$$

and setting

$$\hat{f}_j(\xi,\tau) = \varphi_j(\tau-\xi^\nu)\hat{f}(\xi,\tau),$$

we can conveniently decompose

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j$$

in such a way that

$$\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}_j \subseteq \left\{ (\xi, \tau) : 2^{j-1} \le \tau - \xi^{\nu} \le 2^{j+1} \right\}, \quad j = 1, 2 \dots$$
 (2.2)

and

$$\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}_o \subseteq \{(\xi, \tau) : 0 \le \tau - \xi^{\nu} \le 2\}.$$

Using the above decomposition we have

$$||f||_{L^4}^2 = ||f^2||_{L^2} \le \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} ||f_j f_k||_{L^2}.$$

A straightfoward manipulation using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{\nu-1}{4\nu}|j-k|} \le 2(1-2^{(1-\nu)/4\nu})^{-1}$$

makes it possible to reduce the proof of Proposition 2.1 to the proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 There is a positive constant c such that

$$\|f_j f_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}\times\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\frac{\nu-1}{4\nu}|j-k|}} \|(1+|\tau-\xi^\nu|)^{\frac{\nu+1}{4\nu}} \hat{f}_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{R})} \|(1+|\tau-\xi^\nu|)^{\frac{\nu+1}{4\nu}} \hat{f}_k\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\frac{\nu-1}{4\nu}|j-k|}} \|f_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{R})} \|f_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{R})} \|f_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\frac{\nu-1}{4\nu}|j-k|}} \|f_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{R})} \|f_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{Z$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.2.** It suffices to consider  $k \leq j$ , since the case  $k \geq j$ is analogous. Applying the inverse Fourier transform and setting  $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2$ ,  $q = \tau_2 - \xi_2^{\nu}$  and  $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$  we can represent the product  $f_j f_k$  in the following form

$$f_j f_k(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i(t\tau + x\xi)} \hat{G}_{jk}(\xi,\tau) \, d\tau,$$

where

$$\hat{G}_{jk}(\xi,\tau) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\xi_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_j(\xi - \xi_2, \tau - q - \xi_2^{\nu}) \hat{f}_k(\xi_2, q + \xi_2^{\nu}) \, dq.$$

Notice that the restriction imposed on the supports of  $\hat{f}_l$  in (2.2) leads to the following relations for q and  $\xi_2$ 

$$q \in \Delta_k = [2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}]$$
 and  $\xi_2 \in \Lambda_j(\tau, \xi, q)$ ,

where, for  $a = \tau - q - 2^{j+1}$  we put

$$\Lambda_j(\tau,\xi,q) = \left\{ \xi_2 \in \mathbb{Z} : a \le \xi_1^{\nu} + \xi_2^{\nu} \le a + \frac{3}{2} 2^j, \ \xi_1 + \xi_2 = \xi \right\}.$$

Finding suitable estimates of the cardinality of the set  $\Lambda_j(\tau, \xi, q)$  will be crucial in what follows. First, however, using Plancherel equality and Jensen inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_j f_k\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|\hat{G}_{jk}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \int_{\Delta_k} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \in \Lambda_j} |\hat{f}_j \hat{f}_k| \, dq \right)^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{meas}(\Delta_k) \int_{\Delta_k} \left( \sum_{\boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \in \Lambda_j} |\hat{f}_j \hat{f}_k| \right)^2 dq \, d\tau = I. \end{aligned}$$

It is at this point that we need to change our strategy as compared with the case of even  $\nu$ . As mentioned above, to estimate I we will require a suitable bound on the cardinality of the set  $\Lambda_j(\tau, \xi, q)$ . In order to overcome the difficulty caused by the fact that the region defined by the inequalities  $a \leq \xi_1^{\nu} + \xi_2^{\nu} \leq a + \frac{3}{2}2^j$  is unbounded we shall consider two cases.

- Case (1).  $2\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/\nu} \le 2^{j/\nu} \iff \tau q \le 2^{j-\nu+1} + 2^{j+1}$ . and
- Case (2).  $2\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/\nu} > 2^{j/\nu} \iff \tau q > 2^{j-\nu+1} + 2^{j+1}.$

According to these, we decompose I into two pieces

$$I = |\Delta_k| \int \int_{\tau-q \le 2^{j-\nu+1}+2^{j+1}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{\xi_2 \in \Lambda_j} |\hat{f}_j \hat{f}_k| \right)^2 dq d\tau + |\Delta_k| \int \int_{\tau-q > 2^{j-\nu+1}+2^{j+1}} \sum_{\xi \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{\xi_2 \in \Lambda_j} |\hat{f}_j \hat{f}_k| \right)^2 dq d\tau$$

$$=I_1+I_2.$$

**Case (1)**. This case corresponds to estimating  $I_1$ . To proceed we will need the following "counting lemma".

**Lemma 2.3** The set  $A_j$  of all  $\xi$  for which the integrand in  $I_1$  is not zero satisfies the estimate

$$\sup_{\tau,q} \operatorname{card} \left( A_j(\tau,q) \right) \le 6 \cdot 2^{j/\nu}.$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.3**. Observe that in this case the line  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/\nu}$  lies "below" the line  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = 2^{j/\nu}$ , as shown on the following picture.



From the picture we also find that

$$d(0, P_E) = d(0, P_I) + d(P_I, P_E) \le d(0, P_j) + d(P_I, P_E),$$

where the various points have coordinates

$$P_{I} = \left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/\nu}, \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/\nu}\right),$$

$$P_{j} = \left(\frac{1}{2}2^{j/\nu}, \frac{1}{2}2^{j/\nu}\right), \text{ and } P_{E} = \left(\left(\frac{a}{2} + \frac{3}{4}2^{j}\right)^{1/\nu}, \left(\frac{a}{2} + \frac{3}{4}2^{j}\right)^{1/\nu}\right).$$

Since, clearly

$$d(P_I, P_E) \le \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{1/\nu} 2^{j/\nu},$$

we must have

$$d(0, P_E) \le 2^{j/\nu} + \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{1/\nu} 2^{j/\nu} < 3 \cdot 2^{j/\nu}.$$

However, since the set  $A_j$  is contained in the interval  $[0, K_j]$  (refer again to the picture above) and since, necessarily,  $K_j \leq \sqrt{2}d(0, P_E)$ , we conclude that  $A_j$  must satisfy the desired estimate.  $\Box$ 

We now return to estimating the contribution  $I_1$ . Using Minkowski's inequality we find that

$$\begin{split} I_{1} \lesssim |\Delta_{k}| \int \int_{\tau-q \leq 2^{j-\nu+1}+2^{j+1}} \sum_{\xi \in A_{j}} \left( \sum_{\xi_{2} \in \Lambda_{j}} |\hat{f}_{j}\hat{f}_{k}| \right)^{2} dq \, d\tau \\ \lesssim |\Delta_{k}| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\xi \in A_{j}} \left( \sum_{\xi_{2} \in \Lambda_{j}} |\hat{f}_{j}\hat{f}_{k}| \right)^{2} d\tau \, dq \\ \lesssim |\Delta_{k}| \sum_{\xi \in A_{j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{\xi_{2} \in \Lambda_{j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}_{j}(\xi - \xi_{2}, \tau - q - \xi_{2}^{\nu})|^{2} |\hat{f}_{k}(\xi_{2}, q + \xi_{2}^{\nu})|^{2} \, d\tau \right)^{1/2} \right)^{2} dq \\ = c |\Delta_{k}| \sum_{\xi \in A_{j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{\xi_{2} \in \Lambda_{j}} |\hat{f}_{k}(\xi_{2}, q + \xi_{2}^{\nu})| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}_{j}(\xi - \xi_{2}, \tau - q - \xi_{2}^{\nu})|^{2} \, d\tau \right)^{1/2} \right)^{2} dq. \end{split}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz and changing appropriate variables it follows that the last integral is bounded by

$$\begin{split} |\Delta_{k}| \sum_{\xi \in A_{j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_{\xi_{2} \in \Lambda_{j}} |\hat{f}_{k}(\xi_{2}, q + \xi_{2}^{\nu})|^{2} \right) \left( \sum_{\xi_{2} \in \Lambda_{j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}_{j}(\xi - \xi_{2}, \tau - q - \xi_{2}^{\nu})|^{2} d\tau \right) dq \\ &= c |\Delta_{k}| |A_{j}| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\xi_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_{k}(\xi_{2}, \eta_{2})|^{2} d\eta_{2} \right) \left( \sum_{\xi_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}_{j}(\xi - \xi_{2}, \eta_{1})|^{2} d\eta_{1} \right) \\ &= c 2^{k} 2^{j/\nu} \|\hat{f}_{k}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\hat{f}_{j}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, since  $\tau - \xi^{\nu} \simeq 2^{j}$ , we immediately find that

$$I_{1} \leq \frac{c}{2^{\frac{\nu-1}{4\nu}(j-k)}} 2^{\frac{\nu+1}{4\nu}j} 2^{\frac{\nu+1}{4\nu}k} \|\hat{f}_{j}\|_{L^{2}} \|\hat{f}_{k}\|_{L^{2}}$$
  
$$\simeq \frac{c}{2^{\frac{\nu-1}{4\nu}(j-k)}} \|(1+|\tau-\xi^{\nu}|)^{\frac{\nu+1}{4\nu}} \hat{f}_{j}\|_{L^{2}} \|(1+|\tau-\xi^{\nu}|)^{\frac{\nu+1}{4\nu}} \hat{f}_{k}\|_{L^{2}}.$$

Case (2). This time we need to estimate the contribution of  $I_2$  which requires a different argument. Note, however, that now the region in question is bounded and so a version of a "counting lemma" employed in [HM2] for even  $\nu$  should do the job. More precisely, we have

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $2(a/2)^{1/\nu} > 2^{j/\nu}$ . Then there is a constant c > 0 such that

$$\sup_{\tau,\xi,q} \operatorname{card} \left( \Lambda_j(\tau,\xi,q) \right) \le c 2^{j/\nu}.$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.4**. It will once more be convenient to refer to the picture above. Begin by observing that the line  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = 2\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/\nu}$  is tangent to the inner level curve  $L_a$ :  $\xi_1^{\nu} + \xi_2^{\nu} = a$  at the point

$$P_I = \left( \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/\nu}, \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/\nu} \right),$$

while the line  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = 2^{j/\nu}$  intersects the diagonal  $\xi_1 = \xi_2$  at the point

$$P_j = \left(\frac{1}{2}2^{j/\nu}, \frac{1}{2}2^{j/\nu}\right).$$

Assume  $s \ge \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{j/\nu}$ . Let A be the point on the inside level curve  $L_a$ , for which  $\xi_1 = s$ . Then the other coordinate of A is  $\xi_2 = (a - s^{\nu})^{1/\nu}$  and the equation of the line passing through A and having the slope -1 is  $\xi_2 = -\xi_1 + s + (a - s^{\nu})^{1/\nu}$ . Consider now the following function

$$h(\xi_1) = \xi_1^{\nu} + \left(-\xi_1 + s + (a - s^{\nu})^{1/\nu}\right)^{\nu} - a - \frac{3}{2}2^j.$$

Note that  $h(\xi_1) = 0$  if and only if the point  $B = (\xi_1, -\xi_1 + s + (a - s^{\nu})^{1/\nu})$  lies on the curve  $L_b$ :  $\xi_1^{\nu} + \xi_2^{\nu} = a + \frac{3}{2}2^j$ . On the one hand we easily find that

$$h(s) = s^{\nu} + \left(-s + s + (a - s^{\nu})^{1/\nu}\right)^{\nu} - a - \frac{3}{2}2^{j} = -\frac{3}{2}2^{j} < 0.$$

On the other hand, we claim that

$$h(s + \left(\frac{3}{2}2^j\right)^{1/\nu}) \ge 0.$$

In order to obtain this inequality we need to call on our assumptions. First, we substitute

$$h(s + \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{1/\nu}) = \\ = \left(s + \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{1/\nu}\right)^{\nu} + \left(-\left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{1/\nu} + (a - s^{\nu})^{1/\nu}\right)^{\nu} - a - \frac{3}{2}2^{j}.$$

Next, noting that  $(a - s^{\nu})^{1/\nu} \leq s$  and recalling that  $\nu$  is an odd integer, we can estimate

$$\begin{split} h(s + \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{1/\nu}) &\geq s^{\nu} + \frac{3}{2}2^{j} + a - s^{\nu} - \frac{3}{2}2^{j} \\ &+ \nu s \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} + \nu (a - s^{\nu})^{1/\nu} \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} - a - \frac{3}{2}2^{j} \\ &= \nu s \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} + \nu (a - s^{\nu})^{1/\nu} \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} - \frac{3}{2}2^{j} \\ &\geq \nu s \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} - \frac{3}{2}2^{j}. \end{split}$$

Now, since  $s \ge \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/\nu}$ , the last inequality gives

$$h(s + \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{1/\nu}) \ge \nu \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/\nu} - \frac{3}{2}2^{j}.$$

However, bringing in the assumption that  $2\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{1/\nu} \geq 2^{j/\nu}$  and using the fact that  $\nu \geq 3$ , we find that

$$h(s + \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{1/\nu}) \ge \nu\left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} \frac{1}{2}2^{j/\nu} - \frac{3}{2}2^{j} = \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\nu}{3}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{\nu-1}{\nu}} - 1\right)2^{j} \ge 0.$$

Denote by C the point on the line  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = \xi$  with coordinates

$$C = \left(s + \left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{1/\nu}, -\left(\frac{3}{2}2^{j}\right)^{1/\nu} + (a - s^{\nu})^{1/\nu}\right).$$

A quick check shows that

$$d(A, B) \le d(A, C) = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{3}{2} 2^j\right)^{1/\nu}$$

and so the Lemma is proved.  $\hfill\square$ 

Using Lemma 2.4 we are now ready to estimate the second contribution to I. Namely we have

$$\begin{split} I_{2} &= |\Delta_{k}| \int \int_{\tau-q>2^{j-\nu+1}+2^{j+1}} \sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{\xi_{2}\in\Lambda_{j}} |\hat{f}_{j}\hat{f}_{k}|\right)^{2} dq \, d\tau \\ &\leq c |\Delta_{k}| \, 2^{\frac{j}{\nu}} \int \int_{\tau-q>2^{j-\nu+1}+2^{j+1}} \sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\xi_{2}\in\Lambda_{j}} |\hat{f}_{j}\hat{f}_{k}|^{2} \, dq \, d\tau \\ &\leq c \, 2^{k} \, 2^{\frac{j}{\nu}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\xi_{2}\in\mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_{j}(\xi-\xi_{2},\tau-q-\xi_{2}^{\nu})|^{2} |\hat{f}_{k}(\xi_{2},q+\xi_{2}^{\nu})|^{2} \, dq \, d\tau \\ &= c \, 2^{k} \, 2^{\frac{j}{\nu}} \sum_{\xi\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\xi_{2}\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}_{j}(\xi-\xi_{2},\eta_{1})|^{2} \, d\eta_{1} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}_{k}(\xi_{2},\eta_{2})|^{2} \, d\eta_{2} \\ &= c \, 2^{k} \, 2^{\frac{j}{\nu}} \|\hat{f}_{j}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\hat{f}_{k}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, since  $\tau - \xi^{\nu} \simeq 2^{j}$ , we get as before

$$I_2 \leq \frac{c}{2^{\frac{\nu+1}{4\nu}(j-k)}} \|(1+|\tau-\xi^{\nu}|)^{\frac{\nu+1}{4\nu}} \hat{f}_j\|_{L^2} \|(1+|\tau-\xi^{\nu}|)^{\frac{\nu+1}{4\nu}} \hat{f}_k\|_{L^2}.$$

The above estimate combined with the corresponding estimate for  $I_1$  yields the desired inequality in Lemma 2.2 and concludes the proof.  $\Box$ 

### References

- [B1] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. Part 1: Schrodinger equation, Geom. Funct. Anal. 3, (1993).
- [B2] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. Part
   2: KdV equation, Geom. Funct. Anal. 3, (1993).
- [B3] J. Bourgain, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations, in Hyperbolic Equations and Frequency Interactions, IAS/Park City, AMS (1999).

- [FG] Y. Fang and M. Grillakis, Existence and uniqueness for Boussinessq type equations on a circle, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 21 (1996).
- [G] J. Ginibre, Le probleme de Cauchy pour des edp semi-lineaires periodiques en variables d'espace [d'apres Bourgain], Seminaire Bourbaki, 237 (1996).
- [GV] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, Generalized Strichartz inequalities for the wave equation, J. Funct. Anal. 133 (1995).
- [HM1] A. A. Himonas and G. Misiołek, The Cauchy problem for a shallow water type equation, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 23 (1998).
- [HM2] A. A. Himonas and G. Misiołek, A'priori estimates for Schrödinger type multipliers, to appear in Illinois J. Math.
- [KPV1] C. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Higher order nonlinear dispersive equations, Proceedings A.M.S. 122 (1994).
- [KPV2] C. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 40 (1991).
- [KPV3] C. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, The Cauchy problem for the Korteveg-de Vries equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices, Duke Math. J. 71 (1993).
- [P] G. Ponce, On nonlinear dispersive equations, Proceedings of the ICM Berlin 1998, Doc. Math. (1998).
- [ST] J.C. Saut and N. Tzvetkov, The Cauchy problem for the fifth order KP equations, J. Math. Pures Appl. 79 (2000).
- [So] C. Sogge, Lectures on Nonlinear Wave Equations, International Press 1995.

- [St] E. Stein, Harmonic analysis, Princeton University Press 1993.
- [SW] E. Stein and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces, Princeton University Press 1971.
- [Str] R. Strichartz, Restrictions of Fourier transforms to quadratic surfaces and decay of solutions of wave equations, Duke Math. J. 44 (1977).
- [Z] A. Zygmund, On Fourier coefficients and transforms of functions of two variables, Studia Math. 50 (1974), p.189-209.

	Gerard Misiołek
	Department of Mathematics
	University of Notre Dame
	Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA
	and
A. Alexandrou Himonas	Isaac Newton Institute for
Department of Mathematics	Mathematical Sciences
University of Notre Dame	University of Cambridge
Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA	Cambridge, CB3 9EW, UK
E-mail: alex.a.himonas.1@nd.edu	E-mail: misiolek.1@nd.edu

.

# Recent Newton Institute Preprints

NI00010-SC	E V Zlatić and J Freericks Theory of valence transitions in Ytterbium-based compounds
NI00011-EF	IN A Iozzi and D Witte Cartan-decomposition subgroups of $SU(2,n)$
NI00012-EF	N D Witte and L Lifschitz On automorophisms of arithmetic subgroups of unipotent groups in positive characteristic
NI00013-EF	N D Witte Homogeneous Lorentz manifold with simple isometry group
NI00014-SG	T <b>R</b> Uribe-Vargas Global theorems on vertices and flattenings of closed curves
NI00015-SG	T EA Bartolo, P Cassou-Nogués, I Luengo et al Monodromy conjecture for some surface singularities
NI00016-SG	T IG Scherbak Boundary singularities and non-crystallographic Coxeter groups
NI00017-SG	T K Houston On the classification and topology of complex map-germs of corank one and $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension one
NI00018-SG	T PJ Topalov and VS Matveev Geodesic equivalence via integrability
NI00019-GT	F S Friedlander On vortex tube stretching and instabilities in an inviscid fluid
NI00020-SG	Γ VD Sedykh Some invariants of admissible homotopies of space curves
NI00021-SG	Γ IA Bogaevsky Singularities of linear waves in plane and space
NI00022-SG	$\Gamma$ S Izumiya Generating families of developable surfaces in $R^3$
NI00023-SG'	<b>S Izumiya and N Takeuchi</b> Special curves and ruled surfaces
NI00024-GT	F S Friedlander and A Shnirelman Instability of steady flows of an ideal incompressible fluid
NI00025-SG	M Saito, T Takebe and H Terajima         Deformation of Okamoto-Painlevé pairs and Painlevé equations
NI00026-GT	F AA Himonas and G Misiolek A'priori estimates for higher order multipliers on a circle
NI00027-SG	<b>P Orro and D Trotman</b> Cône normal et régularités de Kuo-Verdier