SCHAUDER ESTIMATES FOR FULLY NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC DIFFERENCE OPERATORS

HUNG-JU KUO† AND NEIL S. TRUDINGER‡

ABSTRACT. In this paper we are concerned with discrete Schauder estimates for solution of fully nonlinear elliptic difference equations. Our estimates are discrete versions of second derivative Hölder estimates of Evans, Krylov, and Safonov for fully nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations. They extend previous results of Holtby for the special case of functions of pure second order differences on cubic meshes. As with Holtby's work, the fundamental ingredients are the pointwise estimates of Kuo-Trudinger for linear difference schemes on general meshes.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we derive Schauder estimates for solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic difference equations. Letting E denote a mesh, which is a discrete subset of n-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , and $u: E \to \mathbb{R}$, a mesh function, we consider nonlinear difference equations of the form

$$F[u] := F(x, \tilde{L}u(x)) = 0,$$
 (1.1)

where $F: E \times \mathbb{R}^K \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\widetilde{L} = (L_1, ..., L_K)$, is a system of linear difference operators given by

$$L_{j}u(x) = \sum_{x+y \in E} a_{j}(x,y)(u(x+y) - u(x))$$
 (1.2)

with coefficients, $a_j: E \times E \to \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, \dots, K$, having finite support in y, for each $x \in E$. The operators L_j are assumed to be monotone, that is

$$a_j(x,y) \ge 0, \tag{1.3}$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 65N12, 35J15, 39A70; Secondary 65N40, 35B05, 39A10.

 $^{^\}dagger research$ supported by Taiwan National Science Council under Grant No. NSC89-2115-M-005-003.

[†]research supported by Australian Research Council Grant.

for all $x, x + y \in E$, and balanced, that is

$$\sum a_j(x,y)y = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

for all $x, x+y \in E$. Conditions (??) and (??) mean that L_j corresponds to a pure second order degenerate elliptic partial differential operator \mathfrak{L}_j given by (refer to [?])

$$\mathfrak{L}_{j}u(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x+y \in E} \sum_{r,s} a_{j}(x,y) y_{r} y_{s} D_{r,s} u(x).$$
 (1.5)

Concerning the function F, we will assume that F is Hölder continuous with respect to $x \in E$ and concave with respect to $q = \tilde{L} \in \mathbb{R}^K$, satisfying structure conditions

$$\lambda \le F_{q_i}(x, q) \le \Lambda \tag{1.6}$$

$$|F(x,q) - F(z,q)| \le \mu(1+|q|)|x-z|^{\gamma}$$
 (1.7)

for all $z \in E$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^K$ and fixed positive constants λ , Λ , μ and γ . In this paper we will assume that the operators L_1, \dots, L_K have constant coefficients, that is a(x,y) = a(y) for all $x, x + y \in E$ and E is an additive group. Accordingly, we can write L_j in the form

$$L_j u(x) = \sum_{y \in E} a_j(y) (u(x+y) - u(x)). \tag{1.8}$$

The difference operator F will correspond to a degenerate elliptic differential operator \mathfrak{F} given by

$$\mathfrak{F}[u] = F(x, \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}u(x))$$

$$:= G(x, D^2u(x))$$
(1.9)

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}} = (\mathfrak{L}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{L}_K)$ and G is concave with respect to D^2u and Hölder continuous with respect to x. We will impose non-degeneracy conditions on F by requiring that the sum,

$$L := \sum_{j=1}^{K} L_j \tag{1.10}$$

satisfies the non-degeneracy conditions invoked by us in our treatment of local pointwise estimates for linear difference operators on general meshes in [?], [?]. Namely, setting

$$a = \sum_{j=1}^{K} a_j \,, \tag{1.11}$$

we define a finite set $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$Z = \left\{ a(x, y) y \mid y \in E \right\}. \tag{1.12}$$

Note that the condition that L is balanced implies that Z is centered at the origin, 0. Letting \widehat{Z} denote the convex hull of Z, we then assume that there exists a ball, of center 0 and radius ρ , B_{ρ} satisfying

$$B_{\varrho} \subset \widehat{Z}.$$
 (1.13)

Next, following [?], we need to assume the mesh points are effectively linked through the operator L. That is, we assume for any two points $x, z \in E$, there exist points $x_0 = x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell = z$ in E such that

$$a(x_{i+1} - x_i) \ge \lambda_0, \qquad i = 0, \dots, \ell - 1,$$
 (1.14)

for some positive constant λ_0 , with the number $\ell = \ell(x, z)$ uniformly bounded by the distance between x and z, that is

$$\ell(x,z) \le \frac{\ell_0|x-z|}{h},\tag{1.15}$$

where ℓ_0 is a positive constant and h is the minimum mesh width at x given by

$$h = \min_{x,z \in E} |x - z|$$

$$= \min_{y \in E - \{0\}} |y|$$
(1.16)

To illustrate our conditions, we describe the particular example treated by Holtby in [?]. Here the mesh E is the cubic mesh of width h in \mathbb{R}^n , that is

$$E = \left\{ h(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \,\middle|\, m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \ i = 1, \dots, \ n \right\},$$
(1.17)

and the operators L_1, \dots, L_K are the second order difference quotients, δ_j^2 , $j = 1, \dots, n$, in the coordinate directions e_1, \dots, e_n , that is

$$\delta_j^2 u(x) = \frac{1}{h^2} \Big\{ u(x + 2he_j) - 2u(x + he_j) + u(x) \Big\}$$
(1.18)

 $j = 1, \dots, n$. The operator L is the discrete Laplacian, given by

$$Lu(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{j}^{2} u(x).$$
 (1.19)

Clearly, $\rho = \frac{1}{h}$, $\lambda_0 = 1$, $\ell_0 = \sqrt{n}$.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In the next section, we establish interior Schauder estimates for second order differences of solutions of

equation (??) where F is independent of the x variables, that is the "frozen case". Our main tool here is our discrete weak Harnack inequality in [?], [?], and our overall approach is based on that presented for the continuous case in the monograph [?]. In the last section, we derive the general Schauder estimates, Theorem ??, utilizing the perturbation approach developed by Safonov [?], [?] for the continuous case.

Finally we acknowledge the hospitality of the Isaac Newton Institute in Cambridge, UK, where these investigations were completed during the programme on Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in the first half of 2001.

2. The Frozen Case

In this section, we consider the equation (??), frozen at a mesh point x_0 , that is

$$F_0[u] := F_0(\tilde{L}u) = 0 (2.1)$$

where $F_0: \mathbb{R}^K \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by $F_0(q) = F(x_0, q)$ and $\tilde{L} = (L_1, \dots, L_K)$ is given by (??). By Schmidt [?], the mesh E is a *lattice*, that is there exist linear independent vectors $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$E = \{ (m_1 \zeta_1, m_2 \zeta_2, \cdots, m_n \zeta_n) \mid m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i = 1, ..., n \}.$$
(2.2)

The simplest case of a lattice mesh is the cubic mesh (??). Note that $h = \min |\zeta_i|$ and from (??) and (??) we can estimate ρ from below by

$$\rho \ge C_0 \det \left[\frac{\zeta_1}{|\zeta_1|}, \cdots, \frac{\zeta_n}{|\zeta_n|} \right] \lambda_0 h, \tag{2.3}$$

where C_0 is a positive constant depending on n. Setting

$$Y = \{ y \in E - \{0\} \mid a(y) > 0 \}, \tag{2.4}$$

we define the maximum mesh width of E, with respect to L, by

$$\overline{h} = \max_{x, x+y \in E} \left\{ |y| \mid a(x, y) > 0 \right\}$$

$$= \max_{y \in Y} |y|.$$
(2.5)

Hölder estimates for \tilde{L}

We now proceed from equation (??) to derive Hölder estimates for $\tilde{L}u$, following the method in [?], [?]. More generally, we can consider an equation of the form

$$F_0(\tilde{L}u) = \psi \tag{2.6}$$

where ψ is a given mesh function. By the concavity of F_0 , we have for $y \in Y$,

$$\psi(x+y) - \psi(x) = F_0(\tilde{L}u(x+y)) - F_0(\tilde{L}u(x))$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial q_j} (\tilde{L}u(x)) \Big(L_j u(x+y) - L_j u(x) \Big)$$
(2.7)

Hence, letting $\lambda_j(x) = \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial q_i}(\tilde{L}u(x))$, for each $j = 1, \dots, K$, we have

$$L_{j}\psi(x) = \sum_{y \in Y} a_{j}(y) \Big((\psi(x+y) - \psi(x)) \Big)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_{i}(x) \left(\sum_{y \in Y} a_{i}(y) \Big(L_{j}u(x+y) - L_{j}u(x) \Big) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_{i}(x) L_{i}(L_{j}u)(x)$$

$$(2.8)$$

Fix j and let $v = L_j u$, $\phi = L_j \psi$ to get, from (??), the linear inequality

$$Lv := \sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i L_i v \ge \phi. \tag{2.9}$$

We now invoke the discrete weak Harnack inequality [?]. Let L be any linear operator of the form,

$$Lu(x) = \sum_{x+y \in E} a(x,y) \Big(u(x+y) - u(x) \Big)$$
 (2.10)

which is balanced, monotone and satisfies the non-degeneracy conditions (??), (??), (??). Letting $B_R(z)$ denote the ball of center z and radius R in \mathbb{R}^n and $E_R(z) = B_R(z) \cap E$ the corresponding mesh ball, we assume that u is a non-negative mesh function, satisfying the difference inequality, $Lu \leq f$, in $E_R = E_R(z)$, for some mesh function f. The weak Harnack inequality asserts the existence of a constant p > 0, depending on $n, \overline{h}/h$, $a_0/\rho h$, \widetilde{a}/λ_0 , where

$$a_0 = \sum a(y)|y|^2, \qquad \tilde{a} = \sum a(y)$$
 (2.11)

such that for any $\tau < 1$ and $(1 - \tau) < \overline{h}/R$

$$\left\{ \sum_{E_{\tau R}} \left(\frac{h}{R} \right)^n u^p \right\}^{1/p} \le C \left\{ \min_{E_{\tau R}} u + R \left(\sum_{E_R} \left| \frac{f}{\rho} \right|^n \right)^{1/n} \right\}$$
(2.12)

where C is a constant, depending additionally on τ . Returning to (??), we set for $\sigma < 1$,

$$M_{\sigma} = \sup_{E_{\sigma R}(x_0)} v$$
, $m_{\sigma} = \inf_{E_{\sigma R}(x_0)} v$,

and apply (??) to the function $M_1 - v$, thereby obtaining

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{h}{R} \right)^{n} \sum_{E_{\tau R}} \left(M_{1} - v \right)^{p} \right\}^{1/p}$$

$$\leq C \left\{ \min_{E_{\tau R}} \left(M_{1} - v \right) + R \left(\sum_{E_{R}} \left| \frac{\phi}{\rho} \right|^{n} \right)^{1/n} \right\}$$

$$\leq C \left\{ M_{1} - M_{\tau} + R \left\| \frac{\phi}{\rho} \right\|_{n;E_{R}} \right\}$$

where p depends on $n, \overline{h}/h, \ell_0, a_0/h\rho, \widetilde{a}/\lambda_0, \lambda$ and Λ , C depends additionally on τ , and

$$|| f ||_{n;E_R} = \left(\sum_{E_R} |f|^n \right)^{1/n}$$

Following [?], to conclude a Hölder estimate for v from (??), we need a corresponding inequality for -v, which we obtain by considering (??) as a functional relationship between $L_j u, j = 1, \dots, K$. In fact, using the concavity of F_0 again, we have for any $x, z \in E_R$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i(z) \Big(L_i u(z) - L_i u(x) \Big) \le \psi(z) - \psi(x) . \tag{2.14}$$

Now setting

$$M_{\sigma_i} = \sup_{E_{\sigma R}} L_i u , \qquad m_{\sigma_i} = \inf_{E_{\sigma R}} L_i u ,$$

we obtain, by summing (??), from i = 1 to K,

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{h}{R} \right)^{n} \sum_{E_{\tau R}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{K} \left(M_{1i} - L_{i} u \right) \right]^{p} \right\}^{1/p} \\
\leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1} \left(M_{1i} - M_{\tau i} \right) + R \sum_{i=1}^{K} \left\| \frac{L_{i} \psi}{\rho} \right\|_{n; E_{R}} \right\}, \quad (2.15)$$

where C depends on K, as well as the quantities in (??). Using (??) in (??), we obtain the complementary inequality,

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{h}{R} \right)^n \sum_{E_{\tau R}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^K \left(L_i u - m_{1i} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} \\
\leq C \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^K \left(M_{1i} - M_{\tau i} \right) + R \sum_{i=1}^K \left\| \frac{L_i \psi}{\rho} \right\|_{n; E_R} + R^{\gamma} |\psi|_{\gamma, E_R} \right\}, \quad (2.16)$$

where $0 < \gamma \le 1$ and, for any $\Omega \subset E$,

$$[\psi]_{\gamma,\Omega} = \sup_{x,z\in\Omega} \frac{|\psi(x) - \psi(z)|}{|x - z|^{\gamma}}.$$

Writing,

$$\omega(\tau R) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \underset{E_{\tau R}}{\text{osc}} L_{i} u = \sum_{i=1}^{K} (M_{\tau i} - m_{\tau i})$$

we then obtain, by adding (??) and (??),

$$\omega(\tau R) \le \chi \omega(R) + R^{\gamma} [\psi]_{\gamma, E_R} + R \sum_{i=1}^K \left\| \frac{L_i \psi}{\rho} \right\|_{n; E_R}$$
 (2.17)

where χ , depends on $n, \overline{h}/h, \ell_0, a_0/h\rho, \widetilde{a}/\lambda_0, \lambda, \Lambda, K$ and τ . We then conclude, from Lemma 8.23 [?], the *Hölder estimate*, for any τ , $0 < \tau < 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{K} \underset{E_{\tau R}}{\operatorname{osc}} L_{i} u \leq C \tau^{\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{K} \underset{E_{R}}{\operatorname{osc}} L_{i} u + R^{\gamma} [\psi]_{\gamma, E_{R}} + R \left\| \frac{\tilde{L} \psi}{\rho} \right\|_{n, E_{R}} \right\}_{2.18}$$

where $\alpha \ (\leq \gamma)$ and C are positive constants, depending on $n, \overline{h}/h, \ell_0, a_0/h\rho, \overline{a}/\lambda_0, \lambda, \Lambda$ and K.

To pass from the estimate (??) to a Schauder estimate, that is a Hölder estimate for second difference quotients of u, we apply the Schauder estimates of Thomeé [?] to the sum (??). Because E is a lattice, we can transform coordinates so that E is mapped to the cubic

mesh \mathbb{Z}^n , through the matrix T^{-1} where $T = [\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n]$. We may then express the Thomeé estimates in terms of our original mesh E by defining the forward differences

$$\delta_i u(x) = \frac{u(x+\zeta_i) - u(x)}{|\zeta_i|} \tag{2.19}$$

and for any multi-index $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n), \beta_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$

$$\delta^{\beta}u(x) = \delta_1^{\beta_1} \, \delta_2^{\beta_2} \,, \, \cdots \,, \, \delta_n^{\beta_n} u(x) \,. \tag{2.20}$$

The right hand side of the estimate (??) can be expressed in terms of the operator δ through the following lemma, whose proof we defer until the end of this section.

Lemma 2.1. Let L be a balanced monotone operator of the form (??) on the lattice mesh E, with

$$Y = Y_x = \left\{ y \in E - \{0\} \mid a(x, y) > 0 \right\}. \tag{2.21}$$

Denote by \tilde{Y} the smallest parallelogram (with axes parallel to ζ_1, \dots, ζ_n) containing Y. Then we can write

$$Lu(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \sum_{y \in \widetilde{Y}} c_{ij}(y) \delta_i \delta_j u(x+y)$$
 (2.22)

where the coefficients c_{ij} satisfy bounds,

$$\sum \left| c_{ij} \right| \le C a_0 \left(\frac{\overline{h}}{h} \right)^n, \tag{2.23}$$

where C is a constant depending only on n.

Next to relate the ellipticity condition of Thomée [?] to our non-degeneracy condition, we see that the characteristic polynomial of any monotone balanced operator L in (??) is given by

$$p(\theta) = p(\theta, x) = \sum_{y \in Y} a(x, y) \left(e^{i\theta \cdot y} - 1 \right), \qquad \left(|\theta| \le \pi \right), \tag{2.24}$$

Hence, using the balance condition,

$$\left| p(\theta) \right| \ge C \sum_{y \in Y} a(x, y) \left(y \cdot \theta \right)^2$$

$$\ge C \frac{(\rho h)^2}{a_0} |\theta|^2$$
(2.25)

by inequality (4.10) in [?], where C is a positive constant. We then conclude from (??),

$$\underset{E_{\tau R}}{\operatorname{osc}} \, \delta^{\beta} u \, \leq \, C \tau^{\alpha} \, \left\{ \sup_{|\beta|=2} \underset{E_{R}}{\operatorname{osc}} \, \delta^{\beta} u \, + \, R^{\gamma} \, \left[\frac{\psi}{\rho h} \right]_{\gamma, E_{R}} \, + \, R \, \left| \left| h \, \delta^{2} \psi \right| \right|_{n, E_{R}} \right\},$$

where

$$\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$$
 (the set of non-negative integers)

and

$$|\beta| = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_n = 2.$$

By interpolation [?],

$$\underset{E_{\tau R}}{\operatorname{osc}} \delta^2 u \leq C \tau^{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^2} \max_{E_R} u + R^{\gamma} \left[\frac{\psi}{\rho h} \right]_{\gamma, E_R} + R \left\| h \, \delta^2 \psi \right\|_{n, E_R} \right\}$$

where constants C and α depend on the same quantities as in (??).

Proof of Lemma??

Lemma ?? will follow from a multi-dimensional Taylor formula. To obtain this, we write

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \zeta_i,$$

and assume initially that x = 0 and $m_i \ge 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Expanding, as in the one dimensional case, [?], [?], we have

$$u(y) = (u + m_1 | \zeta_1 | \delta_1 u)(0, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{m_1-2} (m_1 - k - 1) |\zeta_1|^2 \delta_1 \delta_1 u(k\zeta_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \quad (2.28)$$

Expanding the first terms on the right hand side in the ζ_2 direction, we then obtain

$$u(y) = (u + m_1 | \zeta_1 | \delta_1 u + m_2 | \zeta_2 | \delta_2 u)(0, 0, y_3, \dots, y_n)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{m_1 - 2} (m_1 - k - 1) | \zeta_1 |^2 \delta_1 \delta_1 u(k\zeta_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{m_2 - 2} \left[(m_2 - k - 1) | \zeta_2 |^2 \delta_2 \delta_2 u + m_2 | \zeta_1 | | \zeta_2 | \delta_1 \delta_2 u \right] (0, k\zeta_2, y_3, \dots, y_n)$$

Continuing this process, we end up with

$$u(y) - u(0)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} |\zeta_{i}| \delta_{i} u(0)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{m_{1}-2} (m_{1} - k - 1) |\zeta_{1}|^{2} \delta_{1} \delta_{1} u(k\zeta_{1}, y_{2}, \dots, y_{n})$$

$$+ \dots \dots$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{m_{n}-2} \left[(m_{n} - k - 1) |\zeta_{n}|^{2} \delta_{n} \delta_{n} u + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_{i} |\zeta_{i}| |\zeta_{n}| \delta_{i} \delta_{n} u \right] (0, 0, \dots, k\zeta_{n})$$

For general m_i , we replace ζ_i by $-\zeta_i$ and m_i by $|m_i|$ in the above formula whenever $m_i < 0$, to obtain,

$$u(y) - u(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |m_i| \left[u(x + (\text{sign } m_i) \zeta_i) - u(0) \right] + \text{second order differences.}$$
(2.31)

By the balance condition,

$$\sum_{y \in Y} a(y) \, m_i(y) \, = \, 0 \, ,$$

that is

$$\sum_{m_i > 0} a(y) |m_i| = \sum_{m_i < 0} a(y) |m_i|$$

and hence we obtain, from (??) and (??),

$$Lu(0) = \sum_{y \in Y} a(y) \left(u(y) - u(0) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{y \in \widetilde{Y}} c_{ij} \delta_i \delta_j u(y)$$
(2.32)

as required. The case of general $x \in E$, follows immediately by translation.

3. The General Case

We pass from the frozen to the general case though a method employed by Safonov [?], [?] for fully nonlinear partial differential equations, which was already extended to difference equations by Holtby in [?], [?]. As remarked earlier, our equations are more general than those considered by Holtby and overall our approach in simpler. We

begin by considering equation (??) in a mesh ball $E_R = E_R(x_0)$, R > 0 and $x_0 \in E$, under the hypotheses (??), (??), (??), (??), (??) and (??). The interior E_R^o and boundary E_R^b of E, with respect to the difference operator F under our hypotheses, are given by

$$E_R^o = \left\{ x \in E_R \mid x + Y \subset E_R \right\},$$

$$E_R^b = E_R - E_R^o,$$

where Y is given by (??). Letting P denote a polynomial of degree two, we consider the "frozen" Dirichlet problem,

$$F[v] := F(x_0, \tilde{L}v + \tilde{L}P) = 0 \quad \text{in } E_R^o,$$

$$v = u - P \quad \text{on } E_R^b,$$
(3.1)

where u is the given solution of equation (??) in E_R . The existence of a unique solution v of (??) may be shown from the method of continuity and the discrete maximum principle [?], [?], [?], as in [?]. Observing that $\tilde{L}P$ is a constant vector, we apply the interior estimate (??), with $\psi \equiv 0$, to obtain, for any $r < R - \overline{h}$,

$$\operatorname*{osc}_{E_{r}} \delta^{2} v \leq C \frac{r^{\alpha}}{R^{2+\alpha}} \max_{E_{R}} |v|, \tag{3.2}$$

where C and α are as in (??). At this point, it is convenient to specify $P = P_0$ so that $\delta^{\beta} P_0(x_0) = \delta^{\beta} u(x_0)$ for $\beta \leq 2$. It follows that

$$F(x_0, \tilde{L}P_0(x_0)) = 0 (3.3)$$

Hence we can write equation (??) in the form,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_j}(\zeta) L_j v = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

for some ζ lying between $\tilde{L}v$ and $\tilde{L}(v+P)$. By the maximum principle, we then have

$$\max_{E_R} |v| \le \max_{E_R^b} |v|$$

$$\le \max_{E_R^b} |u - P_0|$$

$$\le C R^{2+\gamma} \left[\delta^2 u \right]_{\gamma; E_R}$$

$$(3.5)$$

for any $0 < \gamma \le 1$ by the discrete Taylor formula (??), and our choice of P_0 , (Note that the first order difference in (??) for negative m_i can

be controlled through second order differences). Taking $\gamma < \alpha$ and substituting (??) into (??) we then obtain

$$r^{-\gamma} \underset{E_r}{\text{osc}} \delta^2 v \le C \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\alpha-\gamma} \left[\delta^2 u\right]_{\gamma; E_R}$$
 (3.6)

Next by combining equations (??) and (??), we have

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{K} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_{j}}(\zeta) L_{j}(u - v - P_{0}) \right| \leq \left| F(x, \tilde{L}u) - F(x_{0}, \tilde{L}u) \right|$$

$$\leq \mu \left(1 + \left| \tilde{L}u \right| \right) R^{\gamma}$$
(3.7)

in E_R , by (??), where ζ lies between $\tilde{L}u$ and $\tilde{L}(v+P_0)$. Applying the discrete maximum principle (see [?], [?], [?]), we thus obtain

$$\left| u - v - P_0 \right| \le C R^{2+\gamma} \left(1 + \max \left| \tilde{L}u \right| \right) \tag{3.8}$$

in the mesh ball E_R . Consequently, letting \wp denote the set of second degree polynomials, we obtain from (??), (??) and (??) with appropriate choice of polynomial p,

$$r^{-2-\gamma} \inf_{p \in \wp} \max_{E_r} |u - p|$$

$$\leq r^{-2-\gamma} \left\{ \inf_{p \in \wp} \max_{E_r} |v - p| + \max_{E_r} \left| u - P_0 - v \right| \right\}$$

$$\leq C \left\{ \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{\alpha - \gamma} \left[\delta^2 u \right]_{\gamma; E_R} + \left(\frac{R}{r} \right)^{2+\gamma} \left(1 + \max_{E_R} \left| \delta^2 u \right| \right) \right\}$$

$$(3.9)$$

with constant C depending on $n, \Lambda/\lambda, \mu/\lambda, \overline{h}/h, a_0/\rho h, \widetilde{a}/\lambda_0, \ell_0$ and K. To get an interior Schauder estimate from $(\ref{eq:constant})$, we let Ω be a subset of $E, x_0 \in \Omega^o$ and choose $r = \epsilon R$ with $R < R_0 = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{dist}(x_0, \Omega^b)$. We thus obtain

$$r^{-2-\gamma}\inf_{p\in\wp}\max_{E_r}|u-p|\leq C\left\{\epsilon^{\alpha-\gamma}[\delta^2u]_{\gamma;E_R}+\epsilon^{-(2+\gamma)}\left(1+\max_{E_R}|\delta^2u|\right)\right\}. \tag{3.10}$$

Defining the interior Hölder semi-norms,

$$[u]_{\gamma;\Omega}^{*} = \max_{\Omega' \subset \Omega} (d')^{\gamma} [u]_{\gamma,\Omega'}$$

$$[u]_{k;\Omega}^{*} = \max_{\substack{\Omega' \subset \Omega \\ |\beta| = k}} (d')^{k} |\delta^{\beta} u|_{0;\Omega'}$$

$$[u]_{k,\gamma;\Omega}^{*} = \max_{\substack{\Omega' \subset \Omega \\ |\beta| = k}} (d')^{k+\gamma} [\delta^{\beta} u]_{\gamma,\Omega'}$$
(3.11)

where $d' = \operatorname{dist}(\Omega', \Omega^b)$, we may rewrite (??) as,

$$\left(\frac{r}{R_0}\right)^{-2-\gamma} \inf_{p \in \wp} \max_{E_r} |u - p| \leq C \left\{ \epsilon^{\alpha-\gamma} [u]_{2,\gamma;\Omega}^* + \epsilon^{-(2+\gamma)} \left(R_0^{2+\gamma} + R_0^{\gamma} [u]_{2;\Omega}^* \right) \right\}.$$
(3.12)

Note that (??) will also hold for $n \geq \epsilon R_0$ As in the continuous case, ([?], [?], [?]) the interior k, γ semi-norms in (??) are equivalent to the corresponding L^{∞} -Campanato semi-norms, whence we infer from (??),

$$[u]_{2,\gamma;\Omega}^* \le C \left\{ \epsilon^{\alpha-\gamma} [u]_{2,\gamma;\Omega}^* + \epsilon^{-(2+\gamma)} \left(d^{2+\gamma} + d^{\gamma} [u]_{2;\Omega}^* \right) \right\}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $d = \operatorname{diam} \Omega$. By choosing ϵ sufficiently small and using the interpolation inequalities [?], [?], (as in the continuous case [?], [?]), we finally arrive at the interior Schauder estimates,

$$||u||_{2,\gamma;\Omega}^* = \sum_{k=0}^2 [u]_{k;\Omega}^* + [u]_{2,\gamma;\Omega}^*$$

$$\leq C \left(|u|_{0;\Omega} + \left(\operatorname{diam} \Omega \right)^{2+\gamma} \right),$$
(3.14)

where C depends on n, Λ/λ , μ/λ , \overline{h}/h , $a_0/\rho h$, \widetilde{a}/λ_0 , ℓ_0 , γ and K.

Accordingly we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let E be a lattice mesh in \mathbb{R}^n and suppose u is a solution of the difference equation (??) in a bounded subset Ω of E. Assume that F satisfies the structural conditions, (??), (??), (??), (??), (??), (??), h there exists a constant h > 0, depending on h, h \lambda, h \hat{h}, h \lambda, h \lambda, h \lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda \text{ then } \text{ then } \text{ a lattice mesh in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and suppose u is a solution of the difference equation (??) in a bounded subset h of E.

$$\parallel u \parallel_{2,\gamma;\Omega}^* \le C, \tag{3.15}$$

where C depends additionally on μ/λ , γ , $|u|_0$ and diam Ω .

In a sequel paper, we will consider the extension of Theorem $\ref{thm:eq}$ to general meshes. The main difficulties here are that we cannot have constant operators \tilde{L} nor define the iterated difference operators δ^{β} on mesh functions

References

- [1] L.C.Evans, Classical solutions of fully nonlinear, convex, second-order elliptic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 35(1982), 333-363.
- [2] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, 2nd edition, Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [3] D.W.Holtby, *High-order estimates for fully nonlinear difference equation*, PgD thesis, Australian National University, 1996.
- [4] ____, Higher-order estimates for fully nonlinear difference equations I, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 43(2000), 485-510.
- [5] ____, Higher-order estimates for fully nonlinear difference equations II, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 44(2001), 87-102.
- [6] N.V.Krylov, Boundedly inhomogeneous elliptic and parabolic equations, English translation: Math. USSR Izv., 20(1983), 459-492.
- [7] T. Kunkle, A multivariate interpolant with nth derivative not much larger than necessary, PhD thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1991.
- [8] _____, Largrange interpolation on a lattice: bounding derivatives by divided difference, J. Approx. Theory 71(1992), 94-103.
- [9] H. J. Kuo and N. S. Trudinger, Linear elliptic difference inequalities with random coefficients, Math. Comp. 55(1990), 37-53.
- [10] ____, Discrete methods for fully nonlinear elliptic equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 29(1992), 123-135.
- [11] _____, On the discrete maximum principle for parabolic difference operators, RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér., 27(1993), 719-737.
- [12] _____, Local estimates for parabolic difference operators, J. Differential Equations, 122(1995), 398-413.
- [13] _____, Positive difference operators on general meshes, Duke Math. J. 83(1996), 415-433.
- [14] _____, Maximum principles for difference operators, Topics in Partial Differential Equations & Applications: Collected papers in Honor of Carlo Pucci, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics Series/177, 209-219, Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1996.
- [15] _____, Evolving monotone difference operators on general space-time meshes, Duke Mathematical Journal, Vol. 91, (1998), 587-607.
- [16] _____, A note on the discrete Aleksandrov-Bakelman maximum principle, Taiwan Journals of Mathematics, 4(2000), 55-67.
- [17] M.V. Safonov, On the classical solution of nonlinear elliptic equations of second order, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 52(1988), 1272-1287. (Engish transl.: Math. USSR Izvestiya) 33(1989), 597-612.
- [18] M.V.Safonov, Nonlinear elliptic equations of second order, Lecture Notes Univ. di Firenze, 1991.
- [19] W.M. Schmidt, Diophantine Approximations and Diophantine Equations, Lecture Notes in Math. 1467, Springer Verlag.
- [20] V. Thomée, Discrete interior Schauder estimates for elliptic difference operators, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 5 (1968), 626-645.
- [21] N.S. Trudinger, Local estimates for subsolutions and supersolutions of general second order elliptic quasilinear equations. Invent. Math. 61(1980), 67-79.
- [22] _____, Regularity of solutions of fully nonlinear elliptic equations, Bollettino UMI(6) 3-A (1984), 421-430.

[23] _____, Lectures on nonlinear elliptic equations of second order, Lectures in Mathematical Sciences, 9, Univ. Tokyo, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHUNG-HSING UNIVERSITY, TAICHUNG 402, TAIWAN.

E-mail address: kuohj@nchu.edu.tw

CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS, AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CANBERRA, ACT 0200, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: neil.trudinger@anu.edu.au