

Explicit Brauer Induction and the Glauberman Correspondence

Adam Case and Victor Snaith

1 Introduction

Let S and G be finite groups of coprime order such that S acts on G . If S is solvable, Glauberman [6] proves the existence of a bijection between the S -fixed irreducible representations of G and the irreducible representations of G^S . A second proof using Brauer's results in block theory was given by Alperin [1]. Boltje [5] assumes Glauberman's theorem and gives an explicit version of the correspondence using Explicit Brauer Induction (see [15]) in the case in which S is a p -group.

We shall use Explicit Brauer Induction to give another proof of Glauberman's result and also show how Boltje's result follows immediately from our method.

Section Two gives some preliminary results: the 'canonical' extensions, Explicit Brauer Induction and results in Tate cohomology and non-abelian cohomology. Section Three contains a proof of Glauberman's theorem, and Section Four moves on to demonstrate how this extends to give Boltje's explicit correspondence.

It would be very interesting to explain the Shintani correspondence [9] (a correspondence in which $|S|$ and $|G|$ are not coprime) in terms of Explicit Brauer Induction.

2 Preliminary Results

Throughout this section let S and G be finite groups of coprime order such that S is a solvable group acting on G . We denote the set of S -fixed points of a set X on which S acts by X^S .

2.1 Extensions of Representations

For an irreducible complex representation ρ of G , which is fixed under the action of S , the existence of a unique (canonical) extension $\tilde{\rho}$ to the semi-direct product $S \ltimes G$ is well known, and characterised by the following theorem (for example, see [6]):

Theorem 2.1

Let $\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^S$. Then there exists a unique $\tilde{\rho} \in \text{Irr}(S \rtimes G)$ such that $\text{Res}_G^{S \rtimes G}(\tilde{\rho}) = \rho$ and $\det(\tilde{\rho}(s)) = 1$ for all $s \in S$. Moreover, if $\tilde{\rho}$ is such an extension then $\mathbb{Q}(\tilde{\rho}) = \mathbb{Q}(\rho)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(s) \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all $s \in S$ (where $\mathbb{Q}(\rho)$ is the field obtained by adjoining the character values of ρ to \mathbb{Q}).

The second part of the theorem leads to the following observation:

Lemma 2.2

Let S be a cyclic group and $\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^S$. If $s \in S$ and a an integer prime to $|S|$, then the character values of $\tilde{\rho}$ satisfy $\tilde{\rho}(s, t) = \tilde{\rho}(s^a, t)$ for all $t \in G^S$.

2.2 Explicit Brauer Induction

We briefly recall Explicit Brauer Induction from [15].

2.3 Let G be any finite group and let $R(G)$ denote the complex representation ring of G . Denote by \mathcal{M}_G the poset of characters (or one-dimensional complex representations) on subgroups, (H, ϕ) , where $H \leq G$ and $\phi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Then \mathcal{M}_G is a poset if we define the partial ordering by

$$(H, \phi) \leq (H', \phi') \iff H \leq H' \text{ and } \text{Res}_H^{H'}(\phi') = \phi.$$

In addition, $g \in G$ acts on \mathcal{M}_G by the formula

$$g(H, \phi) = (gHg^{-1}, (g^{-1})^*(\phi))$$

where $(g^{-1})^*(\phi)(ghg^{-1}) = \phi(h)$ for all $h \in H$.

Let $R_+(G)$ denote the free abelian group on the set $(\mathcal{M}_G)/G$. Hence the free generators are the G -conjugacy classes of characters $\phi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ where $H \leq G$. We shall denote this character by (H, ϕ) and its G -conjugacy class by $(H, \phi)^G \in R_+(G)$.

If $J \leq G$ we define a restriction homomorphism

$$\text{Res}_J^G : R_+(G) \rightarrow R_+(J)$$

by the double coset formula

$$\text{Res}_J^G((H, \phi)^G) = \sum_{z \in J \backslash G / H} (J \cap zHz^{-1}, (z^{-1})^*(\phi))^J.$$

If $\pi : J \rightarrow G$ is a surjection we may define an inflation homomorphism

$$\text{Inf}_G^J : R_+(G) \rightarrow R_+(J)$$

by the formula

$$\text{Inf}_G^J((H, \phi)^G) = (\pi^{-1}(H), \phi\pi)^J.$$

By means of restriction and inflation we may define

$$f^* : R_+(G) \longrightarrow R_+(J)$$

for any homomorphism $f : J \longrightarrow G$ by factorising f as $f : J \longrightarrow im(f) \subseteq G$ and setting

$$f^* = Inf_{im(f)}^J \cdot Res_{im(f)}^G : R_+(G) \longrightarrow R_+(im(f)) \longrightarrow R_+(J).$$

In addition, we may define a product on $R_+(G)$ by the formula

$$(K, \phi)^G \cdot (H, \psi)^G = \sum_{z \in K \backslash G/H} ((z^{-1}Kz) \cap H, z^*(\phi)\psi)^G.$$

These definitions make $R_+(G)$ into a functor from the category of finite groups to the category of commutative rings.

If $J \leq G$ one may also define an induction homomorphism

$$Ind_J^G : R_+(J) \longrightarrow R_+(G)$$

by the formula $Ind_J^G((H, \phi)^J) = (H, \phi)^G$. As in the case of the representation ring induction and restriction homomorphisms satisfy Frobenius reciprocity making $R_+(G)$ into a ring-valued Mackey functor. There is a natural transformation of ring-valued Mackey functors

$$b_G : R_+(G) \longrightarrow R(G)$$

defined on generators by the formula

$$b_G((H, \phi)^G) = Ind_H^G(\phi) \in R(G).$$

The following result was first discovered in [11] (see also [10]), improved upon in ([2],[3]) and developed and applied in ([4],[12],[13],[14],[15],[17]).

Theorem 2.4 (*Explicit Brauer Induction; [15] Theorem 2.3.9*)

Let G be any finite group then, there exists an additive homomorphism

$$a_G : R(G) \longrightarrow R_+(G)$$

satisfying the following properties:

(i) *For $H \leq G$ the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(G) & \xrightarrow{a_G} & R_+(G) \\ Res_H^G \downarrow & & \downarrow Res_H^G \\ R(H) & \xrightarrow{a_H} & R_+(H) \end{array}$$

(ii) Let $\rho : G \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a representation and suppose that

$$a_G(\rho) = \sum \alpha_{(H,\phi)^G}(H, \phi)^G \in R_+(G)$$

then $\alpha_{(G,\phi)^G} = \langle \rho, \phi \rangle$ for each $(H, \phi)^G$ such that $H = G$. Here $\langle \rho, \phi \rangle$ denotes the Schur inner product (i.e. the multiplicity of the irreducible representation ϕ in ρ). In particular, if ρ is one-dimensional then $a_G(\rho) = (G, \rho)^G$.

(iii) The homomorphism, a_G , is uniquely characterised by (i)-(ii) and satisfies $b_G a_G = 1$.

(iv) In terms of the Schur inner product and the Möbius function of the poset, \mathcal{M}_G , $a_G(\rho)$ is given by the following formula:

$$a_G(\rho) = |G|^{-1} \sum_{\substack{(H,\phi) \leq (H',\phi') \\ \text{in } \mathcal{M}_G}} |H| \mu_{(H,\phi), (H',\phi')}^{\mathcal{M}_G} \langle \rho, \text{Res}_{H'}^G(\rho) \rangle (H, \phi)^G.$$

2.3 Cohomological Results

Lemma 2.5

Let p be a prime not dividing $|G|$ and let S be a p -group acting on G . Then $H^1(S; G) = \{*\}$, the set with one element.

Proof

If $f : S \rightarrow G$ is a 1-cocycle, define a homomorphism $\Phi : S \rightarrow S \rtimes G$ by $\Phi(s) = (s, f(s))$ [13]. By Sylow's Theorem $\text{Im}(\Phi)$ is conjugate to S in $S \rtimes G$ and it follows that there exists $g \in G$ such that $f(s) = gs(g^{-1})$ for all $s \in S$. \square

Lemma 2.6

Let S be a solvable group acting on G , with $(|S|, |G|) = 1$. Then $H^1(S; G) = \{*\}$.

Proof

Let T be an abelian normal subgroup of S . The result follows from lemma 2.5 and the following well-known exact sequence of pointed sets (see for example [8]):

$$H^1(S/T; G^T) \longrightarrow H^1(S; G) \longrightarrow H^1(T; G)^{S/T}$$

\square

2.7 Consider the $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ -module given by $R_+(G)$ where the action of $s \in S$ is given by setting $s \circ (H, \phi)^G$ equal to the G -conjugacy class of $s(\phi) : s(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ given by $s(\phi)(s(h)) = \phi(h)$, for $h \in H$.

Recall that ([16] Definition 1.1.2 p.3), if M is a $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ -module and $N_S = \sum_{s \in S} s \in \mathbb{Z}[S]$ is the norm element, the 0-th Tate cohomology group is defined by:

$$\hat{H}^0(S; M) = M^S / (N_S M).$$

For any $\mathbf{Z}[S]$ -permutation module of the form $M = \bigoplus_i \text{Ind}_{S_i}^S(\mathbf{Z})$ we set

$$M_0 = \bigoplus_{S_i=S} \text{Ind}_{S_i}^S(\mathbf{Z})$$

and we have $\mathbf{Z}[S]$ -module homomorphisms:

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{j} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M_0$$

with j the inclusion map and $\pi j = 1$, which induce

$$\bigoplus_i \mathbf{Z}/|S_i| \cong \hat{H}^0(S; M) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \\ \xleftarrow{j_*} \end{array} \hat{H}^0(S; M_0) \cong \bigoplus_{S_i=S} \mathbf{Z}/|S|.$$

Specifically, we shall apply this to:

$$R_+(G) \cong \bigoplus_{(H,\phi)^G, J=Stab_S(H,\phi)^G} \text{Ind}_J^S(\mathbf{Z}).$$

Hence, summing over the same elements as above,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G)) &\cong \hat{H}^0(S; \bigoplus_J \text{Ind}_J^S(\mathbf{Z})) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_J \hat{H}^0(S; \text{Ind}_J^S(\mathbf{Z})) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_J \mathbf{Z}/|J| \end{aligned}$$

From this we see:

$$\hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G)_0) \cong \bigoplus_{(J,\phi)^G \in R_+(G), S=Stab_S(J,\phi)^G} \mathbf{Z}/|S|$$

and

$$\hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G^S)) \cong \bigoplus_{(J,\phi)^{G^S} \in R_+(G^S)} \mathbf{Z}/|S|.$$

Theorem 2.8

Let S be a p -group acting on G of coprime order as in §2.7. Then the restriction and induction homomorphisms induce inverse isomorphisms

$$\hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G)_0) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{j_*} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_*} \end{array} \hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{G^S}^G} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Ind}_{G^S}^G} \end{array} \hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G^S)).$$

Corollary 2.9

With S and G as Theorem 2.8, the restriction homomorphism induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Res}_{G^S}^G : \hat{H}^0(S; R(G)_0) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^0(S; R(G^S)).$$

Proof

Consider the following diagram (the summation is as in §2.7).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bigoplus_{(J', \phi')^G} \mathbf{Z}/|\text{Stab}_S((J', \phi')^G)| & & \bigoplus_{(J, \phi)^{G^S}} \mathbf{Z}/|S| \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 \hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G)) & \longrightarrow & \hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G^S)) \\
 \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ a_G \\ \downarrow \\ b_G \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ b_{G^S} \\ \downarrow \\ a_{G^S} \end{array} \\
 \hat{H}^0(S; R(G)) & \longrightarrow & \hat{H}^0(S; R(G^S))
 \end{array}$$

By naturality, each of the homomorphisms a_G, b_G, a_{G^S} and b_{G^S} is a $\mathbf{Z}[S]$ -module homomorphism. By Theorem 2.4 and functoriality of b_G all these homomorphisms commute with the restriction homomorphisms. Theorem 2.8 implies that $\text{Res}_{G^S}^G$ induces an isomorphism of the form

$$\hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G)_0) \cong \bigoplus_{(J, \phi)^G \in R_+(G), S = \text{Stab}_S(J, \phi)^G} \mathbf{Z}/|S| \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{(J, \phi)^{G^S}} \mathbf{Z}/|S|.$$

Since $b_G a_G = 1$ and $b_{G^S} a_{G^S} = 1$, the restriction homomorphism on $\hat{H}^0(S; R(G)_0)$ is a natural summand of the restriction homomorphism on $\hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G)_0)$ and is therefore an isomorphism modulo p , as required. \square

Theorem 2.8 will be proved in §2.12 after a series of preliminary results. Unless specified, S is assumed to be a solvable group acting on G with $(|G|, |S|) = 1$.

Proposition 2.10

Suppose that $J \subseteq G$ is a subgroup such that $s(J) = J$ for all $s \in S$. Then

$$(G^S \backslash G/J)^S = G^S \cdot 1 \cdot J,$$

the identity double coset.

Proof

Assume S is cyclic of order m , generated by an element s . If S fixes a double coset $G^S \cdot z \cdot J$ then there exists $\alpha \in G^S$, $\beta \in J$ such that $s(z) = \alpha z \beta$. By repeated action of s , we see that

$$z = s^m(z) = \alpha^m z \beta s(\beta) s^2(\beta) \dots s^{m-1}(\beta)$$

and so $z^{-1} \alpha^{-m} z \in J$. Since $|S|$ is prime to the order of α we find that $z^{-1} \alpha z = z^{-1} s(z) \beta^{-1} \in J$ and so $z^{-1} s(z) \in J$. This holds for all elements of S which means we may define a 1-cocycle, $f : S \rightarrow J$, by $f(s) = z^{-1} s(z)$ for all $s \in S$. By Lemma 2.6 there exists $j \in J$ such that $j^{-1} s(j) = f(s) = z^{-1} s(z)$ for all $s \in S$ and so $z j^{-1} \in G^S$. Hence $\alpha z \beta = \alpha (z j^{-1})(j \beta)$ and this implies that $G^S \cdot z \cdot J = G^S \cdot 1 \cdot J$ as required.

Assume now that S is non-cyclic and take $S' \triangleleft S$ such that S/S' is cyclic and let

$$X_{S'} = \{G^S \cdot z \cdot J \mid z \in G^{S'}\}.$$

We see S/S' acts on $X_{S'}$ and the proof follows by induction on $|S|$. \square

Lemma 2.11

If $J', J \subseteq G^S$ and $(J, \phi)^G = (J', \phi')^G \in R_+(G)$ then

$$(J, \phi)^{G^S} = (J', \phi')^{G^S} \in R_+(G^S).$$

Proof

By definition, there exists $g \in G$ such that $gJg^{-1} = J'$ and $\phi(j) = \phi'(g j g^{-1})$ for all $j \in J$. Now consider the function, $f : S \rightarrow G$, given by $f(s) = g^{-1} s(g)$. Define the normaliser of (J, ϕ) in G , $N_G(J, \phi)$, to be the subgroup given by

$$N_G(J, \phi) = \{z \in N_G J \mid \phi(z j z^{-1}) = \phi(j) \text{ for all } j \in J\}.$$

Then f is a 1-cocycle with values in $N_G(J, \phi)$ and the result follows by application of Lemma 2.6. \square

2.12 Proof of Theorem 2.8

Since the groups we are considering are both direct sums of copies of the cyclic p -group $\mathbf{Z}/|S|$, we have only to show that $\text{Ind}_{G^S}^G$ induces a modulo p inverse to $\text{Res}_{G^S}^G$ on Tate cohomology in dimension zero.

Given $(H, \psi)^G \in R_+(G)^S$, Glauberman's fixed point lemma (see for example Lemma 13.8 of [7]) implies that we can find an element (J, ϕ) such that $(H, \psi)^G =$

$(J, \phi)^G$ and $\text{Stab}_S(J, \phi) = S$. Proposition 2.10 implies that $(G^S \backslash G/J)^S$ is the identity double coset. The following composition

$$\hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G)_0) \xrightarrow{j^*} \hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G)) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{G^S}^G} \hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G^S)) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}_{G^S}^G} \hat{H}^0(S; R_+(G))$$

sends $(H, \psi)^G$ to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{G^S}^G(\text{Res}_{G^S}^G(H, \psi)^G) &= \text{Ind}_{G^S}^G(\text{Res}_{G^S}^G(J, \phi)^G) \\ &= \text{Ind}_{G^S}^G\left(\sum_{z \in G^S \backslash G/J} (G^S \cap zJz^{-1}, (z^{-1})^*(\phi))^{G^S}\right) \\ &= \sum_{z \in G^S \backslash G/J} (G^S \cap zJz^{-1}, (z^{-1})^*(\phi))^G \end{aligned}$$

The action of S permutes the terms in this sum so that we can separate the fixed and non-fixed double-cosets and apply Proposition 2.10 to write

$$\text{Ind}_{G^S}^G(\text{Res}_{G^S}^G(J, \phi)^G) = (J, \phi)^G + \sum_{s \in S} s\left(\sum_z (G^S \cap zJz^{-1}, (z^{-1})^*(\phi))^{G^S}\right)$$

where the final sum is taken over S -orbit representatives of non-fixed double cosets. We see that all the terms in this sum are fixed by the action of S . Since S is a p -group, all the S -orbits in the sum have orbit size a multiple of p , which implies that

$$\text{Ind}_{G^S}^G(\text{Res}_{G^S}^G(J, \phi)^G) \equiv (J, \phi)^G \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore $\text{Ind}_{G^S}^G$ induces a split surjection modulo p on \hat{H}^0 . However Lemma 2.11 implies that $\text{Ind}_{G^S}^G$ is one-to-one (both integrally and modulo p) which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.13

Let S be a cyclic group acting on G with $(|S|, |G|) = 1$. Then

$$|\text{Irr}(G)^S| = |\text{Irr}(G^S)|.$$

Proof

Let S be a p -group, let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ denote the irreducible representations of the fixed group G^S and let $\hat{\rho}_1, \dots, \hat{\rho}_{t'}$ denote the S -fixed irreducible representations of G . Then t equals the rank of $\hat{H}^0(S; R(G)_0)$ and t' is the rank of $\hat{H}^0(S; R(G^S))$. By Corollary 2.9, these numbers are equal. If S is cyclic, let $S = S_1 \times S_2$ where $(|S_1|, |S_2|) = 1$. Then, by induction,

$$|\text{Irr}(G)^S| = |(\text{Irr}(G)^{S_1})^{S_2}| = |\text{Irr}(G^{S_1})^{S_2}| = |\text{Irr}(G^{S_1 \times S_2})|.$$

\square

3 Proof of Glauberman's Theorem

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ denote the irreducible representations of the fixed group G^S . By Corollary 2.13, the set of S -fixed irreducible representations of G may be written ρ_1, \dots, ρ_t . Let $\tilde{\rho}_i$ be the canonical extension of ρ_i to the semi-direct product $S \rtimes G$, as in §2.1. Let $C_G(g)$ denote the centraliser of g in G so that the order of the G -conjugacy class of g is equal to $|G|/|C_G(g)|$. From Glauberman ([6] Lemma 2) we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1

Let $T = \langle s \rangle$ be a cyclic subgroup of S of order n , coprime to $|G|$.

(i) For any $g \in G$ the $T \rtimes G$ -conjugacy class of (s, g) contains an element of the form (s, g') with $g' \in G^T$.

(ii) Let $z, z' \in G^T$. Then (s, z) and (s, z') are conjugate in $T \rtimes G$ if and only if z and z' are conjugate in G^T .

(iii) If H is a group and $z \in H$, let $Cl_H(z)$ denote the H -conjugacy class of z . Suppose that $z \in G^T$. Then

$$|Cl_{T \rtimes G}(s, z)| = \frac{|G|}{|G^T|} |Cl_{G^T}(z)|$$

3.2 Let $S = \langle s \rangle$ be cyclic of order n where $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ for distinct primes p_i not dividing $|G|$. The irreducible representations of S are given by powers of the one-dimensional representation y given by $y(s) = e^{2\pi i/n} = \xi_n$.

We first consider the Galois orbits of elements of the set $\{y^j \mid 0 \leq j \leq n\}$ under the action of elements of the Galois group $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\xi_n)/\mathbb{Q})$. There are $(\alpha_1 + 1)(\alpha_2 + 1) \dots (\alpha_r + 1)$ such orbits. For β dividing n the "Galois orbit" of y^β , denoted by G_β , is defined by

$$G_\beta = \sum_{\substack{(k, \frac{n}{\beta})=1, \\ 1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{\beta}-1}} y^{\beta k}.$$

We may use the Artin induction formula (see [15] Theorem 2.1.3) to rewrite each G_β as a sum of induced representations

$$G_\beta = Ind_{C_\beta}^{C_n}(1) - \sum_{C_\gamma > C_\beta} \mu_\gamma Ind_{C_\gamma}^{C_n}(1)$$

where, for each γ , μ_γ is an integer (calculated in terms of Möbius coefficients but this is not necessary here) with $\mu_\beta = 1$. Also the C_γ are cyclic groups. Using Lemma 2.2, summing G_β over all β dividing n and gathering together terms, we find

$$Res_{S \times G^S}^{S \rtimes G}(\tilde{\rho}_i) = \sum_{\beta|n} \alpha_{i\beta} (Ind_{C_\beta}^{C_n}(1) \otimes A_{i\beta})$$

for integers $\alpha_{i\beta}$ and some (yet to be determined) $A_{i\beta} \in R(G^S)$.

Choose a such that $(a, n) = 1$. We see all the terms in the sum above vanish on s^a except the term $\beta = n$ so that

$$\text{Res}_{S \times G^S}^{S \alpha G}(\tilde{\rho}_i)(s^a, g) = \alpha_{in} A_{in}(g).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|G^S|} \sum_{g \in G^S} \text{Res}_{S \times G^S}^{S \alpha G}(\tilde{\rho}_i)(s^a, g) \overline{\text{Res}_{S \times G^S}^{S \alpha G}(\tilde{\rho}_j)(s^a, g)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G^S|} \sum_{g \in G^S} \alpha_{in} A_{in}(g) \overline{\alpha_{jn} A_{jn}(g)} \\ &= \alpha_{in} \alpha_{jn} \langle A_{in}(g), A_{jn}(g) \rangle_{G^S} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3

If $S = \langle s \rangle$ is a cyclic group order n and let a be an integer satisfying $1 \leq a \leq n-1$ and $(a, n) = 1$. Define T_{ij} by the formula

$$T_{ij} = \frac{1}{|G^S|} \sum_{g \in G^S} \text{Res}_{S \times G^S}^{S \alpha G}(\tilde{\rho}_i)(s^a, g) \overline{\text{Res}_{S \times G^S}^{S \alpha G}(\tilde{\rho}_j)(s^a, g)}.$$

Then $T_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, the Kronecker delta.

Proof

For β dividing n , let k_β be an integer satisfying $1 \leq k_\beta \leq \frac{n}{\beta} - 1$ and $(k_\beta, \frac{n}{\beta}) = 1$. Let $S_\beta = \langle s^{\beta k_\beta} \rangle$, which is independent of the choice of k_β . By Lemma 3.1, if $\phi(m)$ denotes Euler's totient function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{ij} \\ &= \langle \tilde{\rho}_i, \tilde{\rho}_j \rangle_{S \alpha G} \\ &= \frac{1}{n|G|} \sum_{\beta|n} \phi\left(\frac{n}{\beta}\right) \frac{|G|}{|G^{S_\beta}|} \sum_{g \in G^{S_\beta}} \text{Res}_{S_\beta \times G^{S_\beta}}^{S \alpha G}(\tilde{\rho}_i)(s^{\beta k_\beta}, g) \overline{\text{Res}_{S_\beta \times G^{S_\beta}}^{S \alpha G}(\tilde{\rho}_j)(s^{\beta k_\beta}, g)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\beta|n} \phi\left(\frac{n}{\beta}\right) \frac{1}{|G^{S_\beta}|} \sum_{g \in G^{S_\beta}} \text{Res}_{S_\beta \times G^{S_\beta}}^{S_\beta \alpha G}(\tilde{\rho}_i)(s^{\beta k_\beta}, g) \overline{\text{Res}_{S_\beta \times G^{S_\beta}}^{S_\beta \alpha G}(\tilde{\rho}_j)(s^{\beta k_\beta}, g)}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we can assume the result true for all cases above except the case $\beta = 1$, which implies that

$$\delta_{ij} = \frac{\phi(n)}{n} T_{ij} + \frac{\delta_{ij}}{n} \sum_{\substack{\beta|n \\ \beta \neq 1}} \phi\left(\frac{n}{\beta}\right).$$

Thus the identity $\sum_{\beta|n} \phi(\beta) = \sum_{\beta|n} \phi\left(\frac{n}{\beta}\right) = n$ implies that $T_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ as required. \square

From Proposition 3.3 we see that

$$\delta_{ij} = \alpha_{in} \alpha_{jn} \langle A_{in}(g), A_{jn}(g) \rangle_{G^S}.$$

Since α_{in} and α_{jn} are integers, we must have $\langle A_{in}, A_{jn} \rangle_{G^S} = \delta_{ij}$ and $\alpha_{in}^2 = 1$. By Corollary 2.13, the distinct irreducible representations $\{A_{1n}, \dots, A_{tn}\}$, of G^S must be precisely $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t\}$ which implies that there exists a permutation, σ , and a sign $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that

$$A_{in} = \epsilon_i \lambda_{\sigma(i)}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq t$. If we choose a coprime to n and $t \in G^S$ we see that

$$\text{Res}_{S \times G^S}^{S \times G}(\tilde{\rho}_i)(s^a, t) = \tilde{\rho}_i(s^a, t) = A_{in}(t) = \epsilon_i \lambda_{\sigma(i)}$$

This proves the following lemma, and is exactly Glauberman's characterisation of the correspondence.

Lemma 3.4

Let S be a cyclic group and let $\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^S$. Then there exists a unique sign $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and a unique $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(G^S)$ such that

$$\tilde{\rho}(s, t) = \epsilon \lambda(t),$$

for all s which generate S and all $t \in G^S$. Moreover, for every $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(G^S)$, there exists a unique S -fixed $\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)$ which corresponds to λ in this manner.

From the above equations, we also obtain

$$\text{Res}_{G^S}^G(\rho_i) = \epsilon_i \lambda_{\sigma(i)} + \sum_{\substack{\beta | n \\ \beta \neq n}} \binom{n}{\beta} \alpha_{i\beta} A_{i\beta}$$

If S is a p -group we note that all terms except the first term disappear modulo p . Hence we immediately obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.5

Let S be a cyclic p -group and $\rho \in \text{Irr}(G)^S$. Let

$$\text{Res}_{G^S}^G(\rho) = n_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + n_t \lambda_t,$$

where λ_i are distinct irreducible representations. Then there exists a unique i such that $p \nmid n_i$. Moreover, $n_i \equiv \pm 1$ (modulo p).

This gives a complete characterisation of Glauberman's correspondence for cyclic groups. It is straightforward to extend Lemma 3.4 to all solvable S , using the results above with a composition series (see [6], §4 pp.1477-1479). Similarly the result of Lemma 3.5 is easily strengthened by induction on $|S|$ to yield the following:

Lemma 3.6

Lemma 3.5 holds for any finite p -group S .

4 Boltje's Explicit Map

Let S be a p -group. Boltje [5] defines a map $bol^{S,G} : R(G)^S \rightarrow R(G^S)$ as a composition of the following form

$$bol^{S,G} : R(G)^S \xrightarrow{a_G} R_+(G)^S \xrightarrow{bol_+^{S,G}} R_+(G^S) \xrightarrow{b_{G^S}} R(G^S).$$

We define the map $bol_+^{S,G} : R_+(G)^S \rightarrow R_+(G^S)$. Let $T = Stab_S((H, \lambda)^G)$, then the S -orbit sums

$$\sum_{s \in S/T} s \circ (H, \lambda)^G, \quad (H, \lambda) \in S \times G \backslash \mathcal{M}(G)$$

form a \mathbf{Z} -basis of $R_+(G)^S$. By Glauberman's fixed point lemma (see for example Lemma 13.8 of [7]), there is a T -fixed point (H', λ') in the G -orbit of (H, λ) and we can define the map (Boltje [5] proves this map is well-defined):

$$bol_+^{S,G} \left(\sum_{s \in S/T} s \circ (H, \lambda)^G \right) = \begin{cases} (G^S \cap H', Res_{G^S \cap H'}^{H'}(\lambda'))^{G^S} & \text{if } T = S, \\ 0 & \text{if } T < S. \end{cases}$$

We conclude this section by deducing the main theorem of [5] from the results of §2 and §3.

Theorem 4.1

When S is a p -group with p prime then the homomorphism $bol^{S,G}$ is congruent to Glauberman's correspondence modulo p .

Proof

If $\rho \in Irr(G)^S$ then, by Lemma 3.6, $Res_{G^S}^G(\rho) \equiv \epsilon\lambda$ (modulo p) for some irreducible representation $\lambda \in Irr(G^S)$. Applying a_G and the formulae of §2.3 to this we obtain

$$Res_{G^S}^G(a_G(\rho)) = a_{G^S}(Res_{G^S}^G(\rho)) \equiv a_{G^S}(\epsilon\lambda) \pmod{p}$$

On the other hand, if $a_G(\rho) = \sum_i n_i (H_i, \phi_i)^G$ then

$$\begin{aligned} Res_{G^S}^G(a_G(\rho)) &= Res_{G^S}^G(\sum_i n_i (H_i, \phi_i)^G) \\ &= \sum_i \sum_{z \in G^S \backslash G/H_i} (G^S \cap zH_i z^{-1}, (z^{-1})^*(\phi_i))^{G^S} \end{aligned}$$

We can split $a_G(\rho)$ in the form

$$a_G(\rho) = \sum_i (H_i, \phi_i)^G = \sum_j (J_j, \phi_j)^G + \sum_{s \in S} \sum_k s(K_k, \psi_k)$$

where the $(J_j, \phi_j)^G$'s are S -fixed, and the (K_k, ψ_k) 's are not. Further from Glauberman's fixed point lemma, we may assume that $s \circ (J_j, \phi_j) = (J_j, \phi_j)$ for all $s \in S$ and for each j . Applying Proposition 2.10, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{G^S}^G(a_G(\rho)) &= \sum_j (G^S \cap J_j, \text{Res}_{G^S \cap J_j}^{J_j}(\phi_j))^{G^S} \\ &\quad + \sum_k \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{z \in G^S \backslash G/K_k} s(G^S \cap zK_kz^{-1}, (z^{-1})^*(\psi_k))^{G^S}. \end{aligned}$$

The non-fixed terms all restrict to subgroups and representations of G^S , so the action of s leaves the element unchanged in its G^S orbit and each term will therefore appear a multiple of p times (cf. the argument of §2.12) so that

$$\text{Res}_{G^S}^G(a_G(\rho)) \equiv \sum_j (G^S \cap J_j, \text{Res}_{G^S \cap J_j}^{J_j}(\phi_j))^{G^S} \equiv \text{bol}_+^{S,G}(a_G(\rho)) \pmod{p}.$$

Applying b_{G^S} yields the following congruences modulo p

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon\lambda &= b_{G^S}(a_{G^S}(\epsilon\lambda)) \\ &\equiv b_{G^S}(\text{Res}_{G^S}^G(a_G(\rho))) \\ &\equiv b_{G^S}(\text{bol}_+^{S,G}(a_G(\rho))), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

References

- [1] J. L. Alperin: The Main Problem of Block Theory; Proc. Conf. on Finite Groups, W. R. Scott and F. Gross (1976) 341-365.
- [2] R. Boltje: A canonical Brauer induction formula; Astérisque t.181-182 (1990) 31-59.
- [3] R. Boltje: Canonical and explicit Brauer induction in the character ring of a finite group and a generalisation for Mackey functors; Augsburg Univ. thesis (1989).
- [4] R. Boltje, V. Snaith and P. Symonds: Algebraicisation of Explicit Brauer Induction; J. Alg. (2) 148 (1992) 504-527.
- [5] R. Boltje: Glauberman's Correspondence via Canonical Induction Formulae; Akad. gemein. Wiss. Erfurt, Sitzungsber. Math.-Nat. 7 (1996) 19-35.
- [6] G. Glauberman: Correspondences of characters for relatively prime operator groups; Can.J.Math. 20 (1968) 1465-1488.
- [7] I. M. Isaacs: *Character Theory of Finite Groups*; Mathematics # 69 (1976) Academic Press.

- [8] Jean-Pierre Serre: *Cohomologie Galoisienne*; Lecture Notes in Mathematics #5 (1997) Springer-Verlag".
- [9] T. Shintani: Two remarks on irreducible characters of finite general linear groups; J. Math. Soc. Japan **28** (1976) 396–414.
- [10] V.P. Snaith: Applications of Explicit Brauer Induction; A.M.Soc. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 47 Part 2 (1987) 495-531.
- [11] V.P. Snaith: Explicit Brauer Induction; *Inventiones Math.* 94 (1988) 455-478.
- [12] V.P. Snaith: A local construction of the local root numbers; Proceedings of the 1987 Laval Number Theory Conference, de Gruyter (1989) 823-840.
- [13] V.P. Snaith: *Topological Methods in Galois Representation Theory*; C.M.Soc. Monographs (1989) Wiley.
- [14] V.P. Snaith: Invariants of Representations; NATO ASI Series # 279, *Algebraic K-theory: Connections with Geometry and Topology* 445-508 (1989) Kluwer.
- [15] V.P. Snaith: *Explicit Brauer Induction (with applications to algebra and number theory)*; Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. #40 (1994) Cambridge University Press.
- [16] V.P. Snaith: *Galois Module Structure*; Fields Institute Monograph Series #2, A.M.Soc. (1995).
- [17] P. Symonds: A splitting principle for group representations; *Comm. Math. Helv.* 66 (1991) 169-184.