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#### Abstract

We conjecture that a countable group $G$ admits a nonsingular Bernoulli action of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ if and only if the first $L^{2}$-cohomology of $G$ is nonzero. We prove this conjecture for all groups that admit at least one element of infinite order. We also give numerous explicit examples of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ Bernoulli actions of the groups $\mathbb{Z}$ and the free groups $\mathbb{F}_{n}$, with different degrees of ergodicity.


## 1 Introduction

Among the most well studied probability measure preserving actions of a countable group $G$ are the Bernoulli actions on product spaces ( $X_{0}^{G}, \mu_{0}^{G}$ ) given by $(g \cdot x)_{h}=x_{g^{-1} h}$. Replacing $\mu_{0}^{G}$ by an arbitrary product probability measure $\mu=\prod_{g \in G} \mu_{g}$, using Kakutani's criterion on the equivalence of product measures [Ka48], it is easy to see when the resulting action on ( $X, \mu$ ) is nonsingular, i.e. the action preserves the measure class of $\mu$. However, it turned out to be difficult, even when $G=\mathbb{Z}$, to give criteria when $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is ergodic and to determine its type. Only quite recently, in [Ko09, Ko10, Ko12, DL16], the first examples of nonsingular type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ Bernoulli actions of $G=\mathbb{Z}$ were constructed, using an inductive procedure to define $\mu_{n}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
We make a systematic study of nonsingular Bernoulli actions $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)=\prod_{g \in G}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{g}\right)$ of arbitrary countable groups. We conjecture that $G$ admits an ergodic nonsingular Bernoulli action of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ if and only if the first $L^{2}$-cohomology $H^{1}\left(G, \ell^{2}(G)\right)$ is nonzero. It is indeed quite straightforward to see that if $H^{1}\left(G, \ell^{2}(G)\right)=\{0\}$, then $\mu$ is equivalent to a $G$-invariant probability measure of the form $\mu_{0}^{G}$, see Theorem 3.1. The converse implication turns out to be much more involved. While every non-inner 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ gives rise to a nonsingular Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$, the ergodicity and type of this Bernoulli action depend in a very subtle way on the behavior of the 1-cocycle $c$.
The $L^{2}$-cohomology $H^{1}\left(G, \ell^{2}(G)\right)$ can be nonzero for two reasons: when $G$ has positive first $L^{2}$-Betti number $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)>0$ and when $G$ is an infinite amenable group. We therefore prove the conjecture in the following two separate cases:

1. when $G$ has positive first $L^{2}$-Betti number $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)>0$ and $G$ contains an infinite subgroup $\Lambda<G$ such that $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Lambda)<\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)$, see Theorem 5.1;
2. when $G$ is an amenable group admitting an element of infinite order or admitting an infinite subgroup of infinite index, see Theorem 6.1.
Since $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\mathbb{Z})=0$, these two statements imply that our conjecture holds when $G$ contains an element of infinite order.
A crucial ingredient to prove the first statement above is a non-inner 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ that vanishes on an infinite subgroup $\Lambda<G$. Such a 1-cocycle does not exist when $G$ is amenable. More precisely, when $G$ is infinite and amenable, by [PT10, Theorem 2.5], every

[^0]non-inner 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ is proper and therefore does not vanish on any infinite subset. When $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ is a proper 1-cocycle, the ergodicity and type of the associated nonsingular Bernoulli action depend subtly on the growth of the cocycle, i.e. the growth of the function $g \mapsto\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}$. The main issue is that if $\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}$ grows too fast, then $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is dissipative. Recall here that a nonsingular action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is called dissipative if there exists a Borel set $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ such that all $g \cdot \mathcal{U}, g \in G$, are disjoint and $\bigcup_{g \in G} g \cdot \mathcal{U}=X$, up to measure zero. On the other hand, $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is called conservative if for every non-null Borel set $\mathcal{U} \subset X$, there exists a $g \in G \backslash\{e\}$ such that $g \cdot \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}$ is non-null. In Proposition 4.1, we provide a quite sharp, quantitative conservative/dissipative criterion for nonsingular Bernoulli actions in terms of the growth of the associated 1-cocycle, thus answering [DL16, Question 10.5].
We then prove that an amenable group $G$ admits 1-cocycles $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ of arbitrarily slow growth, see Proposition 6.8. This result is analogous to [CTV05, Proposition 3.10], where it is shown that a group with the Haagerup admits proper 1-cocycles of arbitrarily slow growth into some unitary representation. By combining Proposition 6.8 with the conservativeness criterion in Proposition 4.1, we construct ergodic type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ Bernoulli action for amenable groups $G$ with at least one element of infinite order or with an infinite subgroup $\Lambda<G$ having infinite index, thus proving the second statement above.
For each of the groups $G$ in the two statements above, we actually construct nonsingular Bernoulli actions $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ that are weakly mixing and of stable type $I I_{1}$ in the sense of [BN11, Section 1.3], meaning that for every ergodic probability measure preserving (pmp) action $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$, the diagonal action $G \curvearrowright(Y \times X, \eta \times \mu)$ remains ergodic and of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$. This answers [BN11, Question 4.6] for most amenable groups.
As a consequence of our methods, we also give explicit examples of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ Bernoulli actions of $\mathbb{Z}$ in Corollaries 6.2 and 6.3 , complementing the less explicit inductive constructions in [Ko09, Ko10, Ko12, DL16]. For some of these examples of Bernoulli shifts $T$, all powers $T \times$ $\cdots \times T$ remain ergodic and of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ (as in the examples in [Ko10]), but others admit a power that is dissipative - and such examples were not available so far.
In the final Section 7, we give several concrete examples of nonsingular Bernoulli actions $G \curvearrowright$ $(X, \mu)$ of the free groups $G=\mathbb{F}_{n}$.

- In Example 7.2, we construct nonsingular Bernoulli actions of $\mathbb{F}_{n}, n \geq 2$, that are of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$ for arbitrary $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Note that for $G=\mathbb{Z}$, such actions do not exist, since it is proven in [DL16, Corollary 3.3] that all nonsingular Bernoulli actions are of type I (the dissipative case), type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ or type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$, but never of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$, at least under the natural assumption that all $\mu_{n}, n<0$, are identical.
- In Proposition 7.1, we give examples of nonsingular Bernoulli actions of $\mathbb{F}_{n}, n \geq 3$, that are strongly ergodic. Moreover, the Connes invariants of the associated orbit equivalence relation (see [Co74, HMV17]) can take any prescribed value: in Example 7.2, we provide almost periodic examples whose Sd-invariant is any countable dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$and we provide non almost periodic examples for which the $\tau$-invariant is an arbitrary topology on $\mathbb{R}$ induced by a unitary representation of $\mathbb{R}$. This answers [HMV17, Problem 3].
- In Proposition 7.3 and Example 7.4, we construct nonsingular, weakly mixing Bernoulli actions $\mathbb{F}_{n} \curvearrowright(X, \mu), n \geq 2$, with a variety of stable types. This includes examples of stable type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$, i.e. such that for every ergodic pmp action $\mathbb{F}_{n} \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$, the diagonal action $\mathbb{F}_{n} \curvearrowright(Y \times X, \eta \times \mu)$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$, but it also includes examples where the type of these diagonal actions ranges over $\mathrm{III}_{\mu}$ with $\mu \in\{1\} \cup\left\{\lambda^{1 / k} \mid k \geq 1\right\}$, for any fixed $0<\lambda<1$.
- In Proposition 7.5, we give examples of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ nonsingular Bernoulli actions $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ of $G=\mathbb{F}_{2}$ associated with a proper 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ such that the $m$-fold diagonal
action $G \curvearrowright\left(X^{m}, \mu^{m}\right)$ is dissipative for $m$ large enough. Finally, we give examples of dissipative Bernoulli actions $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ of the free group $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ in Proposition 7.7.
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## 2 Preliminaries and notations

Let $G$ be a countable infinite group. Given $0<\mu_{g}(0)<1$ for all $g \in G$, we consider the product probability space

$$
(X, \mu)=\prod_{g \in G}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{g}\right)
$$

and the Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright X$ given by $(g \cdot x)_{k}=x_{g^{-1} k}$ for all $g, k \in G, x \in X$. By Kakutani's theorem [Ka48] on the equivalence of product measures, we get that the action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonsingular if and only if for every $g \in G$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in G}\left(\sqrt{\mu_{g k}(0)}-\sqrt{\mu_{k}(0)}\right)^{2}+\sum_{k \in G}\left(\sqrt{\mu_{g k}(1)}-\sqrt{\mu_{k}(1)}\right)^{2}<\infty . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mu$ is nonatomic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in G} \min \left\{\mu_{k}(0), \mu_{k}(1)\right\}=+\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we always make this assumption. Also note that if there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $\delta \leq$ $\mu_{k}(0) \leq 1-\delta$ for all $k \in G$, then the nonsingularity condition (2.1) is equivalent with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in G}\left(\mu_{g k}(0)-\mu_{k}(0)\right)^{2}<\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $g \in G$, see [Ka48].
When proving that certain nonsingular Bernoulli actions $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ are of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$, it is often useful to restrict the action of $G$ to a subgroup $\Lambda<G$. We therefore fix the following general framework: a countable infinite group $\Lambda$ acting freely on a countable set $I$. Given any function $F: I \rightarrow(0,1)$, we define the product probability space $(X, \mu)=\prod_{i \in I}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{i}\right)$ where $\mu_{i}(0)=F(i)$ and we consider the Bernoulli action $\Lambda \curvearrowright X$ given by $(g \cdot x)_{i}=x_{g^{-1 . i}}$. We always make the following two assumptions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { there exists a } \delta>0 \text { such that } \delta \leq F(i) \leq 1-\delta \text { for all } i \in I \text {, } \\
& \text { for every } g \in G \text {, we have that } \sum_{i \in I}(F(g \cdot i)-F(i))^{2}<\infty . \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonsingular and essentially free. The Radon-Nikodym cocycle $\omega: \Lambda \times X \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X} F(x) \omega(g, x) d \mu(x)=\int_{X} F\left(g^{-1} \cdot x\right) d \mu(x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all positive Borel functions $F: X \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ and all $g \in \Lambda$. Given any enumeration $I=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(g, x)=\lim _{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_{g \cdot i_{k}}\left(x_{i_{k}}\right)}{\mu_{i_{k}}\left(x_{i_{k}}\right)} \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in X \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Maharam extension of $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is the (infinite) measure preserving action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \curvearrowright(X \times \mathbb{R}, \mu \times \nu): g \cdot(x, s)=(g \cdot x, \log (\omega(g, x))+s), \quad d \nu(s)=\exp (-s) d s \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Maharam extension $\Lambda \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R}$ commutes with the translation action $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R}$ given by $t \cdot(x, s)=(x, s+t)$. Identifying the algebra of $\Lambda$-invariant elements $L^{\infty}(X \times \mathbb{R})^{\Lambda}$ with $L^{\infty}(Z, \rho)$ for some standard probability space $(Z, \rho)$, we thus find a nonsingular action $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright(Z, \rho)$. Assuming that $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonsingular, essentially free and ergodic, its type can be determined as follows in terms of $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright(Z, \rho)$ : if the action $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright Z$ is measurably conjugate with the translation action $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}$, we get type I or II (the semifinite case); if the action is conjugate with $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright \mathbb{R} / \log (\lambda) \mathbb{Z}$ for $0<\lambda<1$, we get type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$; if the action is the trivial action on one point (i.e. the Maharam extension is ergodic), we get type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$; and finally, if the action is properly ergodic, we get type $\mathrm{III}_{0}$.
Note that by $(2.4)$, we can associate with $F: I \rightarrow(0,1)$ the 1 -cocycle

$$
\begin{equation*}
c: \Lambda \rightarrow \ell^{2}(I): c_{g}(i)=F(i)-F\left(g^{-1} \cdot i\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that a nonsingular action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is called weakly mixing if for every ergodic probability measure preserving (pmp) action $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$, the diagonal action $G \curvearrowright(Y \times X, \eta \times \mu)$ is ergodic. Following [BN11, Section 1.3], an essentially free, nonsingular action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is said to be of stable type $I I I_{1}$ if for every pmp action $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$, the diagonal action $G \curvearrowright$ $(Y \times X, \eta \times \mu)$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$. So $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is of stable type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ if and only if for every pmp action $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$ and using the Maharam extension, we have $L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})^{G}=L^{\infty}(Y \times X)^{G} \otimes 1$. In particular, $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is weakly mixing and of stable type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ if and only if the Maharam extension $G \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R}$ is weakly mixing.
Let $G$ be a countable group. The amenability of an essentially free nonsingular action $G \curvearrowright$ $(X, \mu)$ was defined in [Zi76a, Definition 1.4] through a fixed point property. When $\mu$ is an invariant probability measure, this notion is equivalent with the amenability of $G$. In general, this notion is equivalent with the injectivity of the crossed product von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes G$ by [Zi76b] and [Zi76c, Theorem 2.1]. Denote by $\lambda: G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\left(\ell^{2}(G)\right)$ the left regular representation. By [AD01, Theorem 3.1.6], the amenability of $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is equivalent with the existence of a sequence of Borel maps $\xi_{n}: X \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ with the following properties: for all $n$ and a.e. $x \in X$, we have that $\left\|\xi_{n}(x)\right\|_{2}=1$; and for all $g \in G$ and $P \in L^{1}(X, \mu)$, we have that

$$
\lim _{n} \int_{X}\left\langle\lambda_{g} \xi_{n}\left(g^{-1} \cdot x\right), \xi_{n}(x)\right\rangle P(x) d \mu(x)=\int_{X} P(x) d \mu(x)
$$

## 3 Groups with trivial first $L^{2}$-cohomology

The following theorem says that for groups with vanishing first $L^{2}$-cohomology, a nonsingular Bernoulli action is either probability measure preserving (pmp) or dissipative, and thus, never of type III.

Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ be a countable infinite group with $H^{1}\left(G, \ell^{2}(G)\right)=\{0\}$. Assume that $\left(\mu_{g}\right)_{g \in G}$ is a family of probability measures on a standard Borel space $X_{0}$. If the Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)=\prod_{g \in G}\left(X_{0}, \mu_{g}\right)$ is nonsingular, then there exists a partition $X_{0}=Y_{0} \sqcup Z_{0}$ into Borel sets such that, writing $Y=Y_{0}^{G} \subset X$, we have

1. $\mu(Y)>0$ and $\left.\mu\right|_{Y} \sim \nu^{G}$ for some probability measure $\nu$ on $Y_{0}$, so that $G \curvearrowright(Y, \mu)$ is an ergodic pmp Bernoulli action;
2. $\sum_{g \in G} \mu_{g}\left(Z_{0}\right)<\infty$, so that the action $G \curvearrowright(X \backslash Y, \mu)$ is dissipative.

Note that there are large classes of groups for which $H^{1}\left(G, \ell^{2}(G)\right)=\{0\}$, so that all their ergodic nonsingular Bernoulli actions must be of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ or type I. This holds in particular for all infinite groups with property ( T ), for all nonamenable groups that admit an infinite amenable normal subgroup, and for all direct product groups $G=G_{1} \times G_{2}$ with $G_{1}$ infinite and $G_{2}$ nonamenable.

Proof. Since $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonsingular, all measures $\mu_{g}$ are in the same measure class. We fix a probability measure $\mu_{0}$ on $X_{0}$ such that $\mu_{g} \sim \mu_{0}$ for all $g \in G$. Define the unit vectors $\xi_{g} \in$ $L^{2}\left(X_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)$ given by $\xi_{g}=\sqrt{d \mu_{g} / d \mu_{0}}$. By Kakutani's [Ka48], we get that $\sum_{k \in G}\left\|\xi_{g k}-\xi_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}<\infty$ for all $g \in G$. So, the map

$$
c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G) \otimes L^{2}\left(X_{0}, \mu_{0}\right): c_{g}=\sum_{k \in G} \delta_{k} \otimes\left(\xi_{k}-\xi_{g^{-1} k}\right)
$$

is a well defined 1-cocycle.
Write $\mathcal{K}=L^{2}\left(X_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)$. Since $H^{1}\left(G, \ell^{2}(G)\right)=\{0\}$ and $G$ is infinite, the group $G$ is nonamenable. It follows that the inner 1-cocycles form a closed subspace of the space of 1-cocycles $Z^{1}\left(G, \ell^{2}(G) \otimes \mathcal{K}\right)$ equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. Fix a sequence of finite rank projections $P_{n}$ on $\mathcal{K}$ that converge to 1 strongly. Since $H^{1}\left(G, \ell^{2}(G)\right)=\{0\}$, every $g \mapsto\left(1 \otimes P_{n}\right) c_{g}$ is an inner 1-cocycle. Since $\lim _{n}\left(1 \otimes P_{n}\right) c_{g}=c_{g}$ for every $g \in G$, it then follows that also $c$ is inner. This means that there exists a $\xi_{0} \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in G}\left\|\xi_{k}-\xi_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We get in particular that $\xi_{k} \rightarrow \xi_{0}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $G$. So, $\xi_{0}$ is positive a.e. and $\left\|\xi_{0}\right\|_{2}=1$. Denote by $\nu$ the unique probability measure on $X_{0}$ such that $\nu \prec \mu_{0}$ and $\xi_{0}=\sqrt{d \nu / d \mu_{0}}$. Write $X_{0}=Y_{0} \sqcup Z_{0}$ such that $\nu\left(Z_{0}\right)=0$ and $\left.\nu \sim \mu_{0}\right|_{Y_{0}}$.
Since $\nu\left(Z_{0}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\left\|\xi_{k}-\xi_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \int_{Z_{0}} \xi_{k}(x)^{2} d \mu_{0}(x)=\mu_{k}\left(Z_{0}\right)
$$

It follows that $\sum_{k \in G} \mu_{k}\left(Z_{0}\right)<\infty$. Writing $Y=Y_{0}^{G} \subset X$, we conclude that $\mu(Y)>0$. Since $\left.\mu_{k}\right|_{Y_{0}} \sim \nu$ for all $k \in G$, it follows from (3.1) and [Ka48] that $\left.\mu\right|_{Y} \sim \nu^{G}$.
Write $Z=\left\{x \in X \mid x_{e} \in Z_{0}\right\}$. It follows that

$$
\sum_{k \in G} \mu(k \cdot Z)=\sum_{k \in G} \mu_{k}\left(Z_{0}\right)<\infty
$$

Since $X \backslash Y=\bigcup_{k \in G} k \cdot Z$, it follows that the action $G \curvearrowright(X \backslash Y, \mu)$ is dissipative.

## 4 A criterion for conservativeness

Recall that a nonsingular essentially free action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is called conservative if there is no nonnegligible Borel set $A \subset X$ such that all $g \cdot A, g \in \Lambda$ are disjoint. Note that $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative if and only if the orbit equivalence relation has no type I direct summand, which is in turn equivalent to the crossed product $L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes \Lambda$ having no type I direct summand. So, using e.g. [Ta03, Theorem XII.1.1], a nonsingular essentially free action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative if and only if its Maharam extension given by (2.7) is conservative.
The key ingredient to prove Theorems 5.1 and 6.1 is the following criterion to ensure that a Bernoulli action is conservative. The criterion says that it suffices that the 1 -cocycle $c$ given by (2.8) has logarithmic growth in at least one direction, thus providing an answer to [DL16, Question 10.5]. The second point of the proposition is easier and is a straightforward generalization of [Ko12, Lemma 2.2] to Bernoulli actions of arbitrary countable groups.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\Lambda \curvearrowright I$ be a free action of the countable group $\Lambda$ on the countable set $I$ and let $F: I \rightarrow(0,1)$ be a function satisfying (2.4), in particular $\delta \leq F(i) \leq 1-\delta$ for all $i \in I$. Denote by $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ the associated Bernoulli action and by $c: \Lambda \rightarrow \ell^{2}(I)$ the associated 1 -cocycle as in (2.8).

1. If $\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \exp \left(-\kappa\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)=+\infty$ for some $\kappa>\delta^{-2}+\delta^{-1}(1-\delta)^{-2}$, then the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative.
2. If $\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)<+\infty$, then the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is dissipative.

In particular, if $1 / 3 \leq F(i) \leq 2 / 3$ for all $i \in I$ and if $\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \exp \left(-16\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)=+\infty$, then the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative.

Proof. Denote by $\omega: \Lambda \times X \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ the Radon-Nikodym cocycle given by (2.5). Recall that the essentially free nonsingular action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative if and only $\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \omega(g, x)=$ $+\infty$ for a.e. $x \in X$, while it is dissipative if and only if $\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \omega(g, x)<+\infty$ for a.e. $x \in X$.
Write $\kappa_{0}=\delta^{-2}+\delta^{-1}(1-\delta)^{-2}$. We start by proving that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X} \omega(g, x)^{-2} d \mu(x) \leq \exp \left(\kappa_{0}\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \quad \text { for all } g \in \Lambda \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (4.1), not that for all $0<a, b<1$,

$$
\frac{a^{3}}{b^{2}}+\frac{(1-a)^{3}}{(1-b)^{2}}=1+\frac{a+2 b-2 a b-b^{2}}{b^{2}(1-b)^{2}}(a-b)^{2}
$$

and that

$$
0 \leq \frac{a+2 b-2 a b-b^{2}}{b^{2}(1-b)^{2}} \leq \kappa_{0} \quad \text { for all } \delta \leq a, b \leq 1-\delta
$$

Fix an enumeration $I=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ and define the functions

$$
\omega_{n}: \Lambda \times X \rightarrow(0,+\infty): \omega_{n}(g, x)=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_{g \cdot i_{k}}\left(x_{i_{k}}\right)}{\mu_{i_{k}}\left(x_{i_{k}}\right)}
$$

Fix $g \in \Lambda$. By (2.6), we have that $\omega_{n}(g, x) \rightarrow \omega(g, x)$ for all $g \in \Lambda$ and a.e. $x \in X$. By Fatou's
lemma, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X} \omega(g, x)^{-2} d \mu(x) & \leq \liminf _{n} \int_{X} \omega_{n}(g, x)^{-2} d \mu(x) \\
& =\liminf _{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{F\left(i_{k}\right)^{3}}{F\left(g \cdot i_{k}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\left(1-F\left(i_{k}\right)\right)^{3}}{\left(1-F\left(g \cdot i_{k}\right)\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq \liminf _{n}^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+\kappa_{0}\left(F\left(i_{k}\right)-F\left(g \cdot i_{k}\right)\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \liminf _{n} \exp \left(\kappa_{0} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(F\left(i_{k}\right)-F\left(g \cdot i_{k}\right)\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\kappa_{0}\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, (4.1) is proved.
Assume that $\kappa>\kappa_{0}$ and that $\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \exp \left(-\kappa\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)=+\infty$. We have to prove that $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative. Write $\kappa_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa_{0}+\kappa\right)$ and $\kappa_{2}=\frac{3}{4} \kappa+\frac{1}{4} \kappa_{0}$. Note that $\kappa_{0}<\kappa_{1}<\kappa_{2}<\kappa$. We claim that there exists an increasing sequence $s_{k} \in(0,+\infty)$ such that $\lim _{k} s_{k}=+\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\left\{g \in \Lambda \mid\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq s_{k}\right\} \geq \exp \left(\kappa_{2} s_{k}\right) \quad \text { for all } k \geq 1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define, for every $s \geq 0$,

$$
\varphi(s)=\#\left\{g \in \Lambda \mid\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq s\right\} .
$$

Then,

$$
+\infty=\frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{g \in \Lambda} \exp \left(-\kappa\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{+\infty} \varphi(s) \exp (-\kappa s) d s
$$

If there exists an $s_{0} \geq 0$ such that $\varphi(s) \leq \exp \left(\kappa_{2} s\right)$ for all $s \geq s_{0}$, the integral on the right hand side is finite. So such an $s_{0}$ does not exist and the claim is proven. We fix the sequence $s_{k}$ as in the claim.
Choose finite subsets $\mathcal{F}_{k} \subset \Lambda$ such that $\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq s_{k}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{F}_{k}$ and

$$
\left|\mathcal{F}_{k}\right| \in\left[\exp \left(\kappa_{2} s_{k}\right)-1, \exp \left(\kappa_{2} s_{k}\right)\right]
$$

For every $k$ and every $g \in \mathcal{F}_{k}$, define

$$
\mathcal{U}_{g, k}=\left\{x \in X \mid \omega(g, x) \leq \exp \left(-\kappa_{1} s_{k}\right)\right\} .
$$

When $x \in \mathcal{U}_{g, k}$, we have $\omega(g, x)^{-2} \geq \exp \left(2 \kappa_{1} s_{k}\right)$. It thus follows from (4.1) that

$$
\mu\left(\mathcal{U}_{g, k}\right) \leq \exp \left(\left(\kappa_{0}-2 \kappa_{1}\right) s_{k}\right)
$$

for all $k$ and all $g \in \mathcal{F}_{k}$. Defining $\mathcal{V}_{k}=\bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{F}_{k}} \mathcal{U}_{g, k}$, we get that

$$
\mu\left(\mathcal{V}_{k}\right) \leq \exp \left(\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{0}-2 \kappa_{1}\right) s_{k}\right)=\exp \left(-\varepsilon s_{k}\right),
$$

where $\varepsilon=\left(\kappa-\kappa_{0}\right) / 4>0$. So, $\mu\left(\mathcal{V}_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$.
When $x \in X \backslash \mathcal{V}_{k}$, we have $\omega(g, x) \geq \exp \left(-\kappa_{1} s_{k}\right)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{F}_{k}$. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \omega(g, x) \geq\left|\mathcal{F}_{k}\right| \exp \left(-\kappa_{1} s_{k}\right) \geq \exp \left(\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right) s_{k}\right)-1
$$

Since the right hand side tends to infinity as $k \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \omega(g, x)=+\infty$ for a.e. $x \in X$. So, $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative.

To prove the second statement, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X} \sqrt{\omega(g, x)} d \mu(x) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \quad \text { for all } g \in \Lambda \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of (4.3) is identical to the proof of (4.1), using that

$$
\sqrt{a b}+\sqrt{(1-a)(1-b)} \leq 1-\frac{1}{2}(b-a)^{2} \quad \text { for all } 0 \leq a, b \leq 1
$$

Assuming that $\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)<+\infty$, it follows from (4.3) that

$$
\int_{X}\left(\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \sqrt{\omega(g, x)}\right) d \mu(x)<+\infty
$$

So for a.e. $x \in X$, we have $\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \sqrt{\omega(g, x)}<+\infty$ and, a fortiori, $\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \omega(g, x)<\infty$. So, $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is dissipative.

## 5 Groups with positive first $L^{2}$-Betti number

We prove that "almost all" groups with positive first $L^{2}$-Betti number admit a nonsingular Bernoulli action of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$. We actually do not know of any example of a group $G$ with $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)>0$ that is not covered by the following theorem.
Theorem 5.1. Let $G$ be a countable group with $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)>0$. Assume that one of the following conditions holds.

1. G has at least one element of infinite order.
2. G admits an infinite amenable subgroup.
3. $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G) \geq 1$.
4. $G$ is residually finite; or more generally, $G$ admits a finite index subgroup $G_{0}<G$ such that $\left[G: G_{0}\right] \geq \beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)^{-1}$.
Then $G$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5.2 below and thus, $G$ admits a nonsingular Bernoulli action that is essentially free, ergodic, of type $I I I_{1}$ and nonamenable in the sense of Zimmer and that has a weakly mixing Maharam extension.

Theorem 5.1 is deduced from the following technical lemma that we prove first.
Lemma 5.2. Let $G$ be a countable infinite group. Assume that $G$ admits subgroups $\Lambda<G_{0}<G$ such that $\Lambda$ is infinite, $G_{0}<G$ has finite index and $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Lambda)<\beta_{1}^{(2)}\left(G_{0}\right)$. Then $G$ admits a nonsingular Bernoulli action that is essentially free, ergodic, of type $I I I_{1}$ and nonamenable in the sense of Zimmer and that has a weakly mixing Maharam extension.

Proof. We first prove the lemma when $\Lambda<G$ is an infinite subgroup with $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Lambda)<\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)$, i.e. the case where $G_{0}=G$. Denote by $\lambda: G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\left(\ell^{2}(G)\right)$ the left regular representation. Since
$\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)>0$, we have that $G$ is nonamenable and we can fix a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset G$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_{g}\right\| \leq\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)|\mathcal{F}| \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [PT10, Theorem 2.2], we have that $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Lambda)$ equals the $L(G)$-dimension of $H^{1}\left(\Lambda, \ell^{2}(G)\right)$. So, the kernel of the restriction map $H^{1}\left(G, \ell^{2}(G)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\Lambda, \ell^{2}(G)\right)$ has positive $L(G)$-dimension. Therefore, we can choose a non-inner 1-cocycle $b: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ with the property that $b_{g}=0$ for all $g \in \Lambda$.

Denote by $H: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the function given by $H(k)=b_{k}(k)$ for all $k \in G$. Then, $H(e)=0$ and

$$
b_{g}(k)=H(k)-H\left(g^{-1} k\right) \quad \text { for all } g, k \in G
$$

Since $b$ vanishes on $\Lambda$, the function $H$ is invariant under left translation by $\Lambda$. Since $b$ is not identically zero, $H$ is not the zero function. Replacing $b$ by $i b$ if needed, we may assume that the real part Re $H$ is not identically zero. At the end of the proof, we explain that the 1-cocycle $b$ may be chosen so that Re $H$ takes at least three different values.

For any fixed $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}>0$, we define the function

$$
\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow\left[-\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right]: \mathcal{F}(t)= \begin{cases}-\kappa_{1} & \text { if } t \leq-\kappa_{1} \\ t & \text { if }-\kappa_{1} \leq t \leq \kappa_{2} \\ \kappa_{2} & \text { if } t \geq \kappa_{2}\end{cases}
$$

Note that $|\mathcal{F}(t)-\mathcal{F}(s)| \leq|t-s|$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.
We define $K: G \rightarrow\left[-\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right]: K(k)=\mathcal{F}(\operatorname{Re} H(k))$. Since $\operatorname{Re} H$ takes at least three different values, we can fix $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}>0$ so that the range of $K$ generates a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$, meaning that there is no $a>0$ such that $K(k) \in \mathbb{Z} a$ for all $k \in G$. Note that $K$ is invariant under left translation by $\Lambda$.
We then fix $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\exp \left(\varepsilon_{1} \kappa_{i}\right) \leq 2 \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \text { and } \quad \exp \left(-\frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_{1}^{2}\left\|b_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)>1-\varepsilon_{0} \quad \text { for all } g \in \mathcal{F}
$$

Define the function

$$
F: G \rightarrow[1 / 3,2 / 3]: F(k)=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(\varepsilon_{1} K(k)\right)} .
$$

Associated with $F$, we have the product probability measure $\mu$ on $X=\{0,1\}^{G}$ given by $\mu=\prod_{k \in G} \mu_{k}$ with $\mu_{k}(0)=F(k)$.
For every $g \in G$, we have that

$$
\sum_{k \in G}|F(g k)-F(k)|^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \sum_{k \in G}|K(g k)-K(k)|^{2} \leq \varepsilon_{1}^{2} \sum_{k \in G}|H(g k)-H(k)|^{2}=\varepsilon_{1}^{2}\left\|b_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

So, the Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is essentially free, nonsingular and the 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow$ $\ell^{2}(G)$ given by $c_{g}(k)=F(k)-F\left(g^{-1} k\right)$ satisfies $\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{1}\left\|b_{g}\right\|_{2}$ for all $g \in G$.
Denote by $\omega: G \times X \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ the Radon-Nikodym cocycle given by (2.5) and consider the Maharam extension $G \curvearrowright(X \times \mathbb{R}, \mu \times \nu)$ given by (2.7). Let $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$ be any pmp action and consider the diagonal action $G \curvearrowright(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}, \eta \times \mu \times \nu)$. We prove that $L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})^{G}=$ $L^{\infty}(Y)^{G} \otimes 1 \otimes 1$. Once this statement is proved, it follows that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is ergodic and of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ and that its Maharam extension is weakly mixing.

Since $F$ is invariant under left translation by $\Lambda$, we have that $\omega(g, x)=1$ for all $g \in \Lambda$, $x \in X$ and we have that the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is isomorphic with a probability measure preserving Bernoulli action of $\Lambda$. So, a $G$-invariant function $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ is of the form $Q(y, x, s)=P(y, s)$ for some $P \in L^{\infty}(Y \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$
P(g \cdot y, s+\log (\omega(g, x)))=P(y, s) \quad \text { for all } g \in G \text { and a.e. }(y, x, s) \in Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}
$$

It follows that

$$
P\left(y, s+\log (\omega(g, x))-\log \left(\omega\left(g, x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)=P(y, s)
$$

for all $g \in G$ and a.e. $\left(y, x, x^{\prime}, s\right) \in Y \times X \times X \times \mathbb{R}$. For every $g \in G$, denote by $R_{g}$ the essential range of the map

$$
X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}:\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \log (\omega(g, x))-\log \left(\omega\left(g, x^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

To conclude that $P \in L^{\infty}(Y) \otimes 1$, it suffices to prove that $\bigcup_{g \in G} R_{g}$ generates a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. So it suffices to prove that there is no $a>0$ such that $\log (\omega(g, x))-\log \left(\omega\left(g, x^{\prime}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Z} a$ for all $g \in G$ and a.e. $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in X \times X$. Assume the contrary.

Fix $g, k \in G$ and define the measure preserving factor map

$$
\pi:\left(\{0,1\} \times X, \mu_{k} \times \mu\right) \rightarrow(X, \mu):(\pi(z, x))_{h}= \begin{cases}x_{h} & \text { if } h \neq k \\ z & \text { if } h=k\end{cases}
$$

By our assumption, $\log (\omega(g, \pi(z, x)))-\log (\omega(g, x)) \in \mathbb{Z} a$ for a.e. $z \in\{0,1\}, x \in X$. Since

$$
\log (\omega(g, x))=\sum_{h \in G}\left(\log \left(\mu_{g h}\left(x_{h}\right)\right)-\log \left(\mu_{h}\left(x_{h}\right)\right)\right)
$$

with convergence a.e., we find that

$$
\log (\omega(g, \pi(z, x)))-\log (\omega(g, x))=\left(\log \left(\mu_{g k}(z)\right)-\log \left(\mu_{k}(z)\right)\right)-\left(\log \left(\mu_{g k}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)-\log \left(\mu_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)\right)
$$

for all $g \in G$ and a.e. $z \in\{0,1\}, x \in X$. Taking $z=1$ and $x_{k}=0$, it follows that

$$
\log \left(\frac{\mu_{g k}(1)}{\mu_{g k}(0)}\right)-\log \left(\frac{\mu_{k}(1)}{\mu_{k}(0)}\right) \in \mathbb{Z} a
$$

But the left hand side equals $\varepsilon_{1}(K(g k)-K(k))$. Since $g, k \in G$ were arbitrary and $K(e)=0$, we conclude that $K(g) \in \mathbb{Z}\left(a / \varepsilon_{1}\right)$ for all $g \in G$, contrary to our choice of $K$.
So, we have proven that $P \in L^{\infty}(Y) \otimes 1$ and thus, $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y) \otimes 1 \otimes 1$. This means that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is ergodic, of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ and with weakly mixing Maharam extension.
By Lemma 5.4 below and (5.1), we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \int_{X} \sqrt{\omega(g, x)} d \mu(x) & \geq \sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\frac{3}{5}\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \geq \sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \exp \left(-\frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_{1}^{2}\left\|b_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& >\left(1-\varepsilon_{0}\right)|\mathcal{F}| \geq\left\|\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_{g}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

So by Proposition 5.3 below, we conclude that the action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonamenable.
It remains to prove that we may choose a 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ with $c_{g}=0$ for all $g \in \Lambda$ and such that the associated function $\operatorname{Re} H: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, determined by $H(e)=0$ and $c_{g}=H-g \cdot H$ for all $g \in G$, takes at least three different values. The space of 1-cocycles $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ that vanish on $\Lambda$ is an $L(G)$-module of positive $L(G)$-dimension. It is in particular an infinite
dimensional vector space. So we can choose 1-cocycles $c, c^{\prime}: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ that vanish on $\Lambda$ and such that the associated functions $\operatorname{Re} H: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\operatorname{Re} H^{\prime}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent and, in particular, nonzero. If either $\operatorname{Re} H$ or $\operatorname{Re} H^{\prime}$ takes at least three values, we are done. Otherwise, after multiplying $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ with nonzero real numbers, we may assume that $\operatorname{Re} H=1_{A}$ and $\operatorname{Re} H^{\prime}=1_{A^{\prime}}$, where $A, A^{\prime}$ are distinct nonempty subsets of $G$. But then the function $\operatorname{Re} H+2 \operatorname{Re} H^{\prime}$, associated with the 1 -cocycle $c+2 c^{\prime}$, takes at least three different values.
Next assume that $\Lambda<G_{0}<G$ are subgroups such that $\Lambda$ is infinite, $G_{0}<G$ has finite index and $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Lambda)<\beta_{1}^{(2)}\left(G_{0}\right)$. Since $\beta_{1}^{(2)}\left(G_{0}\right)=\left[G: G_{0}\right] \beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)$, we also have that $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)>0$. So if $\Lambda$ is amenable, we have $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Lambda)=0<\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)$ and we can apply the first part of the proof. So we may assume that $\Lambda$ is nonamenable.
Choose a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset G$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that (5.1) holds. Since $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Lambda)<\beta_{1}^{(2)}\left(G_{0}\right)$, we can proceed as in the first part of the proof and find $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}>0$ and a function $K: G_{0} \rightarrow\left[-\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right]$ satisfying the following properties.

- The range of $K$ generates a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$.
- $K$ is invariant under left translation by $\Lambda$.
- Writing $c_{g}(k)=K(k)-K\left(g^{-1} k\right)$ for all $g, k \in G_{0}$, we have that $c_{g} \in \ell^{2}\left(G_{0}\right)$ for all $g \in G_{0}$. Write $G=\sqcup_{i=1}^{\kappa} g_{i} G_{0}$. Define

$$
F: G \rightarrow\left[-\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right]: F\left(g_{i} h\right)=K(h) \quad \text { for all } i \in\{1, \ldots, \kappa\} \text { and } h \in G_{0} .
$$

For every $g, h \in G$, define $b_{g}(h)=F(h)-F\left(g^{-1} h\right)$. By construction, $b_{g} \in \ell^{2}(G)$ for every $g \in G$ and $G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G): g \mapsto b_{g}$ is a cocycle. Note however that $b$ need not vanish on $\Lambda$.
For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$, define the nonamenable group $\Lambda_{i}=g_{i} \Lambda g_{i}^{-1}$. By Schoenberg's theorem (see e.g. [BO08, Theorem D.11]), for every $\varepsilon>0$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$, the map

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon, i}: \Lambda_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: h \mapsto \exp \left(-8 \varepsilon^{2}\left\|b_{h}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

is a positive definite function on $\Lambda_{i}$. When $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get that $\varphi_{\varepsilon, i} \rightarrow 1$ pointwise. Since $\Lambda_{i}$ is nonamenable, it follows that $\varphi_{\varepsilon, i} \notin \ell^{2}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough. So we can choose $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ small enough such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left(\varepsilon_{1} \kappa_{i}\right) \leq 2 \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \quad \exp \left(-\frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_{1}^{2}\left\|b_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)>1-\varepsilon_{0} \quad \text { for all } g \in \mathcal{F}, \text { and } \\
& \sum_{h \in \Lambda_{i}} \exp \left(-16 \varepsilon_{1}^{2}\left\|b_{h}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)=+\infty \quad \text { for all } i \in\{1, \ldots, \kappa\} . \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For every $g \in G$, define the probability measure $\mu_{g}$ on $\{0,1\}$ given by

$$
\mu_{g}(0)=\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(\varepsilon_{1} F(g)\right)}
$$

Note that $\mu_{g}(0) \in[1 / 3,2 / 3]$ for all $g \in G$. Defining $d_{g}(h)=\mu_{h}(0)-\mu_{g^{-1} h}(0)$, we find that $\left\|d_{g}\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{1}\left\|b_{g}\right\|_{2}$. So, $d_{g} \in \ell^{2}(G)$ and the Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)=\prod_{g \in G}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{g}\right)$ is nonsingular and essentially free.
Choose an arbitrary pmp action $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$ and consider the diagonal action $G \curvearrowright Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}$ of $G \curvearrowright Y$ and the Maharam extension $G \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ be $G$-invariant. We have to prove that $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y) \otimes 1 \otimes 1$.

For every subset $J \subset G$, define $\left(X_{J}, \mu_{J}\right)=\prod_{g \in J}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{g}\right)$ and view $L^{\infty}\left(X_{J}\right) \subset L^{\infty}(X)$. Fix $i \in\{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$. We prove that $Q \in L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{G \backslash g_{i} G_{0}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$. Since the map $K: G_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\Lambda$-invariant, we get that $\Lambda_{i} \curvearrowright\left(X_{g_{i} G_{0}}, \mu_{g_{i} G_{0}}\right)$ is a pmp Bernoulli action. By (5.2), the inequality $\left\|d_{g}\right\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon_{1}\left\|b_{g}\right\|_{2}$ and Proposition 4.1, the action $\Lambda_{i} \curvearrowright X$ is conservative. This means that $\sum_{g \in \Lambda_{i}} \omega(g, x)=+\infty$ for a.e. $x \in X$, so that also the diagonal action $\Lambda_{i} \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is conservative. A fortiori, the factor action $\Lambda_{i} \curvearrowright Y \times X_{G \backslash g_{i} G_{0}}$ is conservative and then also its Maharam extension $\Lambda_{i} \curvearrowright Y \times X_{G \backslash g_{i} G_{0}} \times \mathbb{R}$. Since we can view $\Lambda_{i} \curvearrowright Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}$ as the diagonal product of $\Lambda_{i} \curvearrowright Y \times X_{G \backslash g_{i} G_{0}} \times \mathbb{R}$ and the mixing pmp action $\Lambda_{i} \curvearrowright X_{g_{i} G_{0}}$, it follows from [SW81, Theorem 2.3] that the $\Lambda_{i}$-invariant functions in $L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ belong to $L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{G \backslash g_{i} G_{0}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$. So, $Q \in L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{G \backslash g_{i} G_{0}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$.
Since this holds for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$, it follows that $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y) \bar{\otimes} 1 \bar{\otimes} L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. We now proceed as in the first part of the proof. Since the range of $K$ generates a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$, the same holds for $F$ and we conclude that $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y) \otimes 1 \otimes 1$.

The fact that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonamenable in the sense of Zimmer follows exactly as in the first part of the proof.

We now deduce Theorem 5.1 from Lemma 5.2 by proving that a group satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 5.1 automatically admits subgroups $\Lambda<G_{0}<G$ as in Lemma 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $G$ be a countable group with $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)>0$, satisfying one of the properties in 1-4. Since $\mathbb{Z}$ is amenable, case 1 follows from case 2 . In case 2 , if $\Lambda<G$ is an infinite amenable group, we have $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Lambda)=0<\beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)$ and taking $G_{0}=G$, the assumptions of Lemma 5.2 are satisfied.
Case 3 follows from case 4 by taking $G_{0}=G$. So it remains to prove the theorem in case 4, i.e. in the presence of a finite index subgroup $G_{0}<G$ with $\left[G: G_{0}\right] \geq \beta_{1}^{(2)}(G)^{-1}$. Then, $\beta_{1}^{(2)}\left(G_{0}\right)=\left[G: G_{0}\right] \beta_{1}^{(2)}(G) \geq 1$. Since we already proved the theorem in cases 1 and 2 , we may assume that $G_{0}$ is a torsion group without infinite amenable subgroups. We claim that there exist $a, b \in G_{0}$ such that the subgroup $\Lambda=\langle a, b\rangle$ generated by $a$ and $b$ is infinite. Indeed, if all two elements $a, b \in G_{0}$ generate a finite subgroup, it follows from [St66, Theorem 7] that $G_{0}$ contains an infinite abelian subgroup, contrary to our assumptions. So the claim is proved and we fix $a, b \in G_{0}$ generating an infinite subgroup $\Lambda=\langle a, b\rangle$.
We prove that $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Lambda)<1$. Since $\beta_{1}^{(2)}\left(G_{0}\right) \geq 1$, the subgroups $\Lambda<G_{0}<G$ then satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 5.2. Assume that $a$ has order $n$ and $b$ has order $m$. Since any cocycle $\gamma: \Lambda \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\Lambda)$ is cohomologous to a cocycle that vanishes on the finite subgroup generated by $a$ and is then entirely determined by its value on $b$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Lambda)=\operatorname{dim}_{L(\Lambda)}\left(\left\{\xi \in \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \mid\right.\right. & \text { there exists a 1-cocycle } \gamma: \Lambda \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \text { with } \\
& \quad \begin{aligned}
&\left.\left.\gamma_{a}=0 \text { and } \gamma_{b}=\xi\right\}\right) \\
& \quad-\operatorname{dim}_{L(\Lambda)}\left(\left\{\eta-b \cdot \eta \mid \eta \in \ell^{2}(\Lambda), a \cdot \eta=\eta\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term is bounded by 1 . Because $\Lambda$ is infinite and $a$ has order $n$, the second term equals

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{L(\Lambda)}\left(\left\{\eta \in \ell^{2}(\Lambda) \mid a \cdot \eta=\eta\right\}\right)=\frac{1}{n} .
$$

So, $\beta_{1}^{(2)}(\Lambda) \leq 1-1 / n<1$. This concludes the proof of the theorem.
The following result is implicitly contained in the proof of [DN10, Theorem 7]. For completeness, we provide a detailed proof.

Proposition 5.3 ([DN10, Theorem 7$])$. Let $G$ be a countable group and $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ a nonsingular action. Denote by $\omega: G \times X \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ the Radon-Nikodym cocycle given by (2.5). Denote by $\lambda: G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\left(\ell^{2}(G)\right)$ the left regular representation. If there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset G$ such that

$$
\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \int_{X} \sqrt{\omega(g, x)} d \mu(x)>\left\|\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_{g}\right\|
$$

then the action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonamenable in the sense of Zimmer.

Proof. Assume that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is amenable in the sense of Zimmer and fix a finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset G$. Since $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is amenable, we can take a sequence $\xi_{n} \in L^{\infty}\left(X, \ell^{2}(G)\right)$ such that $\left\|\xi_{n}(x)\right\|_{2}=1$ for a.e. $x \in X$ and
$\lim _{n} \int_{X}\left\langle\lambda_{g} \xi_{n}\left(g^{-1} \cdot x\right), \xi_{n}(x)\right\rangle H(x) d \mu(x)=\int_{X} H(x) d \mu(x) \quad$ for every $H \in L^{1}(X, \mu)$ and $g \in G$.
Define the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}=L^{2}\left(X, \ell^{2}(G)\right)$ and the unitary representation

$$
\pi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K}):(\pi(g) \xi)(x)=\sqrt{\omega\left(g^{-1}, x\right)} \lambda_{g} \xi\left(g^{-1} \cdot x\right)
$$

We view $\xi_{n}$ as a sequence of unit vectors in $\mathcal{K}$ and find that

$$
\lim _{n}\left\langle\pi(g) \xi_{n}, \xi_{n}\right\rangle=\lim _{n}\left\langle\xi_{n}, \pi\left(g^{-1}\right) \xi_{n}\right\rangle=\int_{X} \sqrt{\omega(g, x)} d \mu(x)
$$

It follows that

$$
\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \int_{X} \sqrt{\omega(g, x)} d \mu(x) \leq\left\|\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \pi(g)\right\|
$$

Defining the closed subspace $\mathcal{K}_{0} \subset \mathcal{K}$ given by $\mathcal{K}_{0}=L^{2}\left(X, \mathbb{C} \delta_{e}\right)$, we see that the subspaces $\pi(g) \mathcal{K}_{0}, g \in G$, are mutually orthogonal and that these subspaces densely span $\mathcal{K}$. Therefore, $\pi$ is unitarily equivalent with a multiple of the regular representation of $G$. Therefore,

$$
\left\|\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \pi(g)\right\|=\left\|\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_{g}\right\|
$$

and the proposition is proved.
Lemma 5.4. Let $G \curvearrowright I$ be a free action of the countable group $G$ on the countable set $I$ and let $F: I \rightarrow(0,1)$ be a function satisfying (2.4) with $\delta=1 / 3$. Denote by $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ the associated Bernoulli action, by $\omega: G \times X \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ its Radon-Nikodym cocycle and by $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(I)$ the associated 1-cocycle as in (2.8). Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X} \sqrt{\omega(g, x)} d \mu(x) \geq \exp \left(-\frac{3}{5}\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \quad \text { for all } g \in G \text {. } \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $I=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be an enumeration of $I$. Define

$$
\omega_{n}: G \times X \rightarrow(0,+\infty): \omega_{n}(g, x)=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_{g \cdot i_{k}}\left(x_{i_{k}}\right)}{\mu_{i_{k}}\left(x_{i_{k}}\right)}
$$

Fix $g \in G$. By [Ka48], we know that $\omega_{n}(g, x) \rightarrow \omega(g, x)$ for a.e. $x \in X$ and that $\sqrt{\omega_{n}(g, \cdot)} \rightarrow$ $\sqrt{\omega(g, \cdot)}$ in $L^{2}(X, \mu)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X} \sqrt{\omega(g, x)} d \mu(x)=\lim _{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\sqrt{F\left(i_{k}\right) F\left(g \cdot i_{k}\right)}+\sqrt{\left(1-F\left(i_{k}\right)\right)\left(1-F\left(g \cdot i_{k}\right)\right)}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $1 / 3 \leq a, b \leq 2 / 3$, we have that

$$
\sqrt{a b}+\sqrt{(1-a)(1-b)} \geq 1-\frac{9}{16}(b-a)^{2} .
$$

For every $0 \leq t \leq 1 / 16$, we have that $\log (1-t) \geq-(16 / 15) t$. Since $\frac{9}{16}(b-a)^{2}$ lies between 0 and $1 / 16$, we get that

$$
\log (\sqrt{a b}+\sqrt{(1-a)(1-b)}) \geq-\frac{3}{5}(b-a)^{2} .
$$

It then follows from (5.4) that

$$
\int_{X} \sqrt{\omega(g, x)} d \mu(x) \geq \exp \left(-\frac{3}{5} \sum_{i \in I}(F(i)-F(g \cdot i))^{2}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{3}{5}\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) .
$$

So (5.3) holds and the lemma is proved.

## 6 Amenable groups

Theorem 6.1. Let $G$ be an amenable countable infinite group. If $G$ has at least one element of infinite order, then $G$ admits a nonsingular Bernoulli action that is essentially free, ergodic and of type $I I I_{1}$ and that has a weakly mixing Maharam extension.
The same conclusion holds if $G$ is amenable and $G$ admits an infinite subgroup of infinite index.
Note that the only amenable groups $G$ that are not covered by Theorem 6.1 are the amenable torsion groups with the property that every subgroup is either finite or of finite index. While it is unknown whether there are finitely generated such groups, the locally finite Prüfer $p$-groups, for $p$ prime, given as the direct limit of the finite groups $\mathbb{Z} / p^{n} \mathbb{Z}$, have the property that every proper subgroup is finite. We do not know whether these groups admit a nonsingular Bernoulli action of type III.
In [Ko10, Theorem 7], it is proven that there exist nonsingular Bernoulli shifts $T$ that are ergodic, of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ and power weakly mixing in the sense that all transformations $T^{a_{1}} \times \cdots \times T^{a_{k}}$ remain ergodic. Our proof of Theorem 6.1 also gives the following concrete examples.
Corollary 6.2. Let $0<\lambda<1$ and put $n_{0}=\left\lceil(1-\lambda)^{-2}\right\rceil$. Define for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the probability measure $\mu_{n}$ on $\{0,1\}$ given by

$$
\mu_{n}(0)= \begin{cases}\lambda+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n \log (n)}} & \text { if } n \geq n_{0} \\ \lambda & \text { if } n<n_{0}\end{cases}
$$

The associated Bernoulli shift $T$ on $(X, \mu)=\prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{n}\right)$ is essentially free, ergodic, of type $I I I_{1}$ and with weakly mixing Maharam extension. Moreover, for all $k \geq 1$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \in$ $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, the nonsingular transformation

$$
T^{a_{1}} \times \cdots \times T^{a_{k}}: X^{k} \rightarrow X^{k}:\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \mapsto\left(T^{a_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, T^{a_{k}}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)
$$

remains ergodic, of type $I I_{1}$ and with weakly mixing Maharam extension.
As another application of our methods, we give the following concrete example of an ergodic type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ Bernoulli shift that is not power weakly mixing. As far as we know, such examples were not given before.

Corollary 6.3. Define for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the probability measure $\mu_{n}$ on $\{0,1\}$ given by

$$
\mu_{n}(0)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{6 \sqrt{n}} & \text { if } n \geq 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text { if } n \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

The associated Bernoulli shift $T$ on $(X, \mu)=\prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{n}\right)$ is essentially free, ergodic, of type $I I I_{1}$ and with weakly mixing Maharam extension, but for $m$ large enough (e.g. $m \geq 73$ ), the m-th power transformation

$$
T \times \cdots \times T: X^{m} \rightarrow X^{m}:\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \mapsto\left(T\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, T\left(x_{m}\right)\right)
$$

is dissipative.
Theorem 6.1 and its corollaries are proved in Sections 6.5-6.7.

### 6.1 Determining the type: removing inessential subsets of $I$

Fix a countable infinite group $\Lambda$ acting freely on a countable set $I$ and fix a function $F: I \rightarrow$ $(0,1)$ satisfying $(2.4)$. Define the probability measures $\mu_{i}$ on $\{0,1\}$ given by $\mu_{i}(0)=F(i)$. Denote by $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)=\prod_{i \in I}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{i}\right)$ the associated Bernoulli action with Radon-Nikodym cocycle $\omega: \Lambda \times X \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ given by (2.6) and Maharam extension $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X \times \mathbb{R}, \mu \times \nu)$ given by (2.7). Fix an arbitrary pmp action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$ and consider the diagonal action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}, \eta \times \mu \times \nu)$.
For every subset $J \subset I$, we consider $\left(X_{J}, \mu_{J}\right)=\prod_{j \in J}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{j}\right)$. We denote by $x \mapsto x_{J}$ the natural measure preserving factor map $(X, \mu) \rightarrow\left(X_{J}, \mu_{J}\right)$. Given $0<\lambda<1$, we denote by $\nu_{\lambda}$ the probability measure on $\{0,1\}$ given by $\nu_{\lambda}(0)=\lambda$. We also use the notation

$$
\varphi_{\lambda, i}:\{0,1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \varphi_{\lambda, i}(x)=\log \frac{\mu_{i}(x)}{\nu_{\lambda}(x)}= \begin{cases}\log (F(i))-\log (\lambda) & \text { if } x=0  \tag{6.1}\\ \log (1-F(i))-\log (1-\lambda) & \text { if } x=1\end{cases}
$$

We introduce the following ad hoc terminology: given $0<\lambda<1$, we call a subset $J \subset I$ $\lambda$-inessential if the following two conditions hold.

1. $\mu_{j}=\nu_{\lambda}$ for all but finitely many $j \in J$.
2. For every $\Lambda$-invariant $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$, there exists a $P \in L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{I \backslash J} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $\|P\|_{\infty} \leq\|Q\|_{\infty}$ and

$$
Q(y, x, s)=P\left(y, x_{I \backslash J}, s-\sum_{j \in J} \varphi_{\lambda, j}\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \quad \text { for a.e. }(y, x, s) \in Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}
$$

Note that the sum over $j \in J$ is actually a finite sum since $\varphi_{\lambda, j}$ is the zero map for all but finitely many $j \in J$. The terminology "inessential" is motivated by the fact that these subsets "do not contribute" to the type of the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$.
Note that if we assume that condition 1 holds, then condition 2 is equivalent with the following: denoting by $\mu^{\prime} \sim \mu$ the measure given by $\mu_{i}^{\prime}=\mu_{i}$ for all $i \in I \backslash J$ and $\mu_{j}^{\prime}=\nu_{\lambda}$ for all $j \in J$, every $\Lambda$-invariant function in $L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ w.r.t. the diagonal action of $\Lambda \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$ and the Maharam extension for $\Lambda \curvearrowright\left(X, \mu^{\prime}\right)$ belongs to $L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{I \backslash J} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$. Using this characterization, it follows that the union of two inessential subsets is again inessential.
We provide two criteria for subsets $J \subset I$ to be inessential.

Proposition 6.4. Assume that $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative (see Section 4). Let $0<\lambda<1$. If $i_{0} \in I$ is such that $F\left(g \cdot i_{0}\right)=\lambda$ for all but finitely many $g \in \Lambda$, then $\Lambda \cdot i_{0} \subset I$ is $\lambda$-inessential.

Proof. Write $J=\Lambda \cdot i_{0}$ and replace $\mu$ by the equivalent measure satisfying $\mu_{j}=\nu_{\lambda}$ for all $j \in J$. We have to prove that every $\Lambda$-invariant function $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ for the diagonal product of the fixed pmp action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$ and the Maharam extension $\Lambda \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{I \backslash J} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$.
Since a nonsingular action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative if and only if $\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \omega(g, x)=+\infty$ for a.e. $x \in X$, it follows that also the diagonal action $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is conservative. Note that $\Lambda \curvearrowright\left(X_{J}, \mu_{J}\right)$ is a probability measure preserving Bernoulli action and that $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}$ can be viewed as the product of the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y \times X_{I \backslash J} \times \mathbb{R}$ and the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_{J}$. The action $\Lambda \curvearrowright\left(Y \times X_{I \backslash J}, \eta \times \mu_{I \backslash J}\right)$ is a factor of the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(Y \times X, \eta \times \mu)$ and is therefore conservative. Then also its Maharam extension $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y \times X_{I \backslash J} \times \mathbb{R}$ is conservative. Since the probability measure preserving Bernoulli action $\Lambda \curvearrowright X_{J}$ is mixing, it follows from [SW81, Theorem 2.3] that the $\Lambda$-invariant functions in $L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ belong to $L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{I \backslash J} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$.

Our next criterion for being inessential is a consequence of [ST94, Lemma 4.3], saying the following. Assume that

- $(Z, \zeta)$ and $\left(Z_{0}, \zeta_{0}\right)$ are $\sigma$-finite standard measure spaces, with $\sigma$-algebras of measurable sets $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{0}$;
- $\pi: Z \rightarrow Z_{0}$ is a measure preserving factor map;
- $T: Z \rightarrow Z$ is a measure preserving, conservative automorphism and $T_{0}: Z_{0} \rightarrow Z_{0}$ is a measure preserving endomorphism;
- $\pi \circ T=T_{0} \circ \pi$ a.e.;
- $\mathcal{B}$ is, up to measure zero, generated by $\left\{T^{k}\left(\pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{B}_{0}\right)\right) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$.

Then by [ST94, Lemma 4.3], every $T$-invariant function $Q \in L^{\infty}(Z)$ factors through $\pi$.
Proposition 6.5. Assume that $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}$ and let $0<\lambda<1$. Assume that $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative. If $i_{0} \in I$ is such that $F\left(n \cdot i_{0}\right)=\lambda$ for all $n \geq 0$, then $\left\{n \cdot i_{0} \mid n \geq n_{0}\right\}$ is $\lambda$-inessential for every $n_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Similarly, if $i_{0} \in I$ such that $F\left(n \cdot i_{0}\right)=\lambda$ for all $n \leq 0$, then $\left\{n \cdot i_{0} \mid n \leq n_{0}\right\}$ is $\lambda$-inessential for every $n_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to prove the first statement. Fix $n_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Replace $i_{0}$ by $n_{0} \cdot i_{0}$ and replace $\mu$ by the equivalent measure satisfying $\mu_{j}=\nu_{\lambda}$ for all $j \in J:=\left\{n \cdot i_{0} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$. Write $J^{\prime}=I \backslash J$. We have to prove that every $\mathbb{Z}$-invariant function $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ for the diagonal product of the fixed pmp action $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$ and the Maharam extension $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{J^{\prime}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$.
Denote by

$$
T: Y \times X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}: T(y, x, s)=1 \cdot(y, x, s)=(1 \cdot y, 1 \cdot x, \log (\omega(1, x))+s)
$$

the $\eta \times \mu \times \nu$-preserving transformation given by $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define the $\eta \times \mu_{J^{\prime}} \times \nu$-preserving endomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{0}: Y \times X_{J^{\prime}} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y \times X_{J^{\prime}} \times \mathbb{R}: T_{0}(y, x, s)= & \left(1 \cdot y, x^{\prime}, \log (\omega(1, x))+s\right) \\
& \text { where } x_{i}^{\prime}=x_{(-1) \cdot i} \text { for all } i \in J^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is well defined because $x \mapsto \omega(1, x)$ factors through $X_{J^{\prime}}$ by (2.6).
As in the proof of Proposition 6.4, since $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative, also the diagonal action $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright(Y \times X, \eta \times \mu)$ is conservative. So, the Maharam extension $T$ is conservative as well. Applying [ST94, Lemma 4.3] as in the discussion before the proposition to the natural, measure preserving factor map $Y \times X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y \times X_{J^{\prime}} \times \mathbb{R}$, it follows that the $\Lambda$-invariant functions in $L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ belong to $L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{J^{\prime}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$.

### 6.2 Determining the type: reduction to the tail

Fix a countable infinite group $\Lambda$ acting freely on a countable set $I$ and fix a function $F: I \rightarrow$ $(0,1)$ satisfying (2.4). Denote by $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ the associated Bernoulli action.

Proposition 6.6. Assume that $0<\lambda<1$ such that

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} F(i)=\lambda \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i \in I}(F(i)-\lambda)^{2}=+\infty
$$

Assume that there exists a sequence of $\lambda$-inessential subsets $J_{n} \subset I$ (see Section 6.1) such that $\bigcup_{n} J_{n}=I$. Then, $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is ergodic and of type $I I I_{1}$, and has a weakly mixing Maharam extension.

Proof. Enumerate $I=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots\right\}$. Define $I_{n}=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}\right\}$. Since the union of two $\lambda$-inessential subsets is inessential and since subsets of $\lambda$-inessential sets are again $\lambda$-inessential, it follows that $I_{n}$ is $\lambda$-inessential for every $n$. Write $I_{n}^{\prime}=I \backslash I_{n}$.
Fix a pmp action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$. We have to prove that every $\Lambda$-invariant element $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times$ $\mathbb{R}$ ) for the diagonal product of $\Lambda \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$ and the Maharam extension $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X \times \mathbb{R}, \mu \times \nu)$ given by (2.7) belongs to $L^{\infty}(Y) \otimes 1 \otimes 1$. Using the notation in (6.1), we find $Q_{n} \in L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{I_{n}^{\prime}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ with $\left\|Q_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\|Q\|_{\infty}$ for all $n$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(y, x, s)=Q_{n}\left(y, x_{I_{n}^{\prime}}, s-\sum_{j \in I_{n}} \varphi_{\lambda, j}\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \quad \text { for a.e. }(x, s) \in X \times \mathbb{R} . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $S_{n} \in L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{I_{n}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ as the conditional expectation of $Q$ onto $L^{\infty}\left(Y \times X_{I_{n}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$. By martingale convergence, we have that $S_{n}(y, x, s) \rightarrow Q(y, x, s)$ for a.e. $(x, s) \in X \times \mathbb{R}$. Define $P_{n} \in L^{\infty}(Y \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $\left(P_{n}\right)_{13}$ is the conditional expectation of $Q_{n}$ onto $L^{\infty}(Y) \bar{\otimes} 1 \bar{\otimes} L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|Q_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\|Q\|_{\infty}$ and it follows from (6.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}(y, x, s)=P_{n}\left(y, s-\sum_{i \in I_{n}} \varphi_{\lambda, i}\left(x_{i}\right)\right) . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the tail equivalence relation on ( $X, \mu$ ) given by $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $x_{i}=x_{i}^{\prime}$ for all but finitely many $i \in I$. Define the 1 -cocycle

$$
\alpha: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \alpha\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i \in I}\left(\varphi_{\lambda, i}\left(x_{i}\right)-\varphi_{\lambda, i}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

Denote by $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ the associated skew product, i.e. the equivalence relation on $X \times \mathbb{R}$ given by $(x, s) \sim\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)$ if and only if $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $s=\alpha\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+t$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}(\alpha)$ the equivalence relation on $Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}$ given by id $\times \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, i.e. with $(y, x, s) \sim_{\mathcal{S}(\alpha)}\left(y^{\prime}, x^{\prime}, t\right)$ if and only if $y=y^{\prime}$ and $(x, s) \sim_{\mathcal{R}(\alpha)}\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)$.

We claim that $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ is $\mathcal{S}(\alpha)$-invariant. Define $\sigma:\{0,1\} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ given by $\sigma(0)=1$ and $\sigma(1)=0$. For every $i \in I$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{i}: Y \times X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}: \sigma_{i}(y, x, s)= & \left(y, x^{\prime}, s-\varphi_{\lambda, i}\left(x_{i}\right)+\varphi_{\lambda, i}\left(\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \text { where } x_{j}^{\prime}=x_{j} \text { if } j \neq i \text { and } x_{i}^{\prime}=\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the graphs of the automorphisms $\left(\sigma_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ generate the equivalence relation $\mathcal{S}(\alpha)$, to prove the claim, it suffices to prove that $Q\left(\sigma_{i}(y, x, s)\right)=Q(y, x, s)$ for all $i \in I$ and a.e. $(y, x, s) \in$ $Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}$. Whenever $i \in I_{n}$, it follows from (6.3) that $S_{n}\left(\sigma_{i}(y, x, s)\right)=S_{n}(y, x, s)$ for all $(y, x, s)$. Since $S_{n} \rightarrow Q$ a.e. and $i \in I_{n}$ for $n$ large enough, the claim is proven.
By [DL16, Proposition 1.5], the cocycle $\alpha$ is ergodic, meaning that $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ is an ergodic equivalence relation. So every $\mathcal{S}(\alpha)$-invariant element $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ belongs to $L^{\infty}(Y) \otimes 1 \otimes 1$. Therefore, $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y) \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ and the proposition is proven.

### 6.3 Bernoulli actions of the group $\mathbb{Z}$

Combining Propositions 4.1, 6.5 and 6.6 , we get the following result that we use to construct numerous concrete examples of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$ Bernoulli actions of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 6.7. Let $I$ be a countable set and $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright I$ a free action. Let $0<\delta<1$ and $\kappa>\delta^{-2}+\delta^{-1}(1-\delta)^{-2}$. Assume that $F: I \rightarrow[\delta, 1-\delta]$ is a function satisfying the following conditions.

1. There exists a $0<\lambda<1$ such that $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} F(i)=\lambda$ and $\sum_{i \in I}(F(i)-\lambda)^{2}=+\infty$.
2. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function $c_{k}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: c_{k}(i)=F(i)-F((-k) \cdot i)$ belongs to $\ell^{2}(I)$.
3. We have $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left(-\kappa\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)=+\infty$.
4. For every $i \in I$, there exist $n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon_{i} \in\{1,-1\}$ such that $F(n \cdot i)=\lambda$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\varepsilon_{i} n \leq n_{i}$.

Then, the Bernoulli action $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)=\prod_{i \in I}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{i}\right)$ with $\mu_{i}(0)=F(i)$ is nonsingular, essentially free, ergodic and of type $I I_{1}$, and has a weakly mixing Maharam extension.

Proof. By 2, the Bernoulli action $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonsingular. Since $\delta \leq \mu_{i}(0) \leq 1-\delta$ for all $i \in I$, the action is essentially free. By 3 and Proposition 4.1, the action $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative. By 4 and Proposition 6.5 , the subset $\left\{n \cdot i \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \varepsilon_{i} n \leq m\right\} \subset I$ is $\lambda$-inessential for every $i \in I$ and every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since these subsets cover $I$, it follows from 1 and Proposition 6.6 that $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is ergodic and of type $I I I_{1}$, and that its Maharam extension is weakly mixing.

### 6.4 Amenable groups have 1-cocycles of arbitrarily small growth

A countable group $G$ has the Haagerup property if there exists a proper 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ into some unitary representation $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$. In [CTV05, Proposition 3.10], it is proven that a group with the Haagerup property admits such proper 1-cocycles $c: G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ of arbitrary slow growth. In [BCV93], it is proven that all amenable groups have the Haagerup property. Mimicking that proof, we show that an amenable group $G$ admits a proper 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow$ $\ell^{2}(G)$ of arbitrary slow growth.
A function $\varphi: I \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ on a countable infinite set $I$ is called proper if $\{i \in I \mid \varphi(i) \leq \kappa\}$ is finite for every $\kappa>0$.

Recall that a Følner sequence for an amenable group $G$ is a sequence of finite, nonempty subsets $A_{n} \subset G$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{n} \frac{\left|g A_{n} \triangle A_{n}\right|}{\left|A_{n}\right|}=0 \quad \text { for all } g \in G
$$

Proposition 6.8. Let $G$ be an amenable countable infinite group and $\varphi: G \rightarrow[0,+\infty) a$ proper function with $\varphi(g)>0$ for all $g \neq e$. Then there exists a 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ such that $\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2} \leq \varphi(g)$ for every $g \in G$ and such that $g \mapsto\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}$ is proper.
More concretely, given $\varphi$, given any Følner sequence $A_{n} \subset G$ with all $A_{n}$ being disjoint and given $\delta>0$, we can pass to a subsequence and choose $\varepsilon_{n} \in(0, \delta)$ such that

- $\lim _{n} \varepsilon_{n}=0$ and $\sum_{n} \varepsilon_{n}^{2}=+\infty$,
- the function

$$
F: G \rightarrow[0, \delta): F(g)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon_{n} / \sqrt{\left|A_{n}\right|} & \text { if } g \in A_{n} \text { for some } n  \tag{6.4}\\ 0 & \text { if } g \notin \bigcup_{n} A_{n}\end{cases}
$$

is such that $c_{g}(k)=F(k)-F\left(g^{-1} k\right)$ defines a 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ with the properties that $\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2} \leq \varphi(g)$ for every $g \in G$ and that $g \mapsto\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}$ is proper.

Proof. Enumerate $G=\left\{g_{0}, g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ with $g_{0}=e$. Choose a sequence $\varepsilon_{n} \in(0, \delta)$ such that $\lim _{n} \varepsilon_{n}=0, \sum_{n} \varepsilon_{n}^{2}=+\infty$ and

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{k} \varepsilon_{n}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi\left(g_{k}\right)^{2} \quad \text { for all } k \geq 1
$$

After passing to a subsequence of $A_{n}$, we may assume that

$$
\varepsilon_{n}^{2} \frac{\left|g_{k} A_{n} \triangle A_{n}\right|}{\left|A_{n}\right|} \leq \varepsilon_{k}^{2} 2^{-n} \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq k \leq n
$$

Define the function $F$ as in (6.4). For every $k \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g_{k} \cdot F^{2}-F^{2}\right\|_{1} & \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n}^{2} \frac{\left|g_{k} A_{n} \triangle A_{n}\right|}{\left|A_{n}\right|} \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{k}^{2} 2^{-n} \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_{n}^{2}+2 \varepsilon_{k}^{2}=2 \sum_{n=1}^{k} \varepsilon_{k}^{2} \leq \varphi\left(g_{k}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\|g_{k} \cdot F-F\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left\|g_{k} \cdot F^{2}-F^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq \varphi\left(g_{k}\right)^{2}$, we indeed find that the 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G)$ defined by $c_{g}(k)=F(k)-F\left(g^{-1} k\right)$ satisfies $\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2} \leq \varphi(g)$ for all $g \in G$.
Since $\lim _{g \rightarrow \infty} F(g)=0$ and $\sum_{g} F(g)^{2}=+\infty$, the 1-cocycle $c$ is not inner. By [PT10, Theorem 2.5], the 1-cocycle $c$ is proper.

### 6.5 Proof of Theorem 6.1

To prove Theorem 6.1, we have to deal with two separate cases.
Case 1. $G$ is an amenable group that admits an infinite subgroup $\Lambda$ of infinite index.
Case 2. $G$ admits a copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ as a finite index subgroup.

Proof in case 1. We start by proving that $G$ admits a Følner sequence $A_{n} \subset G$ for which all the sets $\Lambda A_{n}$ are disjoint. To prove this claim, let $B_{n} \subset G$ be an arbitrary F $\varnothing$ lner sequence and define the left invariant mean $m$ on $G$ as a limit point of the means $m_{n}(C)=\left|C \cap B_{n}\right| /\left|B_{n}\right|$. Since $\Lambda<G$ has infinite index, we can fix a sequence $g_{n} \in G$ such that the sets $g_{n} \Lambda$ are disjoint. It follows that for every fixed $h \in G$, the sets $g_{n} \Lambda h$ are disjoint. By left invariance, this forces $m(\Lambda h)=0$. So, for every finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset G$, we get that $m(\Lambda \mathcal{F})=0$. This implies that after passing to a subsequence of $B_{n}$, we may assume that $\left|\Lambda \mathcal{F} \cap B_{n}\right| /\left|B_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0$ for every finite subset $\mathcal{F} \subset G$.
Write $G$ as an increasing union of finite subsets $\mathcal{F}_{n} \subset G$ and choose $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ such that $B_{k} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n}$ for all $k<n$. Choose inductively $s_{1}<s_{2}<\cdots$ such that

$$
\frac{\left|\Lambda \mathcal{F}_{s_{n-1}} \cap B_{s_{n}}\right|}{\left|B_{s_{n}}\right|}<\frac{1}{n}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Defining $A_{n}=B_{s_{n}} \backslash \Lambda \mathcal{F}_{s_{n-1}}$, we have found a Følner sequence $A_{n} \subset G$ for which all the sets $\Lambda A_{n}$ are disjoint.
Let $G=\left\{g_{0}, g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ be an enumeration of the group $G$ such that $g_{0}=e$ and $\left\{g_{0}, g_{2}, g_{4}, \ldots\right\}$ is an enumeration of the infinite subgroup $\Lambda$. By Proposition 6.8, we can pass to a subsequence of $A_{n}$ and choose $\varepsilon_{n} \in(0,1 / 6)$ such that $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0, \sum_{n} \varepsilon_{n}^{2}=+\infty$ and such that the function $F$ defined by (6.4) has the property that the associated 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G): c_{g}(k)=$ $F(k)-F\left(g^{-1} k\right)$ satisfies

$$
\left\|c_{g_{n}}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{16} \log (1+n)
$$

for all $n \geq 0$.
Define the probability measures $\mu_{k}$ on $\{0,1\}$ given by $\mu_{k}(0)=F(k)+1 / 2$ and note that $1 / 2 \leq \mu_{k}(0) \leq 2 / 3$ for all $k \in G$. Consider the associated Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$, which is nonsingular because of (2.3). Then,

$$
\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \exp \left(-16\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp (-\log (1+n))=+\infty
$$

It follows from Proposition 4.1 that the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative. By construction, for every $k \in G$, there is at most one $A_{n}$ that intersects $\Lambda k$. It then follows from Proposition 6.4 that $\Lambda k \subset G$ is $1 / 2$-inessential, for every $k \in G$. So by Proposition 6.6 , the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is ergodic and of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$, and has a weakly mixing Maharam extension. A fortiori, the same holds for $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$.
Proof in case 2. In case $2, G$ also admits a copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ as a finite index normal subgroup. Denote $\kappa=[G: \mathbb{Z}]$ and fix $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\kappa}$ such that $G$ is the disjoint union of the $g_{i} \mathbb{Z}$. Define the function

$$
F_{0}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow(0,1): F_{0}(n)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } n \leq 3 \\ \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n \log (n)}} & \text { if } n \geq 4\end{cases}
$$

and then define the function $F: G \rightarrow(0,1)$ given by $F\left(g_{i} n\right)=F_{0}(n)$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, \kappa\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For every $g \in G$, define the function $c_{g}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $c_{g}(h)=F(h)-F\left(g^{-1} h\right)$.
Since $\sum_{n=4}^{k}(n \log (n))^{-1}$ grows like $\log (\log (k))$, it follows from Lemma 6.9 below that for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function $F_{0}-k \cdot F_{0}$ belongs to $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ and that $\left\|F_{0}-k \cdot F_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2} / \log (|k|)$ tends to zero as $|k| \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. It then also follows that $c_{g} \in \ell^{2}(G)$ for every $g \in G$ and that $\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} / \log (|k|)$ tends to zero when $k$ tends to infinity in $\mathbb{Z}$. Defining the probability measures $\mu_{h}$ on $\{0,1\}$ given by $\mu_{h}(0)=F(h)$, the associated Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonsingular and essentially
free. Applying Proposition 6.7 to the left action $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright G$, it follows that $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is ergodic and of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$, and has a weakly mixing Maharam extension. A fortiori, the same holds for $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$.

Lemma 6.9. Let $a_{0} \geq a_{1} \geq a_{2} \geq \cdots$ be a decreasing sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Let $\lambda>0$ and $n_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define the function

$$
F: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow(0,+\infty): F(n)= \begin{cases}\lambda+a_{n-n_{0}} & \text { if } n \geq n_{0} \\ \lambda & \text { if } n<n_{0}\end{cases}
$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the function $c_{k}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: c_{k}(n)=F(n)-F(n-k)$. Then, $c_{k} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ and

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{|k|-1} a_{n}^{2} \leq\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{|k|-1} a_{n}^{2} \quad \text { for every } k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Proof. Changing $\lambda$ or $n_{0}$ does not change the value of $\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}$, so that we may assume that $\lambda=0$ and $n_{0}=0$. Fix $k \geq 1$. For every $n_{1} \geq k$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{n_{1}}\left|c_{k}(n)\right|^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n=k}^{n_{1}}\left(a_{n-k}-a_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

so that $\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_{n}^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{n_{1}}\left|c_{k}(n)\right|^{2} & \leq \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n=k}^{n_{1}}\left|a_{n-k}^{2}-a_{n}^{2}\right|=\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n=k}^{n_{1}}\left(a_{n-k}^{2}-a_{n}^{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_{n}^{2}-\sum_{n=n_{1}-k+1}^{n_{1}} a_{n}^{2} \leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this holds for all $n_{1} \geq k$, we find that $c_{k} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ and

$$
\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_{n}^{2}
$$

Since $c_{0}=0$ and $\left\|c_{-k}\right\|_{2}=\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}$, the lemma is proven.

### 6.6 Proof of Corollary 6.2

It suffices to note that each of the transformations $T^{a_{1}} \times \cdots \times T^{a_{k}}$ can be viewed as a Bernoulli action associated with some free action $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright I$ having finitely many orbits. Since $\sum_{n=n_{0}}^{k}(n \log (n))^{-1}$ grows like $\log (\log (k))$, it follows from Lemma 6.9 that the associated 1cocycle $c: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(I)$ satisfies $\lim _{|k| \rightarrow \infty}\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} / \log (|k|)=0$. By Proposition 6.7, the transformation $T^{a_{1}} \times \cdots \times T^{a_{k}}$ is ergodic and of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$, and has a weakly mixing Maharam extension.

### 6.7 Proof of Corollary 6.3

By Lemma 6.9, the associated 1-cocycle $c: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by (2.8) satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{36} \sum_{n=1}^{|k|} \frac{1}{n} \leq\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{18} \sum_{n=1}^{|k|} \frac{1}{n}
$$

so that

$$
\frac{1}{36} \log (1+|k|) \leq\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{18}(1+\log |k|)
$$

whenever $|k| \geq 2$. It follows that

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left(-16\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \geq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{16}{18}(1+\log (k))\right)=\exp (-8 / 9) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{8 / 9}}=+\infty
$$

Since $1 / 3 \leq \mu_{k}(0) \leq 2 / 3$, it follows from Proposition 6.7 that $T$ is ergodic, of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$, with weakly mixing Maharam extension.

Write $m=73$. The $m$-fold power of $T$ is a Bernoulli action associated with $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright I$, where $I$ is the disjoint union of $m$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}$. The associated 1-cocycle $d: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(I)$ satisfies $\left\|d_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}=m\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|d_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) & =1+2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{m}{2}\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq 1+2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{m}{72} \log (1+k)\right) \\
& =1+2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{m / 72}}<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

So by Proposition 4.1, the $m$-fold power of $T$ is dissipative.

## 7 Nonsingular Bernoulli actions of the free groups

Concretizing the construction in the proof of Theorem 5.1 in the special case of a free product group $G=\Lambda * \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain the following wide range of nonsingular Bernoulli actions. As we explain in Example 7.2, this provides nonsingular Bernoulli actions of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$ for any $0<$ $\lambda<1$ and this provides strongly ergodic nonsingular Bernoulli actions whose orbit equivalence relation can have any prescribed Connes invariant.

Proposition 7.1. Let $G=\Lambda * \mathbb{Z}$ be any free product of an infinite group $\Lambda$ and the group of integers $\mathbb{Z}$. Define $W \subset G$ as the set of reduced words whose last letter is a strictly positive element of $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$ be Borel probability measures on a standard Borel space $X_{0}$. Assume that $\mu_{0} \sim \mu_{1}$ and that $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}$ are not supported on a single atom.
The Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ with $(X, \mu)=\prod_{g \in G}\left(X_{0}, \mu_{g}\right)$ and

$$
\mu_{g}= \begin{cases}\mu_{1} & \text { if } g \in W \\ \mu_{0} & \text { if } g \notin W\end{cases}
$$

is nonsingular, essentially free, ergodic and nonamenable in the sense of Zimmer.
Denote by $T=d \mu_{1} / d \mu_{0}$ the Radon-Nikodym derivative. Define $\tau(T)$ as the weakest topology on $\mathbb{R}$ that makes the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\left(L^{\infty}\left(X_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)\right): \pi(t)=\left(x \mapsto T(x)^{i t}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

continuous, where $\mathcal{U}\left(L^{\infty}\left(X_{0}, \mu_{0}\right)\right)$ is equipped with the strong topology. We say that $T$ is almost periodic if there exists a countable subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$such that $T(x) \in S$ for a.e. $x \in X_{0}$. In that case, we denote by $\operatorname{Sd}(T)$ the subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$generated by the smallest such $S \subset \mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$.

1. The type of $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is determined as follows: the action is of type $I I_{1}$ if and only if $T(x)=1$ for a.e. $x \in X_{0}$; the action is of type $I I I_{\lambda}$ with $0<\lambda<1$ if and only if the essential range of $T$ generates the subgroup $\lambda^{\mathbb{Z}}<\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$; and the action is of type $I I I_{1}$ if and only if the essential range of $T$ generates a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$.
2. If $\Lambda$ is nonamenable, the action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is strongly ergodic (in the sense of [Sc'79]). Then, the $\tau$-invariant of the orbit equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ of $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ (in the sense of [HMV17, Definition 2.6]) equals $\tau(T)$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}$ is almost periodic (in the sense of [HMV17, Section 5]) if and only if $T$ is almost periodic and in that case, $\operatorname{Sd}(\mathcal{R})=\operatorname{Sd}(T)$.
3. If $\Lambda$ has infinite conjugacy classes and is non inner amenable, then the crossed product factor $M=L^{\infty}(X, \mu) \rtimes G$ is full and its $\tau$-invariant (in the sense of [Co74]) equals $\tau(T)$. Also, $M$ is almost periodic (in the sense of [Co74]) if and only if $T$ is almost periodic and in that case, $\operatorname{Sd}(M)=\operatorname{Sd}(T)$.

For a Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ as in Proposition 7.1, the weak mixing of the Maharam extension and the stable type, i.e. the type of a diagonal action $G \curvearrowright(Y \times X, \eta \times \mu)$ given a pmp action $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$, are discussed in Proposition 7.3 below.
Before proving Proposition 7.1, we provide the following concrete examples.
Example 7.2. We use the same notations as in the formulation of Proposition 7.1.

1. Take $0<\lambda<1$ and put $X_{0}=\{0,1\}$ with $\mu_{0}(0)=(1+\lambda)^{-1}$ and $\mu_{1}(0)=\lambda(1+\lambda)^{-1}$. It follows that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$. So all free product groups $G=\Lambda * \mathbb{Z}$ with $\Lambda$ infinite admit nonsingular, essentially free, ergodic Bernoulli actions of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$. Note that by [DL16, Corollary 3.3], the group $\mathbb{Z}$ does not admit nonsingular Bernoulli actions of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$, at least under the assumption that all $\mu_{n}, n<0$, are identical.
2. Using the construction of [Co74, Section 5], we obtain the following examples of strongly ergodic, nonsingular Bernoulli actions whose orbit equivalence relation has an arbitrary countable dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$as Sd-invariant or has any topology coming from a unitary representation of $\mathbb{R}$ as $\tau$-invariant. This holds for any free product group $G=\Lambda * \mathbb{Z}$ with $\Lambda$ nonamenable, and in particular for any free group $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ with $3 \leq n \leq+\infty$. So this provides an answer to [HMV17, Problem 3].
Let $\eta$ be any nonzero finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$with $\int_{\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}} x d \eta(x)<\infty$. Define $X_{0}=$ $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+} \times\{0,1\}$ and define the probability measures $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$ on $X_{0}$ determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa & =\int_{\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}}(1+x) d \eta(x) \\
\int_{X_{0}} F d \mu_{0} & =\kappa^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}}(F(x, 0)+x F(x, 1)) d \eta(x) \\
\int_{X_{0}} F d \mu_{1} & =\kappa^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}}(x F(x, 0)+F(x, 1)) d \eta(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all positive Borel functions $F$ on $X_{0}$. Then, $\mu_{0} \sim \mu_{1}$ and the Radon-Nikodym derivative $T=d \mu_{1} / d \mu_{0}$ is given by $T(x, 0)=x$ and $T(x, 1)=1 / x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$.
So when $\Lambda$ is nonamenable, the nonsingular Bernoulli action associated with $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}$ in Proposition 7.1 is strongly ergodic and the $\tau$-invariant of the orbit equivalence relation is the weakest topology on $\mathbb{R}$ that makes the map

$$
\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\left(L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}, \eta\right)\right): t \mapsto\left(x \mapsto x^{i t}\right)
$$

continuous. Varying $\eta$, it follows that any topology on $\mathbb{R}$ induced by a unitary representation of $\mathbb{R}$ arises as the $\tau$-invariant of the orbit equivalence relation of a strongly ergodic, nonsingular Bernoulli actions of a free product $G=\Lambda * \mathbb{Z}$ with $\Lambda$ nonamenable.
In particular, taking an atomic measure $\eta$, we obtain strongly ergodic, nonsingular Bernoulli actions of $G=\Lambda * \mathbb{Z}$ with any prescribed Sd-invariant. More concretely, when $S<\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$is a given countable dense subgroup, we enumerate $S \cap(0,1)=\left\{t_{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$ and define the finite atomic measure $\eta$ on $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$given by

$$
\eta=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}\left(1+t_{n}\right)} \delta_{t_{n}}
$$

The orbit equivalence relation of $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is then almost periodic with Sd-invariant equal to $S$.

Proof of Proposition 7.1. Since $\Lambda W=W$, the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is a probability measure preserving Bernoulli action. Denote by $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ the generator $a=1$. The measure $a^{-1} \cdot \mu$ given by $\left(a^{-1} \cdot \mu\right)(\mathcal{U})=\mu(a \cdot \mathcal{U})$ equals the product measure

$$
a^{-1} \cdot \mu=\prod_{g \in G} \mu_{a g}
$$

Since $a^{-1} W \triangle W=\{e\}$, we get that $a^{-1} \cdot \mu \sim \mu$ and that

$$
\frac{d\left(a^{-1} \cdot \mu\right)}{d \mu}(x)=\frac{d \mu_{1}}{d \mu_{0}}\left(x_{e}\right)
$$

So, $a$ acts nonsingularly on $(X, \mu)$ and the Radon-Nikodym cocycle is given by $\omega(a, x)=T\left(x_{e}\right)$. It follows that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonsingular and essentially free.
To prove the ergodicity and to determine the type of $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$, consider the Maharam extension $G \curvearrowright(X \times \mathbb{R}, \mu \times \nu)$ given by (2.7). Let $Q \in L^{\infty}(X \times \mathbb{R})$ be a $G$-invariant function. Since $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is measure preserving and ergodic, it follows that $Q(x, s)=P(s)$, where $P \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ is invariant under translation by $t$ for every $t$ in the essential range of one of the $\operatorname{maps} x \mapsto \log (\omega(g, x)), g \in G$. The union of these essential ranges equals the subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$ generated by the essential range of $T$. So our statements about the ergodicity and the type of $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ follow.
To prove that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonamenable in the sense of Zimmer, denote by $\Lambda_{1}<G$ the subgroup generated by $\Lambda$ and $a \Lambda a^{-1}$. Note that $\Lambda_{1}$ is the free product of these two subgroups. Both $\Lambda$ and $a \Lambda a^{-1}$ act on $(X, \mu)$ as a probability measure preserving Bernoulli action, although they do not preserve the same probability measure. In particular, the actions of $\Lambda$ and $a \Lambda a^{-1}$ on $(X, \mu)$ are conservative. Since the action of their free product $\Lambda_{1}$ is essentially free, it follows from [HV12, Corollary F] that $\Lambda_{1} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonamenable in the sense of Zimmer. A fortiori, $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonamenable.
Now assume that $\Lambda$ is nonamenable. Since $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is a probability measure preserving Bernoulli action, the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is strongly ergodic. A fortiori, $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is strongly ergodic. The same argument as in [HMV17, Theorem 6.4] gives us that the $\tau$-invariant of the orbit equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}(G \curvearrowright(X, \mu))$ is the weakest topology on $\mathbb{R}$ that makes the map in (7.1) continuous.

Finally assume that $\Lambda$ has infinite conjugacy classes and that $\Lambda$ is non inner amenable. Denote by $\left(u_{g}\right)_{g \in G}$ the canonical unitary operators in $M=L^{\infty}(X) \rtimes G$ and denote by $\varphi$ the canonical faithful normal state on $M$ given by $\varphi(F)=\int_{X} F(x) d \mu(x)$ and $\varphi\left(F u_{g}\right)=0$ for all $F \in L^{\infty}(X)$
and $g \in G \backslash\{e\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the Hilbert space completion of $M$ w.r.t. the scalar product given by $\langle c, d\rangle=\varphi\left(d^{*} c\right)$ for all $c, d \in M$. View $M \subset \mathcal{H}$. Since the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is measure preserving, both left and right multiplication by $u_{g}, g \in \Lambda$, defines a unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$. To prove that the factor $M$ is full and that the same topology as above is the $\tau$-invariant of $M$, it suffices to prove that the unitary representation

$$
\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H} \ominus \mathbb{C} 1):(\theta(g))(d)=u_{g} d u_{g}^{*}
$$

does not weakly contain the trivial representation of $\Lambda$.
But $\theta$ is the direct sum of the subrepresentations $\theta_{i}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ where $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ is the closed linear span of $\left\{u_{g} F \mid g \in G, \int_{X} F d \mu=0\right\}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ is the closed linear span of $\left\{u_{g} \mid g \in G \backslash \Lambda\right\}$, and where $\mathcal{H}_{3}$ is the closed linear span of $\left\{u_{g} \mid g \in \Lambda \backslash\{e\}\right\}$. Because $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is a probability measure preserving Bernoulli action, the representation $\theta_{1}$ is a multiple of the regular representation of $\Lambda$. Since $G$ is the free product of $\Lambda$ and $\mathbb{Z}$, also $\theta_{2}$ is a multiple of the regular representation of $\Lambda$. Since $\Lambda$ is nonamenable, $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ do not weakly contain the trivial representation of $\Lambda$. Finally, $\theta_{3}$ does not weakly contain the trivial representation of $\Lambda$ because $\Lambda$ has infinite conjugacy classes and $\Lambda$ is not inner amenable.

Proposition 7.3. Let $G=\Lambda * \mathbb{Z}$ be any free product of an infinite group $\Lambda$ and the group of integers $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ be a Bernoulli action as in Proposition 7.1. Choose an ergodic pmp action $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$. Then, the diagonal action $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is ergodic and its type is determined as follows.
Using the same notations as in Proposition 7.1, denote $T=d \mu_{1} / d \mu_{0}$. Denote by $L<\mathbb{R}$ the subgroup generated by the essential range of the map $X_{0} \times X_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}:\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \log (T(x))-$ $\log \left(T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

1. If $L=\{0\}$, then $\mu$ is $G$-invariant and the actions $G \curvearrowright X$ and $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ are of type $I I_{1}$.
2. If $L<\mathbb{R}$ is dense, then the Maharam extension of $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is weakly mixing and the diagonal action $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is of type $I I I_{1}$.
3. If $L=a \mathbb{Z}$, take the unique $b \in[0, a)$ such that $\log (T(x)) \in b+a \mathbb{Z}$ for a.e. $x \in X_{0}$. Denote by $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the unique homomorphism given by $\pi(g)=0$ if $g \in \Lambda$ and $\pi(n)=n$ if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The set

$$
\begin{align*}
H=\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid & \text { there exists a Borel map } V: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z} \text { s.t. } \\
& V(g \cdot y)=V(y)+k \pi(g) b \text { for all } g \in G \text { and a.e. } y \in Y\} \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}$. Write $H=k_{0} \mathbb{Z}$ with $k_{0} \geq 0$. If $k_{0}=0$, the action $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is of type $I I I_{1}$. If $k_{0} \geq 1$, the action $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is of type III with $\lambda=\exp \left(-a / k_{0}\right)$.
4. If $L=a \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in[0, a)$ is defined as in 3 , then the following holds.

- If $b$ is of finite order $k_{1} \geq 1$ in $\mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z}$ (with the convention that $k_{1}=1$ if $b=0$ ), varying the action $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$, the possible types of $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ are $I I I_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda=\exp \left(-a / k_{0}\right)$ where $k_{0} \geq 1$ is an integer dividing $k_{1}$. Given such a $k_{0}$, this type is realized by taking the transitive action of $G$ on $Y=\mathbb{Z} /\left(k_{1} / k_{0}\right) \mathbb{Z}$ given by $g \cdot y=y+\pi(g)$, or any other pmp action $G \curvearrowright Y$ that is induced from a weakly mixing pmp action of the finite index normal subgroup $\pi^{-1}\left(\left(k_{1} / k_{0}\right) \mathbb{Z}\right)<G$.
- If $b$ is of infinite order in $\mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z}$, varying the action $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$, the possible types of $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ are $I I_{1}$ and $I I I_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda=\exp \left(-a / k_{0}\right)$ where $k_{0} \geq 1$ is any integer. Given $k_{0}$, the latter is realized by taking $Y=\mathbb{R} /\left(a / k_{0}\right) \mathbb{Z}$ and $g \cdot y=y+\pi(g) b$, while the former is realized by taking $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$ to be the trivial action, or any other weakly mixing action.

By varying the probability measures $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$ in the construction of Proposition 7.1, all values of $0 \leq b<a$ in Proposition 7.3 occur; see Example 7.4.

Proof. Fix $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ as in Proposition 7.1 and fix an arbitrary ergodic pmp action $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$. Since $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is a pmp Bernoulli action, a $\Lambda$-invariant element of $L^{\infty}(Y \times X)$ belongs to $L^{\infty}(Y) \otimes 1$. It follows that $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is ergodic.
Define $L<\mathbb{R}$ as in the formulation of the proposition. If $L=\{0\}$, we have that $T$ is constant a.e. Since $\int_{X_{0}} T(x) d \mu_{0}(x)=1$, this constant must be 1 . So, $T(x)=1$ for a.e. $x \in X_{0}$. This means that $\mu_{0}=\mu_{1}$, so that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is a pmp Bernoulli action. This proves point 1 .
To prove the remaining points of the proposition, let $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ be a $G$-invariant element for the diagonal action of $G \curvearrowright Y$ and the Maharam extension $G \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R}$ of $G \curvearrowright X$. A fortiori, $Q$ is $\Lambda$-invariant. Since $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is a pmp Bernoulli action, it follows that $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y) \bar{\otimes} 1 \bar{\otimes} L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.
As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, it follows that $Q(y, x, s)=P(y, s)$, where $P \in L^{\infty}(Y \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(g \cdot y, s+\log (\omega(g, x)))=P(y, s) \quad \text { for all } g \in G \text { and a.e. }(y, x, s) \in Y \times X \times \mathbb{R} . \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $L$ equals the subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by the essential ranges of the maps

$$
X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}:\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \log (\omega(g, x))-\log \left(\omega\left(g, x^{\prime}\right)\right), g \in G .
$$

It then follows from (7.3) that $P(y, s+t)=P(y, s)$ for all $t \in L$ and a.e. $(y, s) \in Y \times \mathbb{R}$.
If $L<\mathbb{R}$ is dense, we conclude that $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y) \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ and thus, by ergodicity of $G \curvearrowright Y$, that $Q$ is constant a.e., so that $G \curvearrowright Y \times X \times \mathbb{R}$ is ergodic. This means that $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$. Since $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$ was an arbitrary ergodic pmp action, it follows that the Maharam extension $G \curvearrowright X \times \mathbb{R}$ is weakly mixing. This proves point 2 .
Next assume that $L=a \mathbb{Z}$ with $a>0$ and take the unique $0 \leq b<a$ such that $\log (T(x)) \in b+a \mathbb{Z}$ for a.e. $x \in X_{0}$. Denote by $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the unique homomorphism given by $\pi(g)=0$ if $g \in \Lambda$ and $\pi(n)=n$ if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\omega(g, x)=1$ for all $g \in \Lambda$ and $\omega(1, x)=T\left(x_{e}\right)$, it follows that $\log (\omega(g, x)) \in \pi(g) b+a \mathbb{Z}$ for all $g \in G$ and a.e. $x \in X$. We conclude that an element $Q \in L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})$ is $G$-invariant if and only if $G(y, x, s)=P(y, s)$ where $P \in L^{\infty}(Y \times \mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z})$ is invariant under the action $G \curvearrowright Y \times \mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z}$ given by $g \cdot(y, s)=(g \cdot y, \pi(g) b+s)$.
If $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $V: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z}$ is a Borel map satisfying $V(g \cdot y)=V(y)+k \pi(g) b$ for all $g \in G$ and a.e. $y \in Y$, the map $P(y, s)=\exp (2 \pi i(V(y)-k s) / a)$ is $G$-invariant. Using a Fourier decomposition for $\mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z} \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, it follows that these functions $P$ densely span the space of all $G$-invariant functions in $L^{2}(Y \times \mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z})$. Define $H<\mathbb{Z}$ as in (7.2). If $H=\{0\}$, it follows that $L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})^{G}=\mathbb{C} 1$ and that $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$. When $H=k_{0} \mathbb{Z}$ with $k_{0} \geq 1$, we identified $L^{\infty}(Y \times X \times \mathbb{R})^{G}$ with $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} /\left(a / k_{0}\right) \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and it follows that $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda=\exp \left(-a / k_{0}\right)$. This concludes the proof of point 3 .
To prove point 4 , first assume that $b$ is of finite order $k_{1}$ in $\mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z}$. Using the map $V(y)=0$ for all $y \in Y$, it follows that $k_{1}$ belongs to the subgroup $H<\mathbb{Z}$ defined in (7.2). Therefore, $k_{0}$ must divide $k_{1}$. Conversely, assume that $k_{0} \geq 1$ divides $k_{1}$ and that $G \curvearrowright Y$ is induced from a weakly mixing pmp action of $G_{0}:=\pi^{-1}\left(\left(k_{1} / k_{0}\right) \mathbb{Z}\right)$ on $Y_{0}$. Denote by $H<\mathbb{Z}$ the subgroup defined in (7.2). We have to prove that $H=k_{0} \mathbb{Z}$. If $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $V: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z}$ is a Borel function satisfying $V(g \cdot y)=V(y)+k \pi(g) b$, it follows that $V$ is invariant under $\pi^{-1}\left(k_{1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Since $G_{0} \curvearrowright Y_{0}$ is weakly mixing, $G_{0}$ is normal in $G$ and $\pi^{-1}\left(k_{1} \mathbb{Z}\right)<G_{0}$ has finite index, it follows that $V$ is $G_{0}$-invariant. This forces $k$ to be a multiple of $k_{0}$. So, $H \subset k_{0} \mathbb{Z}$. By construction
of the induced action, there is a Borel map $W: Y \rightarrow G / G_{0}$ satisfying $W(g \cdot y)=g W(y)$. Identifying $G / G_{0}$ with $\mathbb{Z} /\left(\left(k_{1} / k_{0}\right) \mathbb{Z}\right)$ through $\pi$ and composing $W$ with the map

$$
\mathbb{Z} /\left(\left(k_{1} / k_{0}\right) \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z}: n \mapsto k_{0} n b
$$

we have found a Borel map $V: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $V(g \cdot y)=V(y)+k_{0} \pi(g) b$. So, $k_{0} \in H$ and the equality $H=k_{0} \mathbb{Z}$ follows. By point 3 , the action $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda=\exp \left(-a / k_{0}\right)$.

Finally assume that $b$ is of infinite order in $\mathbb{R} / a \mathbb{Z}$. When $G \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$ is weakly mixing, the subgroup of $H<\mathbb{Z}$ defined in (7.2) is trivial, so that $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$. When $Y=\mathbb{R} /\left(\left(a / k_{0}\right) \mathbb{Z}\right.$ with $g \cdot y=y+\pi(g) b$, one checks that $H=k_{0} \mathbb{Z}$, so that $G \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is of type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda=\exp \left(-a / k_{0}\right)$.

Remark 7.4. Given $0<b<a$, define the probability measures $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$ on $\{0,1\}$ given by

$$
\mu_{0}(0)=\frac{1-\exp (-b)}{1-\exp (-a)} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{1}(0)=\frac{1-\exp (b-a)}{1-\exp (-a)}
$$

Denote $T=d \mu_{1} / d \mu_{0}$. We get that $T(0)=\exp (b)$ and $T(1)=\exp (b-a)$. So, the map $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \log (T(x))-\log \left(T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ generates the subgroup $a \mathbb{Z}<\mathbb{R}$ and $\log (T(x)) \in b+a \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in\{0,1\}$.
Given $a>0$ and $b=0$, define the probability measures $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$ on $\{0,1,2\}$ given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{0}(0)=\frac{1}{2} & ,
\end{array} \mu_{0}(1)=\frac{1}{2(1+\exp (a))} \quad, \quad \mu_{0}(2)=\frac{\exp (a)}{2(1+\exp (a))},
$$

The range of $T=d \mu_{1} / d \mu_{0}$ equals $\{1, \exp (a), \exp (-a)\}$. Therefore, the range of the map $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \log (T(x))-\log \left(T\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ generates the subgroup $a \mathbb{Z}<\mathbb{R}$ and $\log (T(x)) \in a \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in\{0,1,2\}$.
So all values $0 \leq b<a$ really occur in Proposition 7.3.
This means that given any $0<\lambda<1$, Proposition 7.3 provides concrete examples of nonsingular, weakly mixing Bernoulli actions $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ of a free product group $G=\Lambda * \mathbb{Z}$ such that the type of $G \curvearrowright(Y \times X, \eta \times \mu)$ ranges over $\operatorname{III}_{\mu}$ with $\mu \in\{1\} \cup\left\{\lambda^{1 / k} \mid k \geq 1\right\}$.

Given any $0<\lambda<1$ and an integer $k_{1} \geq 1$, Proposition 7.3 also provides concrete examples of nonsingular, weakly mixing Bernoulli actions $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ such that the type of a diagonal action $G \curvearrowright(Y \times X, \eta \times \mu)$ ranges over $\operatorname{III}_{\mu}$ with $\mu \in\left\{\lambda^{1 / k}|k \geq 1, k| k_{1}\right\}$. In particular, we find nonsingular Bernoulli actions of stable type $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$.

In Corollary 6.3, we constructed explicit nonsingular Bernoulli actions $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ of type $I I I_{1}$ such that the $m$-th power diagonal action $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright\left(X^{m}, \mu^{m}\right)$ is dissipative. However, as we explain now, this phenomenon does not always occur for nonamenable groups.
Let $G$ be a nonamenable group, $G \curvearrowright I$ a free action and $F: I \rightarrow(0,1)$ a function satisfying (2.4). Consider the associated nonsingular Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ and the 1-cocycle $c: G \rightarrow \ell^{2}(I)$ given by (2.8). If the 1-cocycle is not proper, meaning that there exists a $\kappa>0$ such that $\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2} \leq \kappa$ for infinitely many $g \in G$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ and all its diagonal actions $G \curvearrowright\left(X^{m}, \mu^{m}\right)$ are conservative.

So, if the group $G$ has no proper 1-cocycles into $\ell^{2}(G)$, e.g. because $G$ does not have the Haagerup property, then all its nonsingular Bernoulli actions are conservative.

On the other hand, the free group $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ admits proper 1-cocycles into $\ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$. We use this to construct the following peculiar example of a nonsingular Bernoulli action of $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. In Proposition 7.7, we use a 1-cocycle with faster growth to give an example of a dissipative Bernoulli action of $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

Proposition 7.5. Let $G=\mathbb{F}_{2}$ be freely generated by the elements a and b. Define the subset $W_{a} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2}$ consisting of all reduced words in $a, b$ that end with a strictly positive power of $a$. Similarly define $W_{b} \subset \mathbb{F}_{2}$ and put $W=\mathbb{F}_{2} \backslash\left(W_{a} \cup W_{b}\right)$. The Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ with $(X, \mu)=\prod_{g \in G}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{g}\right)$ and

$$
\mu_{g}(0)= \begin{cases}3 / 5 & \text { if } g \in W_{a} \\ 2 / 5 & \text { if } g \in W_{b} \\ 1 / 2 & \text { if } g \in W\end{cases}
$$

is nonsingular, essentially free, ergodic, nonamenable in the sense of Zimmer and of type $I I I_{1}$.
For every $g \in G \backslash\{e\}$, the transformation $x \mapsto g \cdot x$ is dissipative. For $m \geq 220$, the $m$-th power diagonal action $G \curvearrowright\left(X^{m}, \mu^{m}\right)$ is dissipative.

The stable type of the Bernoulli actions $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ in Proposition 7.5 is discussed in Remark 7.6.

Proof. Denote $F: G \rightarrow(0,1): F(g)=\mu_{g}(0)$ and define $c_{g}(h)=F(h)-F\left(g^{-1} h\right)$. We find that

$$
c_{a}=\frac{1}{10} \delta_{a} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{b}=-\frac{1}{10} \delta_{b}
$$

Since $c$ is a 1-cocycle, it follows that $c_{g} \in \ell^{2}(G)$ for all $g \in G$. So, the action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonsingular. Using the 1-cocycle relation, we find that

$$
c_{a^{n}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{10} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{a^{k}} & \text { if } n \geq 1, \\
-\frac{1}{10} \sum_{k=n+1}^{0} \delta_{a^{k}} & \text { if } n \leq-1, \\
0 & \text { if } n=0,
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{b^{n}}= \begin{cases}-\frac{1}{10} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{b^{k}} & \text { if } n \geq 1 \\
\frac{1}{10} \sum_{k=n+1}^{0} \delta_{b^{k}} & \text { if } n \leq-1 \\
0 & \text { if } n=0\end{cases}\right.
$$

When $g=a^{n_{0}} b^{m_{1}} a^{n_{1}} \cdots a^{n_{k-1}} b^{m_{k}} a^{n_{k}}$ is a reduced word, with $k \geq 0, n_{0}, n_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n_{i}, m_{j} \in$ $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, the 1-cocycle relation implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{g}=c_{a^{n_{0}}}+a^{n_{0}} \cdot c_{b^{m_{1}}}+a^{n_{0}} b^{m_{1}} \cdot c_{a^{n_{1}}}+\cdots+a^{n_{0}} b^{m_{1}} a^{n_{1}} \cdots a^{n_{k-1}} b^{m_{k}} \cdot c_{a^{n_{k}}} . \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the terms at the right hand side of (7.4) are orthogonal, except two consecutive terms whose scalar product equals $1 / 100$ when $n_{i} \geq 1$ and $m_{i+1} \leq-1$, and also when $m_{i} \geq 1$ and $n_{i} \leq-1$. Denote by $|g|$ the word length of $g \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{100}|g|+\frac{1}{50} \text { number of sign changes in the sequence } n_{0}, m_{1}, n_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}, n_{k} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\omega: G \times X \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ the Radon-Nikodym cocycle. Define $\mathcal{F}=\left\{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}\right\}$. Denote by $\lambda: G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\left(\ell^{2}(G)\right)$ the left regular representation.
Combining Lemma 5.4 with (7.5) and Kesten's [Ke58], we find that

$$
\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \int_{X} \sqrt{\omega(g, x)} d \mu(x) \geq 4 \exp \left(-\frac{3}{500}\right)>2 \sqrt{3}=\left\|\sum_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \lambda_{g}\right\|
$$

So by Proposition 5.3, the action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is nonamenable in the sense of Zimmer.
When $g_{0} \in G \backslash\{e\}$, there exist integers $\alpha, \beta$ with $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$ such that $\left|g_{0}^{n}\right|=\alpha|n|+\beta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. It then follows from (7.5) that

$$
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|c_{g_{0}^{n}}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{200}\left|g_{0}^{n}\right|\right) \leq 1+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha}{200} n\right)<+\infty .
$$

So by Proposition 4.1, the transformation $x \mapsto g_{0} \cdot x$ is dissipative.
Let $m \geq 220$. The $m$-th power diagonal action $G \curvearrowright\left(X^{m}, \mu^{m}\right)$ is a Bernoulli action whose corresponding 1-cocycle $\left(c_{m, g}\right)_{g \in G}$ satisfies $\left\|c_{m, g}\right\|_{2}^{2}=m\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}$. Define $B_{n}=\{g \in G| | g \mid=n\}$. For every $n \geq 1$, we have $\left|B_{n}\right|=4 \cdot 3^{n-1}$. Therefore, using (7.5), we get that

$$
\sum_{g \in G} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|c_{m, g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) \leq \sum_{g \in G} \exp \left(-\frac{m}{200}|g|\right)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{m}{200} n\right) \cdot 4 \cdot 3^{n-1}<+\infty
$$

because $m>200 \cdot \log 3$. It follows from Proposition 4.1 that the $m$-th power diagonal action $G \curvearrowright\left(X^{m}, \mu^{m}\right)$ is dissipative.
It remains to prove that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is ergodic and of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$. Denote by $G \curvearrowright(X \times \mathbb{R}, \mu \times \nu)$ the Maharam extension given by (2.7). Let $Q \in L^{\infty}(X \times \mathbb{R})$ be a $G$-invariant function. The main point is to prove that $Q \in 1 \otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.
Denote by $S_{a} \subset G$ the set of reduced words that start with a strictly positive power of $a$. Similarly define $S_{a^{-1}}, S_{b}$ and $S_{b^{-1}}$. Note that

$$
\mathbb{F}_{2}=\{e\} \sqcup S_{a} \sqcup S_{a^{-1}} \sqcup S_{b} \sqcup S_{b^{-1}} .
$$

Whenever $U \subset G$, we denote $\left(X_{U}, \mu_{U}\right)=\prod_{g \in U}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{g}\right)$ and we identify $(X, \mu)=\left(X_{U} \times\right.$ $\left.X_{U^{c}}, \mu_{U} \times \mu_{U^{c}}\right)$. Define $\Lambda=\left\langle b, a^{-1} b a\right\rangle$ and note that $\Lambda$ is freely generated by $b$ and $a^{-1} b a$. The concatenation $w v$ of a reduced word $w \in \Lambda$ and a reduced word $v \in S_{a}$ remains reduced. In particular, for all $w \in \Lambda$ and $v \in S_{a}$, the last letter of $w v$ equals the last letter of $v$. Therefore, the restriction of $F$ to $U:=\Lambda S_{a}$ is $\Lambda$-invariant. It follows that $\Lambda \curvearrowright\left(X_{U}, \mu_{U}\right)$ is a probability measure preserving Bernoulli action.
We claim that the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative. Whenever $k \geq 1$ and $n_{i}, m_{j} \geq 1$, the element

$$
g=\left(a^{-1} b a\right)^{n_{1}} b^{m_{1}} \cdots\left(a^{-1} b a\right)^{n_{k}} b^{m_{k}}=a^{-1} b^{n_{1}} a b^{m_{1}} a^{-1} b^{n_{2}} a b^{m_{2}} \cdots a^{-1} b^{n_{k}} a b^{m_{k}}
$$

belongs to $\Lambda$ and by (7.5), we have

$$
\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{100}\left(2 k+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(n_{i}+m_{i}\right)\right)+\frac{2 k-1}{50}<\frac{1}{100} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(n_{i}+m_{i}\right)+\frac{3 k}{50} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{g \in \Lambda} \exp \left(-16\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right) & \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{24}{25} k\right) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \exp \left(-\frac{4}{25}\left(n_{i}+m_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{24}{25} k\right)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{4}{25} n\right)\right)^{2 k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\exp \left(-\frac{32}{25}\right)}{\left(1-\exp \left(-\frac{4}{25}\right)\right)^{2}}\right)^{k} \\
& =+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

From Proposition 4.1, the claim that $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative follows.
Since $\Lambda \curvearrowright\left(X_{U^{c}}, \mu_{U^{c}}\right)$ is a factor action of $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$, it is also conservative, as well as its Maharam extension $\Lambda \curvearrowright\left(X_{U^{c}} \times \mathbb{R}, \mu_{U^{c}} \times \nu\right)$. Since the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright\left(X_{U}, \mu_{U}\right)$ preserves the probability measure $\mu_{U}$, we can view $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X \times \mathbb{R}, \mu \times \nu)$ as the diagonal product of the mixing, probability measure preserving $\Lambda \curvearrowright\left(X_{U}, \mu_{U}\right)$ and the conservative $\Lambda \curvearrowright\left(X_{U^{c}} \times \mathbb{R}, \mu_{U^{c}} \times \nu\right)$. By [SW81, Theorem 2.3], it follows that $Q \in L^{\infty}\left(X_{U^{c}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$. In particular, $Q \in L^{\infty}\left(X_{S_{a}^{c}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$. We make the same reasoning for $S_{a^{-1}}$ and the group $\left\langle b, a b a^{-1}\right\rangle$, for $S_{b}$ and the group $\left\langle a, b^{-1} a b\right\rangle$ and for $S_{b^{-1}}$ and the group $\left\langle a, b a b^{-1}\right\rangle$. Since $S_{a} \cup S_{a^{-1}} \cup S_{b} \cup S_{b^{-1}}=G \backslash\{e\}$, it follows that $Q \in L^{\infty}\left(X_{\{e\}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$.
We finally use the group $\Lambda=\left\langle a b a^{-1}, a^{2} b a^{-2}\right\rangle$. We have $\Lambda \subset W$, so that $\Lambda \curvearrowright\left(X_{\Lambda}, \mu_{\Lambda}\right)$ is a probability measure preserving Bernoulli action. For all $k \geq 1$ and $n_{i}, m_{j} \geq 1$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & =\left(a b a^{-1}\right)^{n_{1}}\left(a^{2} b a^{-2}\right)^{m_{1}} \cdots\left(a b a^{-1}\right)^{n_{k}}\left(a^{2} b a^{-2}\right)^{m_{k}} \\
& =a b^{n_{1}} a b^{m_{1}} a^{-1} b^{n_{2}} a b^{m_{2}} a^{-1} \cdots a^{-1} b^{n_{k}} a b^{m_{k}} a^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus, using (7.5),

$$
\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{100}\left(2 k+2+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(n_{i}+m_{i}\right)\right)+\frac{2 k-1}{50}
$$

The same computation as above shows that $\Lambda \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is conservative. As above, it follows that $Q \in L^{\infty}\left(X_{\Lambda^{c}} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$. Altogether, we have proved that $Q \in 1 \otimes L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.
So we get that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is ergodic. To prove that the action is of type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$, it suffices to show that the essential range of the map $x \mapsto \omega(a, x)$ generates a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$. But using (2.6), we get that

$$
\omega(a, x)=\prod_{g \in G} \frac{\mu_{a g}\left(x_{g}\right)}{\mu_{g}\left(x_{g}\right)}=\frac{\mu_{a}\left(x_{e}\right)}{\mu_{e}\left(x_{e}\right)}= \begin{cases}6 / 5 & \text { if } x_{e}=0 \\ 4 / 5 & \text { if } x_{e}=1\end{cases}
$$

Since $6 / 5$ and $4 / 5$ generate a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$, the proposition is proved.

Remark 7.6. The stable type of the nonsingular Bernoulli action $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ constructed in Proposition 7.5 is given as follows. The essential ranges of the maps $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \omega(g, x) / \omega\left(g, x^{\prime}\right)$, $g \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$, generate the subgroup $(2 / 3)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$and $\omega(g, x) \in(4 / 5) \cdot(2 / 3)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for all $g \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ and a.e. $x \in X$. Combining the proofs of Proposition 7.1 and 7.5 , it follows that for every ergodic pmp action $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$, the diagonal action $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright Y \times X$ is ergodic and that, varying $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright(Y, \eta)$, the type of this diagonal action ranges over $\operatorname{III}_{\mu}$ with $\mu \in\{1\} \cup\left\{(2 / 3)^{1 / k} \mid k \geq 1\right\}$.
Taking a slight variant of the action in Proposition 7.5 , by putting

$$
\mu_{g}(0)= \begin{cases}3 / 5 & \text { if } g \in W_{a} \\ 5 / 12 & \text { if } g \in W_{b} \\ 1 / 2 & \text { if } g \in W\end{cases}
$$

all the conclusions of Proposition 7.5 remain valid - except that we have to take $m \geq 317$ to get a dissipative diagonal action $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright X^{m}$ - and moreover, the Maharam extension of $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is weakly mixing, so that all diagonal actions $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright Y \times X$ have type $\mathrm{III}_{1}$. This follows because now, the essential ranges of the maps $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \omega(g, x) / \omega\left(g, x^{\prime}\right), g \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$, generate a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_{*}^{+}$, namely the subgroup generated by $2 / 3$ and $5 / 7$.

The Bernoulli action $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ constructed in Proposition 7.5 has the property that the diagonal action $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright\left(X^{m}, \mu^{m}\right)$ is dissipative for $m$ large enough. This diagonal action is a Bernoulli action associated with $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright I$, where $I$ consists of $m$ disjoint copies of $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. This operation multiplies $\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2}$ with a factor $m$, up to the point of satisfying the dissipative criterion in Proposition 4.1. It is however remarkably more delicate to produce a plain Bernoulli action $\mathbb{F}_{2} \curvearrowright \prod_{g \in \mathbb{F}_{2}}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{g}\right)$ that is dissipative. We do this in the next result, based on Lemma 7.8 below, which provides a 1-cocycle for $\mathbb{Z}$ with large growth, but bounded "implementing function".

Proposition 7.7. Let $G=\mathbb{F}_{2}$. There exists a function $F: G \rightarrow[1 / 4,3 / 4]$ such that the Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)=\prod_{g \in G}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{g}\right)$ with $\mu_{g}(0)=F(g)$ is nonsingular, essentially free and dissipative.

Proof. Denote by $E_{a} \subset G$ the set of reduced words that end with a nonzero power of $a$. Similarly define $E_{b}$ and note that $G=\{e\} \sqcup E_{a} \sqcup E_{b}$. An element $g \in E_{a}$ is either a nonzero power of $a$ or can be uniquely written as $g=h a^{n}$ with $h \in E_{b}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. We can therefore define

$$
\pi_{a}: E_{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}: \pi_{a}\left(a^{n}\right)=n \text { when } n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}, \text { and } \pi_{a}\left(h a^{n}\right)=n \text { when } h \in E_{b} \text { and } n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}
$$

We similarly define $\pi_{b}: E_{b} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.
Fix $D>0$ such that $D>32 \log 3$. Using Lemma 7.8, fix a function $H: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $H(n)=0$ for all $n \leq 0$ and such that the formula $\gamma_{k}(n)=H(n)-H(n-k)$ defines a 1-cocycle $\gamma: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\left\|\gamma_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq D|k|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We define

$$
F: G \rightarrow[1 / 4,3 / 4]: F(g)= \begin{cases}1 / 2+H\left(\pi_{a}(g)\right) / 4 & \text { if } g \in E_{a} \\ 1 / 2-H\left(\pi_{b}(g)\right) / 4 & \text { if } g \in E_{b} \\ 1 / 2 & \text { if } g=e\end{cases}
$$

Define $c_{g}(h)=F(h)-F\left(g^{-1} h\right)$. Define the isometries

$$
\theta_{a}: \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G): \theta_{a}\left(\delta_{n}\right)=\delta_{a^{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{b}: \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^{2}(G): \theta_{b}(n)=\delta_{b^{n}}
$$

We then have $c_{a}=\theta_{a}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) / 4$ and $c_{b}=-\theta_{b}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) / 4$. So, $c_{g} \in \ell^{2}(G)$ for every $g \in G$. It follows that the Bernoulli action $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)=\prod_{g \in G}\left(\{0,1\}, \mu_{g}\right)$ with $\mu_{g}(0)=F(g)$ is nonsingular and essentially free.
We prove that $\sum_{g \in G} \exp \left(-\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2} / 2\right)<\infty$. It then follows from Proposition 4.1 that $G \curvearrowright(X, \mu)$ is dissipative.
When

$$
g=a^{n_{0}} b^{m_{1}} a^{n_{1}} \cdots b^{m_{k}} a^{n_{k}}
$$

is a reduced word, with $k \geq 0, n_{0}, n_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n_{i}, m_{j} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, the 1-cocycle relation implies that

$$
4 c_{g}=\theta_{a}\left(\gamma_{n_{0}}\right)-a^{n_{0}} \cdot \theta_{b}\left(\gamma_{m_{1}}\right)+a^{n_{0}} b^{m_{1}} \cdot \theta_{a}\left(\gamma_{n_{1}}\right)-\cdots+a^{n_{0}} b^{m_{1}} a^{n_{1}} \cdots b^{m_{k}} \cdot \theta_{a}\left(\gamma_{n_{k}}\right)
$$

All terms in the sum on the right hand side are orthogonal, except possibly consecutive terms, whose scalar products are equal to

$$
-\left\langle\theta_{a}\left(\gamma_{n_{i}}\right), a^{n_{i}} \cdot \theta_{b}\left(\gamma_{m_{i+1}}\right)\right\rangle=-\gamma_{n_{i}}\left(n_{i}\right) \overline{\gamma_{m_{i+1}}(0)}=H\left(n_{i}\right) H\left(-m_{i+1}\right) \geq 0,
$$

or equal to

$$
-\left\langle\theta_{b}\left(\gamma_{m_{i}}\right), b^{m_{i}} \cdot \theta_{a}\left(\gamma_{n_{i}}\right)\right\rangle=-\gamma_{m_{i}}\left(m_{i}\right) \overline{\gamma_{n_{i}}(0)}=H\left(m_{i}\right) H\left(-n_{i}\right) \geq 0
$$

We conclude that

$$
16\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k}\left\|\gamma_{n_{i}}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\|\gamma_{m_{j}}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq D \sum_{i=0}^{k}\left|n_{i}\right|+D \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|m_{j}\right|=D|g|,
$$

where $|g|$ denotes the word length of $g \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$. So we have proved that $\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq(D / 16)|g|$ for all $g \in G$.
Since for $n \geq 1$, there are precisely $4 \cdot 3^{n-1}$ elements in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ with word length equal to $n$, it follows that

$$
\sum_{g \in G} \exp \left(-\left\|c_{g}\right\|_{2}^{2} / 2\right) \leq \sum_{g \in G} \exp (-D|g| / 32)=1+4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp (-D n / 32) 3^{n-1}<+\infty
$$

because $D / 32>\log 3$. So the proposition is proved.
The function $H=1_{[1,+\infty)}$ implements a 1-cocycle $c: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}=|k|$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Multiplying $H$ by a constant $D>0$, we obviously obtain a 1 -cocycle $c$ with growth $\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2}=D^{2}|k|$. It is however more delicate to attain this growth while keeping $\|H\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. In particular, the easy construction of Lemma 6.9 does not give such large growth. We need a more intricate construction with an oscillating function $H$, giving examples where $\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq D|k|^{3 / 2}$, while $H: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow[0,1]$.

Lemma 7.8. Let $D>0$. There exists a function $H: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $H(n)=0$ for all $n \leq 0$ and such that the formula $c_{k}(n)=H(n)-H(n-k)$ defines a 1 -cocycle $c: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq D|k|^{3 / 2}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. For every integer $n \geq 1$, define the function

$$
H_{n}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow[0,1]: H_{n}(k)= \begin{cases}k / n & \text { if } 0 \leq k \leq n \\ (2 n-k) / n & \text { if } n \leq k \leq 2 n \\ 0 & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be an increasing sequence of integers with $a_{n} \geq 1$ for all $n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{-1}<+\infty$. A concrete sequence $a_{n}$ will be chosen below. Put $b_{0}=0$ and $b_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2 a_{k}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Define the function

$$
H: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow[0,1]: H(k)= \begin{cases}H_{a_{n}}\left(k-b_{n}\right) & \text { if } n \geq 0 \text { and } b_{n} \leq k \leq b_{n}+2 a_{n} \\ 0 & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

Note that we can view $H$ as a "concatenation" of translates of $H_{a_{n}}$, in such a way that their supports become disjoint. By construction, $H(k)=0$ for all $k \leq 0$.
Define $c_{k}(n)=H(n)-H(n-k)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|c_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}|H(m)-H(m-1)|^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=b_{n}+1}^{b_{n}+2 a_{n}}|H(m)-H(m-1)|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=b_{n}+1}^{b_{n}+2 a_{n}} \frac{1}{a_{n}^{2}}=2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{n}}<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $c_{1} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since $c$ satisfies the 1 -cocycle relation, we have that $c_{k} \in \ell^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For every $k \geq 1$, define $\mathcal{F}_{k}=\left\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \geq 0\right.$ and $\left.a_{n} \geq k\right\}$. For $k \geq 1$, we then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} & \geq \sum_{n \in \mathcal{F}_{k}} \sum_{m=b_{n}+k}^{b_{n}+a_{n}}\left|c_{k}(m)\right|^{2}=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{F}_{k}} \sum_{m=b_{n}+k}^{b_{n}+a_{n}} \frac{k^{2}}{a_{n}^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathcal{F}_{k}} \frac{k^{2}\left(a_{n}-k+1\right)}{a_{n}^{2}} \geq \frac{k^{2}}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{F}_{2 k}} \frac{1}{a_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows because $\mathcal{F}_{2 k} \subset \mathcal{F}_{k}$ and $a_{n}-k+1 \geq a_{n} / 2$ when $n \in \mathcal{F}_{2 k}$.
Let $D>0$. Take $0<\delta \leq 1$ such that $12 \sqrt{\delta} \leq D^{-1}$. Put $a_{0}=1$ and $a_{n}=\left\lceil\delta n^{2}\right\rceil$ for all $n \geq 1$. We prove that $\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq D|k|^{3 / 2}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\left\|c_{-k}\right\|_{2}=\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}$, it suffices to prove this inequality for every $k \geq 1$.
Fix $k \geq 1$ and put $n_{0}=\lceil\sqrt{2 k / \delta}\rceil$. Note that $n_{0} \geq 1$ and $\sqrt{\delta} n_{0} \geq \sqrt{2 k} \geq 1$. When $n \geq n_{0}$, we have $a_{n} \geq 2 k$ and thus, $n \in \mathcal{F}_{2 k}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} & \geq \frac{k^{2}}{2} \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_{n}} \geq \frac{k^{2}}{2} \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+\delta n^{2}} \\
& \geq \frac{k^{2}}{2} \int_{n_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+\delta x^{2}} d x=\frac{k^{2}}{2 \sqrt{\delta}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\arctan \left(\sqrt{\delta} n_{0}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sqrt{\delta} n_{0} \geq 1$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}-\arctan (x) \geq 1 /(2 x)$ for all $x \geq 1$, we get that

$$
\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{2}^{2} \geq \frac{k^{2}}{4 \delta n_{0}} \geq \frac{k^{2}}{4 \delta(\sqrt{2 k / \delta}+1)}=\frac{k^{3 / 2}}{4 \sqrt{\delta}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{\delta / k}} \geq \frac{k^{3 / 2}}{12 \sqrt{\delta}} \geq D k^{3 / 2}
$$

because $\sqrt{\delta / k} \leq 1$ and $12 \sqrt{\delta} \leq D^{-1}$.
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