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#### Abstract

.

Let $G$ be a simple algebraic group defined over a field $k$ and let $K / k$ a field extension. FurtherГlet $C_{1} \Gamma . . . C_{n}$ be non-central conjugacy classes of $G(K)$. If the transcendence degree $t r$.deg $K / k$ is big enough we show that almost always (except in the cases described) the elements $g_{1} \in C_{1} \Gamma \ldots \Gamma g_{n} \in C_{n}$ in general position generate a subgroup of $G(K)$ which is isomorphic to the free-product $\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle *\left\langle g_{2}\right\rangle * \ldots *\left\langle g_{n}\right\rangle$ (modulo the centre $Z(G(K))$. We deduce this result from another which deals with identities with constants in the group $G(K)$. At the end we discuss the situation when $K=\bar{Q}$ is the algebraic closure of the field $Q$ of rational numbers.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a simple algebraic group defined over a field $k$. Then $G$ is unirational over $k([2 \Gamma 18.2])$. Thus there exists a dominant rational map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: A_{k}^{m} \longrightarrow G_{k} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The smallest possible $m$ in (1.1) we denote by $d(G)$. Further $\Gamma$ let $K / k$ be an extension of fields. If $\operatorname{tr}$.deg $K / k$ is big enough with respect to $n$ (actuallyГif $\operatorname{tr}$.deg $K / k \geq n d(G)$ ) then according to a theorem of A.Borel $\Gamma[1] \Gamma$ any $n$ elements in "general position" generate a free subgroup of $G(K)$ (here "general position" means that elements do not belong to some fixed countable set of proper closed subsets of $G^{n}(K) \Gamma$ see [1]).

Here we consider subgroups of $G(K)$ generated by elements from fixed conjugacy classes in general position. NamelyГlet $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$ be non-central conjugacy classes of $G(K)$ and let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ be their elements in "general position". The natural expectation is that the group generated by these elements is isomorphic to the free product $\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle * \ldots *\left\langle g_{n}\right\rangle$ (modulo the center $Z(G(K))$ ). But this is not true in general. However「if we exclude some special conjugacy classes we obtain "freedom" in general position.

We need the following

Definition 1. Let $T$ be a maximal torus of $G(\bar{K})$ (here $\bar{K}$ is the algebraic closure of $K)$. Further, let $s \in T$ be a non-central element. We say that the element $s$ is small if $\alpha(s)=1$ for every long root $\alpha: T \longrightarrow \bar{K}^{*}$.

Definition 2. Let $G$ be a group corresponding to a root system containing roots with different length.Further, let $u \in G(\bar{K})$ be a nontrivial unipotent element and let $C_{u}$ be its conjugacy class. We say that $u$ is a small element if the Zariski closure of its conjugacy class $\overline{C_{u}}$ does not contain both short and long root elements. We say that a small unipotent element belongs to the first class if the Zariski closure of its conjugacy class contains a long root element. Otherwise we say that such element belongs to the second class.

Definition 3. Let $g \in G(K)$. We say that $g$ is small if $g$ is a small semisimple element or a small unipotent element in $G(\bar{K})$ (here we consider the group $G(K)$ as a subgroup of $G(\bar{K})$ ).

Now we formulate the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a group of adjoint type. Further, let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$ be nontrivial conjugacy classes of $G(K)$ which are also defined over the field $k$. Assume that tr.deg $K / k \geq n d(G)$ and one of the following conditions holds:

1. All roots of the root system corresponding to $G$ have the same length.
2. There cannot be both small semisimple and small unipotent elements among the powers of elements from the conjugacy classes $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$; and chark $\neq 2$ for the cases of root systems $B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}$ and chark $\neq 3$ for the case of the root system $G_{2}$.
3. There cannot be small unipotent elements of both first and second class among the powers of elements from the conjugacy classes $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$; and chark $=2$ for the cases of root systems $B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}$ and chark $=3$ for the case of the root system $G_{2}$.

Then there exists a Zariski dense subset $M \subset C_{1} \times \ldots \times C_{n}$ such that for every sequence $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in M$ the group $\Gamma=\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle$ generated by $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ is isomorphic to the free-product $\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle * \ldots *\left\langle g_{n}\right\rangle$.

Remark 1. If $G$ is not a group of adjoint type then we can change in the conditions 2.and 3. small unipotent elements for elements of the form zu where $u$ is a small unipotent element and $z \in Z(G(\bar{K}))$. We will call such elements small almost unipotent elements. Theorem 1 gives us an isomorphism $\bar{\Gamma} \approx\left\langle\overline{g_{1}}\right\rangle * \ldots *\left\langle\overline{g_{n}}\right\rangle$ where $\bar{\Gamma}$ and $\overline{g_{1}}, \ldots, \overline{g_{n}}$ are the images of $\Gamma$ and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ in the group $G(K) / Z(G(K)$.

Theorem 1 will follow from another which deals with identities with constants in simple groups.

Definition 4. Let $D \subset G L(V)$ be a linear group and let $d_{0}, \ldots, d_{m}$ be fixed elements of $G L(V)$. Further, let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be letters. The expression:

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=d_{0} x_{i_{1}}^{l_{1}} d_{1} \ldots x_{i_{m}}^{l_{m}} d_{m}
$$

where $l_{i}$ are integers is called a generalized monomial (see, [5], [12]) if the condition $i_{k}=i_{k+1}$ and $l_{k} l_{k+1}<0$ implies $d_{k} \notin C_{G L(V)}(D)$. We say that we have a generalized
identity in $D$ if $f\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=1$ for every $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in D$ (here 1 is the identity of $G L(V))$. If $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m} \in D$ we say that the identity with constants $d_{0}, \ldots, d_{m}$ holds in the group $D$.

Generalized identities were considered by I.Z.Golubchik and A.V.Mikhalev $\Gamma[5] \Gamma$ and by G.M.Tomanov $\Gamma[12]$. It was shown in [12] that there are no such identities if $S L(V) \subset D$. If $D \subset G L_{m}(K)$ is an algebraic group then there is no generalized identity only if $S L_{m}(K) \subset D \Gamma[12]$. In [5] $\Gamma[12]$ there are examples of generalized identities for the cases $D=S O_{n}(K), S p_{n}(K)$.

Here we obtain the following result using the approach of G.M.Tomanov I[12] which is based on the method of attracting and repulsing points which is due to J.Tits $[11]$.

Theorem 2. Let $f=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a generalized monomial with coefficients from the group $G(K)$. Assume that $K$ is an infinite field and one of the following conditions holds:

1. All roots of the root system corresponding to $G$ have the same length.
2. There cannot be both small semisimple and small almost unipotent elements among the coefficients of $f$; and chark $\neq 2$ for the cases of root systems $B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}$ and chark $\neq 3$ for the case of the root system $G_{2}$.
3. There cannot be small almost unipotent elements of both first and second class among the coefficients of $f$; and chark $=2$ for the cases of root systems $B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{l}$ and chark $=3$ for the case of the root system $G_{2}$.

Then there is no identity $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \equiv 1$ in $G(K)$.

We show here that in all cases of root systems with different length of roots there exist identities with constants.

Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a group of type $B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}, G_{2}$. Let $s$ be a small semisimple element and $u=x_{\alpha}(v), u^{\prime}=x_{\alpha}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ be two long root elements of $G(K)$. Then the following identities hold in $G(K)$ :
a. $\left[\left[x_{1} u x_{1}^{-1}, x_{2} s x_{2}^{-1} x_{1} u x_{1}^{-1} x_{2} s^{-1} x_{2}^{-1}\right],\left[x_{1} u^{\prime} x_{1}^{-1}, x_{2} s x_{2}^{-1} x_{1} u^{\prime} x_{1}^{-1} x_{2} s^{-1} x_{2}^{-1}\right]\right] \equiv 1$;
b. $\left[x_{1} u x_{1}^{-1}, x_{2} s x_{2}^{-1} x_{1} u x_{1}^{-1} x_{2} s^{-1} x_{2}^{-1}\right] \equiv 1$ if $G$ is of the type $B_{l}$ or $C_{l}$;
c. $\left[\left[x_{1} u x_{1}^{-1}, x_{2} u_{0} x_{2}^{-1} x_{1} u x_{1}^{-1} x_{2} u_{0}^{-1} x_{2}^{-1}\right],\left[x_{1} u^{\prime} x_{1}^{-1}, x_{2} u_{0} x_{2}^{-1} x_{1} u^{\prime} x_{1}^{-1} x_{2} u_{0}^{-1} x_{2}^{-1}\right]\right] \equiv 1$ where $u_{0}$ is a short root element of $G(K)$ and when $G$ is of the type $B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}$ and chark $=2$ or $G$ is of the type $G_{2}$ and chark $=3$;
d. $\left[x_{1} u x_{1}^{-1}, x_{2} u_{0} x_{2}^{-1} x_{1} u x_{1}^{-1} x_{2} u_{0}^{-1} x_{2}^{-1}\right] \equiv 1$ when $G$ is of the type $B_{l}$ or $C_{l}$ and chark $=2$.

Remark 2. The identity $a$. is the most general here and it holds for all cases where the corresponding general monomial exists, but in cases of bad characteristic we cannot find small semisimple elements. In such cases we may use c.

Remark 3. The identities of Theorem 3 show nontrivial relations which take place in groups generated by elements taken from some special conjugacy classes even in general position.

Remark 4. In [12] there are example of identities in the group $G$ for the cases $B_{l}, C_{l}$ which can be written in our notations as $(\star)\left(\left[\left[u, x s x^{-1}\right],\left[u^{\prime}, x s x^{-1}\right]\right] \equiv 1\right.$. These identities follow from $b$. Indeed, put $x_{1} \equiv 1, x_{2} \equiv x$ then we obtain from $b$. $\left[u, x s x^{-1} u x s^{-1} x^{-1}\right] \equiv 1$ for every long root element $u$. This implies $\left[X_{\alpha}, s X_{\alpha} s^{-1}\right]=1$ for every long root subgroup $X_{\alpha}$ and and every small semisimple element s. Now we have ( $\star$ ).

The method which we use here to obtain Theorem 1 from Theorem 2 is due to A.Borel $\Gamma[1]$. It is based on the procedure of removing "the subsets of relations" from $G^{n}(K)$. It works only if the transendence degree $\operatorname{tr}$.deg $K / k$ is big enough. At the end of the paper we consider a quite different situation when $k=K=\bar{Q}$ is the algebraic closure of the field of rational numbers. Presumably t the results here should be the same as above. Here we present an observation on the group $P S L_{2}(\bar{Q})$ which can show the different level of the complexity of such questions when the transcendence degree tr.deg $K / k$ is small.

Theorem 4. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}$ be fixed nontrivial conjugacy classes of elements of finite order in $P S L_{2}(\bar{Q})$. Then there exists a Zariski dense subset $M \subset C_{1} \times C_{2}$ such that $\Gamma=\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}\right\rangle \approx\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle *\left\langle g_{2}\right\rangle$ for every pair $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in M$.

Corollary 1. Let $G$ be a semisimple algebraic group of adjoint type defined over $\bar{Q}$ and let $C_{1}, C_{2}$ be fixed nontrivial conjugacy classes of elements of primary orders in $G(\bar{Q})$. Then there exists a subset $M \subset C_{1} \times C_{2}$ such that $\Gamma=\left\langle g_{1}, g_{2}\right\rangle \approx\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle *\left\langle g_{2}\right\rangle$ for every pair $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in M$.

Theorem 5. Let $C$ be a fixed nontrivial conjugacy class of elements of finite order in $P S L_{2}(\bar{Q})$. Then for every natural number $n$ there exists a Zariski dense subset $M \subset$ $C^{n}$ such that $\Gamma=\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle \approx\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle * \ldots *\left\langle g_{n}\right\rangle$ for every sequence $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in M$.

Corollary 2. Let $G$ be a semisimple algebraic group of adjoint type defined over $\bar{Q}$ and let $C$ be a fixed nontrivial conjugacy class of elements of primary orders in $G(\bar{Q})$. Then for every natural number $n$ there exists a subset $M \subset C^{n}$ such that $\Gamma=\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle \approx\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle * \ldots *\left\langle g_{n}\right\rangle$ for every sequence $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in M$.

Remark 5. The statement of Corollary 2 also holds if $C$ is not a semisimple class. Indeed, let $g=g_{s} g_{u}$ be the Jordan decomposition of an element from C. Since the centralizer $C_{G}\left(g_{s}\right)$ is a reductive group (see, [9]) and since $g_{u} \in C_{G}\left(g_{s}\right)$ we may assume $g=g_{u}$. According to the Morozov-Jacobson theorem we may consider $g$ as a unipotent element of $S L_{2}(\bar{Q})$ or $P S L_{2}(\bar{Q})$. It is a well known fact that there exist two unipotent elements in $S L_{2}(Z)$ generating the free group of rank two. Since every
subgroup of a free group is free we can easily construct a free group of any rank generated by unipotent elements of $S L_{2}(Z)$ or $P S L_{2}(Z)$.

## 2. Small elements in simple groups

Here we consider small elements in $G(\bar{K})$ where $\bar{K}$ is the algebraic closure of the field $K$.

We denote by $R$ the root system corresponding to $G$. If $\alpha \in R$ then we denote by $X_{\alpha}$ the corresponding root subgroup of $G(\bar{K})$ (i.e. $X_{\alpha}=\left\langle x_{\alpha}(v) \mid v \in \bar{K}\right\rangle$ ); and by $h_{\alpha}(t)$ where $t \in \overline{K^{*}}$ a corresponding semisimple element of the group $\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha}\right\rangle$ Гsee [10]. We use the notation of N.Bourbaki [3] for roots. Further $\Gamma$ by $i$ and $\omega$ we denote the primitive fourth and third roots of unity.

Let $T$ be a maximal torus of $G(\bar{K})$ and let $s \in T$ be a small semisimple element. One can easely check (using the tables I - X of [3]):
I. if $R=B_{l}$ then chark $\neq 2$ and
$s=h_{\epsilon_{1}}( \pm i) \ldots h_{\epsilon_{l}}( \pm i) ;$
II. if $R=C_{l}$ then char $k \neq 2$ and $s=h_{2 \epsilon_{i}}(-1)(i=1, \ldots, l) ;$
III. if $R=F_{4}$ then char $k \neq 2$ and
$s=h_{\epsilon_{1}}( \pm i) h_{\epsilon_{2}}( \pm i) h_{\epsilon_{3}}( \pm i) h_{\epsilon_{4}}( \pm i) ;$
IV. if $R=G_{2}$ then chark $\neq 3$ and
$s=h_{\alpha}(\omega) h_{\beta}\left(\omega^{2}\right) \Gamma$
where $\alpha, \beta$ are long roots of $R$ such that $\alpha+\beta \in R$.

Now we consider small unipotent elements in $G(\bar{K})$. In cases when the characteristic is not bad we get the possibilities for such elements from the classification of the unipotent elements in simple algebraic groups ( see $Г$ R.W.Carter $\Gamma[4] \Gamma \mathrm{N}$. Spaltenstein $\Gamma$ [8]). NamelyГif $u$ is a small unipotent element of $G(\bar{K})$ then:
V. if chark $\neq 2$ and $R=C_{l}, F_{4}$ or if chark $\neq 3$ and $R=G_{2}$ then $u$ is conjugate to a long root element;
VI. if chark $\neq 2$ and $R=B_{l}$ then in the natural representation of $G(\bar{K})$ as the group $S O_{2 l+1}(\bar{K})$ the elementary divisors of $u$ are (2Г.. $\left.12 П \Gamma \ldots \Gamma 1\right)$.

Thus if chark $\neq 2$ for cases $B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}$ and chark $\neq 3$ for the case $G_{2}$ then all small unipotent elements are in the first class. In cases of a bad characteristic there are small elements in the second class. The Zariski closure of the conjugacy class of a small unipotent element belonging to the second class contains a short root element. This fact follows from the classification $\Gamma[8]$.

## 3. Proof of theorem 2

First of all we formulate Tomanov's criterion $\Gamma[12] \Gamma$ for the coefficients of generalized monomials which can give generalized identities.

Let $D \subset G L(V)$ be a linear group and let

$$
f=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=d_{0} x_{i_{1}}^{l_{1}} d_{1} \ldots x_{i_{m}}^{l_{m}} d_{m}
$$

be a generalized monomial where $\left\{d_{k}\right\}$ are elements of $G L(V)$. Put

$$
I(f)=\left\{d_{k} \mid i_{k}=i_{k+1}, l_{k} l_{k+1}<0\right\} .
$$

Assume that $D=G(\bar{K})$ and $V$ is an irreducible $G(\bar{K})$-module. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}$ be a basis of $V$ consisting of weight-vectors where $e_{1}$ is a vector corresponding to the highest weight and $e_{r}$ is a vector corresponding to the lowest weight. FurtherГlet $V^{\prime}$ be the subspace of $V$ generated by vectors $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r-1}$. Define
$\Lambda(G(\bar{K}), V)=\left\{g \in G L(V), g \notin Z(G L(V)) \mid \sigma g \sigma^{-1} e_{1} \in V^{\prime}\right.$ for every $\left.\sigma \in G(\bar{K})\right\}$.

It has been proved in [12]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \equiv 1 \text { in } G(\bar{K}) \Longrightarrow \Lambda(G(\bar{K}) \cap I(f) \neq \emptyset . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we apply the criterion (3.1) to the coefficients $d_{0}, \ldots, d_{m}$ of $f$. Since $K$ is an infinite field the identity $f \equiv 1$ holds in $G(K)$ if and only if it holds in $G(\bar{K})$ because $G(K)$ is dense in $G \Gamma$ see $[2 \Gamma 18.3]$. Thus we may consider our identity in $G(\bar{K})$. Let $d_{k}=s_{k} u_{k}$ be the Jordan decomposition of a coefficient and let $C_{d_{k}}, C_{s_{k}}, C_{u_{k}}$ be
conjugacy classes of $d_{k}, s_{k}, u_{k}$ in $G(\bar{K})$. If $C_{d_{k}} e_{1} \subset V^{\prime}$ then $\bar{C}_{d_{k}} e_{1} \subset V^{\prime}$ ( here $V, V^{\prime}, e_{1}$ are as above; $\bar{C}_{d_{k}}$ is the Zariski closure of $\left.C_{d_{k}}\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{k} \in \Lambda(G(\bar{K}), V) \Longrightarrow \bar{C}_{d_{k}} \subset \Lambda(G(\bar{K}), V) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need the following

Lemma 1. Let $V=V\left(\alpha_{0}\right)$ be an irreducible $G(\bar{K})$-module corresponding to the weight $\alpha_{0}$ where $\alpha_{0}$ be the maximal positive root of $R$. Suppose one of the following conditions holds:
1). $s_{k} \notin Z(G(\bar{K}))$ and $s_{k}$ is a non-central and non-small element;
2). $u_{k} \neq 1$ and char $k \neq 2$ if $R=B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}$ and chark $\neq 3$ if $R=G_{2}$.

Then $d_{k} \notin \Lambda(G(\bar{K}), V)$.

Proof. Here we have $e_{1}=e_{\alpha_{0}}, e_{r}=e_{-\alpha_{0}}$. Further $\Gamma$

$$
x_{-\alpha_{0}}(v) e_{\alpha_{0}}=e_{\alpha_{0}}+v^{2} e_{-\alpha_{0}}+\ldots
$$

( [10]ГLemma 72). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} x_{-\alpha_{0}}(v) t_{2} \notin \Lambda(G(\bar{K}), V) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t_{1}, t_{2} \in T$. If $t \in T$ is not a small element then it is conjugate to an element $t^{\prime} \in T$ such that $\left[x_{-\alpha_{0}}(v), t^{\prime}\right]=x_{-\alpha_{0}}\left(v^{\prime}\right) \neq 1$. From (3.3) we obtain

$$
x_{-\alpha_{0}}\left(v^{\prime}\right) t^{\prime} x_{-\alpha_{0}}^{-1}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=x_{-\alpha_{0}} t^{\prime} \notin \Lambda(G(\bar{K}), V)
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \notin \Lambda(G(\bar{K}), V) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider case 1). Since $C_{s_{k}} \subset \bar{C}_{d_{k}}$ ([9]Г II.3.) the implication (3.2) and the non-inclusion (3.4) implies $d_{k} \notin \Lambda(G(\bar{K}), V)$.

Consider case 2). Let $F=C_{G(\bar{K})}\left(s_{k}\right)$. Then $F$ is a reductive subgroup of $G(\bar{K})$ generated by a maximal torus $T$ Гsome root subgroups and some elements from the Weyl group ( [4ГTheorem 3.5.3]). Moreover $\Gamma u_{k} \in F$. FurtherГwe may assume that $u_{k} \in F^{0}$ where $F^{0}$ is the connected component of the group $F$. (Indeed $\Gamma$ we may consider the situation in the simply connected form of the group $G$. The centralizers of semisimple elements are connected in this case $Г[4 \Gamma 3.5 .6$.$] . Then we can return to$ the adjoint group considering the image of the adjoint representation.) Let $\bar{Q}_{u_{k}}$ be the Zariski closure of the conjugacy class of $u_{k}$ in $F^{0}$. Then there exists a unipotent element $u_{0} \neq 1$ belonging to a simple component $F_{0}$ of the group $F^{0}$ such that $\bar{Q}_{u_{0}} \subset \bar{Q}_{u}$ where $\bar{Q}_{u_{0}}$ is the Zariski closure of the conjugacy class of the element $u_{0}$ in the group $F_{0}$. In the Zariski closure of any unipotent conjugacy class of a simple group one can find a long root element except cases chark $=2$ for root systems $B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}$ or chark $=3$ for $G_{2}$. This follows from the classification of unipotent classes in simple algebraic groups $\Gamma[8]$. Since the connected component $F^{0}$ of the group $F$ is generated by $T$ and some root subgroups of $G(\bar{K})$ one can find a long root element $x_{\alpha}$ of the group $G(\bar{K})$ contained in $\bar{Q}_{u_{0}}$ and therefore in $\bar{C}_{u_{k}}$. Moreover the element
$x_{\alpha}$ commutes with $s_{k}$ and $s_{k} x_{\alpha} \in \bar{C}_{d_{k}}$. Acting on $s_{k} x_{\alpha}$ by an appropriate element of the Weyl group we obtain $t x_{-\alpha_{0}} \in \bar{C}_{d_{k}}$ for some $t \in T$ and for some long root element $x_{-\alpha_{0}}$. Now our assertion follows from (3.2) and (3.4).

Now we return to the proof of the theorem.
Consider case 1. If all roots have the same length there are no small semisimple elements in $G(\bar{K})$. Thus every non-central coefficient $d_{k}$ of the monomial $f$ has a non-central and non-small semisimple part $s_{k}$ or non-trivial unipotent part $u_{k}$. Hence we obtain from Lemma $1 \Lambda((G(\bar{K}), V)=\emptyset$. Now our statement follows from (3.1).

Consider case 2. Suppose $d_{k}$ is a non-central and non-small element. Then either $s_{k}$ is a non-central and non-small element or $u_{k} \neq 1$. Thus one of the conditions of Lemma 1 holds and therefore $\left.d_{k} \notin \Lambda(G \bar{K}), V\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right)$. Let $d_{k}$ be a small element. If $d_{k}$ is almost unipotent then again by Lemma $1 d_{k} \notin \Lambda\left(G(\bar{K}), V\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right)$. Suppose $d_{k}$ is a small semisimple element. Let $V(\beta)$ be an irreducible $G(\bar{K})$-module corresponding to the highest weight $\beta$ where $\beta$ is a short root of $R\left(\operatorname{say} \Gamma \beta=\omega_{1}\right.$ if $R=B_{l}, C_{l} \Gamma \beta=\omega_{4}$ if $R=F_{4}$ and $\beta=\omega_{1}$ if $R=G_{2}$; see $\left.\Gamma[3]\right)$. There exists an element $t \in T$ which is conjugate to $d_{k}$ in $G(\bar{K})$ and such that $\left[t, x_{-\beta}\right] \neq 1$. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 1 we obtain $d_{k} \notin \Lambda(G(\bar{K}), V(\beta))$. If the identity $f \equiv 1$ holds in the group $G(\bar{K})$ then according to $(3.1) \Lambda(G(\bar{K}), V) \neq \emptyset$ for every irreducible $G(\bar{K})$ module. Thus we need to have both small semisimple and small almost unipotent elements among the coefficients of $f$ to have the identity $f \equiv 1$.

Consider case 3. In this case we have no small semisimple elements (see 2II-IV). Further $\Gamma$ if $u$ is a small almost unipotent element belonging to the first class we have (as above) $u \notin \Lambda\left(G(\bar{K}), V\left(\alpha_{0}\right)\right)$. If $u$ is a small unipotent element belonging to the second class then the Zariski closure of its conjugacy class contains a short root element $\Gamma[8] \Gamma$ and hence $u \notin \Lambda(G(\bar{K}), V(\beta))$. Using the same arguments as above we conclude that we need to have both sorts of almost unipotent elements among the coefficients of $f$ to obtain the identity $f \equiv 1$ in the group $G(\bar{K})$.

## 4. Identities with small constants. Proof of Theorem 3

Let $\Delta$ be a simple root system for $R$ and $\Delta^{\prime} \subset \Delta$. Further $\Gamma$ let $P$ be the parabolic subgroup of $G(\bar{K})$ corresponding to the set $\Delta^{\prime}$ let $L$ be the semisimple part of the Levi factor (here L is the semisimple group corresponding to the root system generated by $\Delta^{\prime}$ ) and let $W^{\prime}$ be the Weyl group of $L$. If $S=\left\{w_{j}\right\}$ is a set of the representatives of double cosets $W^{\prime} w W^{\prime}$ in $W$ then ([4][2.8.1)

$$
G(\bar{K})=\bigcup_{w_{j} \in S} P \dot{w}_{j} P
$$

where $\dot{w}_{j}$ is a preimage of an element $w_{j}$ of the Weyl group $W$ in the group $N$ (recall that $N$ is the normalizer of $T)$. Thus every element $\tau \in G(\bar{K})$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\gamma_{1} \dot{w} t \gamma_{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in L R_{u}(P) \Gamma t \in T$ and $\dot{w} \in N$ (here $R_{u}(P)$ is the unipotent radical of $P)$. Now let $\sigma \in G(\bar{K})$ be an element satisfying the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L R_{u}(P) \subset C_{G(\bar{K})}(\sigma) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inclusion (4.2) implies that the pair $\left(\sigma, \tau \sigma \tau^{-1}\right)$ is conjugate to the pair ( $\sigma, \dot{w} t \sigma t^{-1} \dot{w}^{-1}$ ). Using the trick which is taken from [13] we prove the following

Lemma 2. Let $R=B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}, G_{2}$ and let $\sigma=x_{\alpha_{0}}(v), v \neq 0$, where $\alpha_{0}$ is the maximal root with respect to $\Delta$. Further, let $\tau$ be a small semisimple element of $G(\bar{K})$ if chark $\neq 2$ and $R=B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}$ or if chark $\neq 3$ and $R=G_{2}$, or let $\tau$ be a short root element of $G(\bar{K})$ in cases when chark $=2$ and $R=B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}$ or chark $=3$ and $R=G_{2}$. Then the pair $\left(\sigma, \tau \sigma \tau^{-1}\right)$ cannot be conjugate to a pair $\left(x_{\alpha_{0}}(v), x_{-\alpha_{0}\left(v^{\prime}\right)}\right)$ for some $v^{\prime}$.

Proof. Using the notation of N.BourbakiГ [3] $\Gamma$ we have $\alpha_{0}=\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}$ if $R=B_{l}, F_{4} \Gamma$ $\alpha_{0}=2 \epsilon_{1}$ if $R=C_{l}$ and $\alpha_{0}=3 \alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{2}$ if $R=G_{2}$. Put $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta \backslash\left\{\alpha_{1}\right\}$ if $R=C_{l}, F_{4}$ and $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta \backslash\left\{\alpha_{2}\right\}$ if $R=B_{l}, G_{2}$. Then the normalizer $N_{G(\bar{K})}\left(X_{\alpha_{0}}\right)$ of the root subgroup $X_{\alpha_{0}}=\left\langle x_{\alpha_{0}}(r) \mid, r \in \bar{K}\right\rangle$ contains the parabolic subgroup $P$. Indeed $\Gamma$ in the cases described the elements of the Levi factor $L$ commute with the elements of $X_{\alpha_{0}}$. Since $P$ is a maximal closed proper subgroup of $G(\bar{K}) \Gamma$ then $N_{G(\bar{K})}\left(X_{\alpha_{0}}\right)=P$. Moreover $\Gamma(4.2)$ also holds for $\sigma, L$ and $R_{u}(P)$.

Let $\tau$ be written in the form (4.1). Then the pair ( $\sigma, \tau \sigma \tau^{-1}$ ) is conjugate to a pair $\left(x_{\alpha_{0}}(v), x_{\beta}\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$ where $\beta=w\left(\alpha_{0}\right) \Gamma v^{\prime \prime} \in \bar{K}$. If $\beta \neq-\alpha_{0}$ then the pair $\left(x_{\alpha_{0}}(v), x_{\beta}\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$
cannot be conjugate by an element of the group $G(\bar{K})$ to a pair $\left(x_{\alpha_{0}}(v), x_{-\alpha_{0}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Indeed $\Gamma$ conjugation by an element of the group $G(\bar{K})$ of a pair of root elements which transforms it into another pair of root elements which preserve the configuration between roots. Thus the pair $\left(\sigma, \tau \sigma \tau^{-1}\right)$ can be conjugate to a pair $\left(x_{\alpha_{0}}(v), x_{-\alpha_{0}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=-\alpha_{0} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality (4.3) implies $w=w_{\alpha_{0}} w^{\prime}$ where $w_{\alpha_{0}}$ is the corresponding reflection and $w^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$. Conjugating $\tau$ by $\gamma_{1}^{-1}$ we obtain an element $\delta=\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} \dot{w}^{\prime} t \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in$ $L R_{u}(P)$. We may assume $\dot{w}^{\prime} \in L$ and rewrite the element $\delta$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} t l u \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l \in L \Gamma u \in R_{u}(P)$. Since $\bar{K}$ is an algebraically closed field $t=t_{1} t_{2}$ where $t_{1} \in\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}\right\rangle \Gamma t_{2} \in L$. The element $\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} t_{1}$ is also a preimage of $w_{\alpha_{0}}$ in the group $N$. Thus we may replace $\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} t_{1}$ for $\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}$. Further $\Gamma$ we may replace $t_{2} l$ for $l$ and replace (4.4) for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l u . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

MoreoverГwe may assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l=l \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the elements of the group $\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}\right\rangle$ commute with group $L$ and the element $\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}$ can be chosen from the group $\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}\right\rangle$. FurtherГif we consider $\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}$ as an element of the group $\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}\right\rangle \approx S L_{2}(\bar{K})$ or $P S L_{2}(\bar{K})$ we can see

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{2}=h_{\alpha_{0}}(-1) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{\alpha_{0}}(-1)$ is the corresponding semisimple root element ( $\operatorname{see} \Gamma[10]$ ). From (4.5) $\Gamma$ (4.6) $\Gamma(4.7)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{2}=\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l u \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l u=\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{2} \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1} l u \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l u=h_{\alpha_{0}}(-1) l^{2} l^{-1} \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1} u \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l u . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $u_{1}=l^{-1} \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1} u \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} \in R_{u}^{-}(P) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{u}^{-}(P)=\dot{w}_{0} R_{u}(P) \dot{w}_{0}^{-1}$. Indeed $\Gamma$ if $\alpha \in R^{+}$and the root $\alpha$ does not belong to the root subsystem generated by $\Delta^{\prime}$ then $w_{\alpha_{0}}(\alpha) \in R^{-}$and the root $w_{\alpha_{0}}(\alpha)$ does not belong the root system generated by $\Delta^{\prime}$. This follows from the definition of $\alpha_{0}$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$. Hence $\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1} u \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} \in R_{u}^{-}(P)$. Since the group $R_{u}^{-}(P)$ is normalized by elements of the group $L$ we obtain (4.9).

Further $\Gamma(4.8)$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{2}=h_{\alpha_{0}}(-1) l^{2} u_{1} u \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $\delta^{2}=z \in Z(G(\bar{K}))$. Then (4.10) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
z h_{\alpha_{0}}(-1) l^{-2}=u_{1} u \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right side of (4.11) belongs to the Gauss cell of $G(\bar{K})$ because of the choice of $u$ and (4.9). Hence it can be considered as the Gauss decomposition of the element $z h_{\alpha_{0}}(-1) l^{-2}$. Since $u \in R_{u}(P)$ and $u_{1} \in R_{u}^{-}(P)$ the decomposition (4.11) can take place if and only if $u=u_{1}=z h_{\alpha_{0}}(-1) l^{-2}=1$ (this follows from the uniqueness of the Gauss decomposition ). Thus if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{2}=z \in Z(G(\bar{K})) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $R=B_{l}, C_{l}, F_{4}$. Then a small semisimple element $s$ satisfies the condition $s^{2} \in Z(G(\bar{K}))($ seeI2.I-IV $)$. If chark $=2$ then the element $u_{0}$ is an involution. Hence the condition (4.12) holds for $\delta \in C_{\tau}$ where $C_{\tau}$ is the conjugacy class of $\tau$ in $G(\bar{K})$. Let chark $\neq 2$ and let $\tau=s$ be a small semisimple element. Then $\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}$ is a semisimple element of $G(\bar{K})$. This implies with (4.6) that the element $l$ is also semisimple. Thus

$$
x_{1} \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} x_{1}^{-1}=h_{\alpha_{0}}(t), x_{2} l x_{2}^{-1}=h_{1}
$$

for some $x_{1} \in\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}\right\rangle, x_{2} \in L, t \in \bar{K}, h_{1} \in T \cap L$. Using (4.6) and (4.13) we obtain

$$
\delta_{1}=x_{1} x_{2} \delta x_{2}^{-1} x_{1}^{-1}=h_{\alpha_{0}}(t) h_{1} \in T
$$

From (4.7) we have $t= \pm i$. But $\delta_{1}=h_{\alpha_{0}}( \pm i) h_{1} \notin C_{G(\bar{K})}\left(X_{\alpha_{0}}\right)$. This is a contradiction with the choice of $s$. Thus we have proved that if $\tau$ is a small semisimple element
then the pair $\left(\sigma, \tau \sigma \tau^{-1}\right)$ cannot be conjugate to a pair $\left(x_{\alpha_{0}}(v), x_{-\alpha_{0}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Let chark $=$ 2 and let $\tau=u_{0}$. Then $\delta$ is a product of two commuting involutions $\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}$ and $l$ (see (4.6) $\Gamma(4.7))$ Since chark $=2$ both of these involutions are unipotent. Further $\Gamma$ $\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} \in\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}\right\rangle \Gamma l \in L \Gamma$ and $\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}\right\rangle \Gamma L$ are commuting subgroups of $G(\bar{K})$ ). Therefore $\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} \in \bar{C}_{\delta}=\bar{C}_{\tau}$ where $\bar{C}_{\delta}, \bar{C}_{\tau}$ are Zariski closure of conjugacy classes in $G(\bar{K})$ of $\delta, \tau$. On the other hand $\Gamma \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}$ is a long root element here. Thus we have the contradiction with the choice of $\tau$.

Consider the case $R=G_{2}$. Let chark $\neq 3$ and let $\tau$ be a small semisimple element. The group $C_{G(\bar{K})}(\tau)$ is generated by $T$ and all long root subgroups of $G(\bar{K})$ ( [4Г Theorem 3.5.3]).Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} C_{G(\bar{K})}(\tau)=\operatorname{dim} C_{G(\bar{K})}(\delta)=8 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sigma=x_{\alpha_{0}}(v)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} C_{G(\bar{K})}(\sigma)=8 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

( [4] П3.1.). From (4.14) $\Gamma(4.15)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(C_{G(\bar{K})}(\sigma) \cap C_{G(\bar{K})}(\delta)\right) \geq 8+8-14=2 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x \in C_{G(\bar{K})}(\sigma) \cap C_{G(\bar{K})}(\delta)$. Then the element $x$ commutes with $\sigma=x_{\alpha_{0}}(v)$ and with $\delta \sigma \delta^{-1}=x_{-\alpha_{0}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ (recall that our assumption that the pair $\left(\sigma, \tau \sigma \tau^{-1}\right)$ is conjugate to a pair $\left(x_{\alpha_{0}}(v), x_{-\alpha_{0}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)$ implies that the element $\tau$ is conjugate to an element $\delta$ of the form (4.5)). There exists a non-central semisimple element $\epsilon$ of the group $\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}\right\rangle$
which belongs to the subgroup $\left\langle\sigma, \delta \sigma \delta^{-1}\right\rangle$. Since $x \in C_{G(\bar{K})}(\epsilon)$ then $x=\epsilon^{\prime} g$ where $\epsilon^{\prime}$ is a semisimple element of the group $\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}\right\rangle$ commuting with $\epsilon$ and $g \in L=\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{1}}\right\rangle$ ( [4Г3.5.3]). Since $x$ commutes with $x_{\alpha_{0}}(v), x_{-\alpha_{0}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ then $\epsilon^{\prime}=h_{\alpha_{0}}( \pm 1)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=h_{\alpha_{0}}( \pm 1) g=\delta x \delta^{-1}=\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l u x u^{-1} l^{-1} \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1}=\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l x\left[x^{-1}, u\right] l^{-1} \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $y^{\prime}=\left[x^{-1}, u\right]$. Since $x=h_{\alpha_{0}}( \pm 1) g, u \in R_{u}(P)$ then $y^{\prime} \in R_{u}(P)$ and therefore $y=l y^{\prime} l^{-1} \in R_{u}(P)$. From (4.17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l x l^{-1} y \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1}=\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} l h_{\alpha_{0}}( \pm 1) g l^{-1} y \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1}=h_{\alpha_{0}}( \pm 1) l g l^{-1} \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} y \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y \in R_{u}(P)$ then $\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} y \dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1} \in R_{u}^{-}$and therefore (4.18) implies $y=1$. Hence $y^{\prime}=\left[x^{-1}, u\right]=1$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in X_{\alpha_{0}} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the element $g$ is a non-central semisimple element of $L$ (the existence of $x=$ $h_{\alpha_{0}}( \pm 1) g$ with such $g$ follows from (4.16)) Further $\Gamma$ the equality (4.18) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
l g l^{-1}=g \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since every element $x \in C_{G(\bar{K})}(\sigma) \cap C_{G(\bar{K})}(\delta)$ can be written in the form $x=h_{\alpha_{0}}( \pm 1) g$ where $g \in L$ then (4.20) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(C_{G(\bar{K})}(\sigma) \cap C_{G(\bar{K})}(\delta)\right) \leq \operatorname{dim} C_{L}(l) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $l \notin Z(L)$ then $\operatorname{dim} C_{L}(l)=1$ and in this case the inequality (4.21) contradicts (4.16). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=h_{\alpha_{1}}( \pm 1) . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using (4.19) and (4.22) we obtain

$$
\delta=\dot{w}_{\alpha_{0}} h_{\alpha_{1}}( \pm 1) u_{\alpha_{0}}
$$

where $u_{\alpha_{0}} \in X_{\alpha_{0}}$. Hence $\delta^{2} \in\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}\right\rangle$. This is in contradiction with the choice of $\delta$.
Let $R=G_{2}$, chark $=3, \tau=u_{0}$. We have $\operatorname{dim} C_{G(\bar{K})}\left(u_{0}\right)=8([8 \Gamma 10.4 \Pi 10.15])$. Thus we may use here the same arguments as above to prove that the assumption of conjugation of pairs $\left(\sigma, \delta \sigma \delta^{-1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{\alpha_{0}}(v), x_{-\alpha_{0}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)$ leads to the inclusion $\delta^{2} \in$ $\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}\right\rangle$ which contradicts to the choice of $u_{0}$ (recall that the element $\delta$ we take from the conjugacy class of $u_{0}$ and the element $u_{0}$ is a short root element of $G(\bar{K})$ ).

Now we can prove the existence identities a.b.c.d. of Theorem 3.
According to Lemma 2 we may assume

$$
\tau X_{\alpha_{0}} \tau^{-1}=X_{\beta}, \beta \neq-\alpha_{0}
$$

where $\tau$ is a small semisimple element or $\tau=u_{0}$. Thus

$$
\left[x, \tau x \tau^{-1}\right] \in X_{\alpha_{0}+\beta}
$$

for every $x \in X_{\alpha_{0}}$ ( if $\alpha_{0}+\beta$ is not a root we put $X_{\alpha_{0}+\beta}=1$ ). Since $X_{\alpha_{0}+\beta}$ is an abelian group

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left[y_{1}, \tau y_{1} \tau^{-1}\right],\left[y_{2}, \tau y_{2} \tau^{-1}\right]\right]=1 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $y_{1}, y_{2} \in X_{\alpha_{0}}$. Now the identities a. and c. follow from (4.23). Indeed $\Gamma$ we can substitute $y_{1}=u, y_{2}=u^{\prime}, \tau=s$ or $u_{0}$ and since (4.23) holds for every such $u, u^{\prime}, s$ from given conjugacy classes we obtain a. and c.

Now consider the case $R=C_{l}$. Then $\alpha_{0}+\beta$ is not a root and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x, \tau x \tau^{-1}\right]=1 \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \in X_{\alpha_{0}}$. If we substitute $x=u, \tau=s$ or $u_{0}$ we obtain b . and d. for the case $R=C_{l}$.

Let $R=B_{l}$. If $\alpha_{0}+\beta$ is not a root the proof for b . and d . is the same as for the case $C_{l}$. Suppose $\alpha_{0}+\beta$ is a root. Let chark $\neq 2$. We may assume $G(\bar{K})=S O(V)$ where $\operatorname{dim} V=2 l+1$. If $\alpha_{0}+\beta$ is a root then $q=u \tau u \tau^{-1}$ is a regular unipotent element in the group $\left\langle X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}}, \tau X_{ \pm \alpha_{0}} \tau^{-1}\right\rangle$. This group is isomorphic to $S L_{3}(\bar{K})$ and the codimension of the subspace $V^{q}$ of $q$-fixed vectors is equal to 4 . On the other hand $\tau$ is a small semisimple element of order 2 which has eigenvalues :(-1Г..F1Г1). Hence $\operatorname{codim} V^{q}=2$. This is a contradiction. If chark $=2$ then we can consider the group $G(\bar{K})$ as the group $S p(V), \operatorname{dim} V=2 l$. Again if $u, \tau u \tau^{-1}$ do not commute then the element $q$ defined above is a regular unipotent element of the group $S L_{3}(\bar{K})$ and the codimension of subspace $V^{q}$ is equal to 4 . On the other hand $\Gamma$ the codimension of
subspace $V^{\tau}$ where $\tau=u_{0}$ is equal to 1 . Since $u_{0}$ is an involution the codimension of $V^{q}$ should be 2. This is a contradiction. Thus we have b. and d. for the case $B_{l}$. Theorem 3 has been proved.

## 5. Freedom in conjugacy classes. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\omega\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a non-empty reduced word on $n$ letters. One can define a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\omega}: G^{n} \longrightarrow G \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by the formula

$$
f_{\omega}\left(\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right)=\omega\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)
$$

This map is a dominant morphism of algebraic varieties $\Gamma[1]$. Thus the preimage $X_{\omega}=f^{-1}(1)$ of the identity is a proper closed subset. A.Borel $\Gamma[1] \Gamma$ has shown that the set

$$
G^{n}(K) \backslash \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} X_{\omega}(K)
$$

is dense in $G$ if the transcendence degree $\operatorname{tr} . \operatorname{deg} K / k$ is big enough.
Here we use the same approach to prove Theorem 1. Namely「let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ be a fixed set of representatives of the conjugacy classes $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$. For every non empty reduced word $\omega$ on $n$ letters we can define the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\widetilde{\omega}}: G^{n} \longrightarrow G \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the formula

$$
f_{\widetilde{\omega}}\left(\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)\right)=\omega\left(g_{1} c_{1} g_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, g_{n} c_{n} g_{n}^{-1}\right)
$$

Obviously「the map $f_{\widetilde{\omega}}$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties which is defined over the field $K$. Note that the set $f_{\widetilde{\omega}}(G(K))$ does not depend of the choice on representatives $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$.

Assume that $f_{\widetilde{\omega}}(G(K))=1$. This means that the identity $f_{\widetilde{\omega}} \equiv 1$ with constants holds in the group $G(K)$. The constants here are powers of elements $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$. We will say that the word $\omega$ is appropriate for given set of conjugacy classes if for every power of a letter $x_{i}^{n}$ which occurs in $\omega$ the element $c_{i}^{n}$ is not the identity. Now if we consider the word $\omega$ which is appropriate for $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$ then $\widetilde{\omega}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=$ $\omega\left(x_{1} c_{1} x_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{n} c_{n} x_{n}^{-1}\right)$ is a generalized monomial (Definition 4; recall that the group $G$ is of adjoint type). Further $\Gamma$ if we assume that $K$ is an infinite field and one of the conditions of Theorem 1 . holds then coefficients of the generalized monomial $\widetilde{\omega}$ satisfy one of the conditions Theorem 2. (This follows directly from the definitions.) In this case the identity $f_{\widetilde{\omega}} \equiv 1$ cannot hold in the group $G(K)$ and therefore the preimage $X_{\widetilde{\omega}}(K)=f_{\widetilde{\omega}}^{-1}(1)$ is a proper closed subset of $G^{n}(K)$.

Let $\Omega$ be the set of all appropriate non-empty reduced words on $n$ letters. We consider the set

$$
X(K)=G^{n}(K) \backslash\left(\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} X_{\widetilde{\omega}}(K)\right) .
$$

Since conjugacy classes $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{n}$ are defined over the field $k$ we can choose elements $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ from the group $G(k)$. Thus we may assume that all maps (5.2) are defined over the field $k$. Hence we may assume that every set $X_{\widetilde{\omega}}$ is defined over $k$. Now we use the fact that the group $G$ is a unirational variety over the field $k \Gamma[2 \Gamma 18.2]$. According to the definition of the number $d(G)$ we have the dominant rational map

$$
\varphi: A_{k}^{d(G)} \longrightarrow G_{k}
$$

defined over $k$ where $A_{k}^{d(G)}$ is $d(G)$-dimensional affine $k$-space. Thus we have the dominant rational map

$$
\varphi^{n}: A_{k}^{n d(G)} \longrightarrow G_{k}^{n}
$$

defined over $k$. Since $\operatorname{tr}$.deg $K / k \geq n d(G)$ there exists a Zariski dense subset $Y(K)$ in $A_{k}^{n d(G)}(K)$ such that there are no algebraic relations over $k$ between coordinates of the elements of $Y(K)\left(\right.$ indeed $\Gamma$ say $\Gamma$ elements of the form $\left(x_{1}^{m_{1}}, \ldots, x_{s}^{m_{s}}\right) \Gamma$ where $s=n d(G) \Gamma$ $m_{i}$ are positive integers and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ are algebraically independent elements over the field $k \Gamma$ are already dense in $\left.A_{k}^{n d(G)}(K)\right)$. Obviously $\Gamma$ the image of the set $Y(K)$ with respect to $\varphi^{n}$ is in $X(K)$. Thus the set $X(K)$ is dense in $G^{n}$. Now let

$$
\psi: G^{n}(K) \longrightarrow C_{1} \times \ldots \times C_{n}
$$

be the map given by the formula

$$
\psi\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)=\left(g_{1} c_{1} g_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, g_{n} c_{n} g_{n}^{-1}\right)
$$

Put $M=\psi(X(K))$. Then the set $M$ is dense in $C_{1} \times \ldots \times C_{n}$. Moreover $\Gamma$ the definition of the set $X(K)$ implies that there are no non-trivial relations among elements $g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{n}^{\prime}$ of the group $G(K)$ such that $\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{n}^{\prime}\right) \in M$ (except $\Gamma$ of course $\Gamma$ those relations which follow from the relations of the form $x^{m}=1$ in cases of elements of finite orders). Thus the set M satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.

Now Theorem 1. has been proved.

## 6. Freedom over $\bar{Q}$. Proof of Theorems 4 and 5.

In the case when the trancendence degree $\operatorname{tr} \cdot \operatorname{deg} K / k$ is small the method used in the proof of Theorem 1 does not work. IndeedГit may happen that the set

$$
\bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} X_{\widetilde{\omega}}(K)
$$

coincides with $G^{n}(K)$. As an example we may consider the case $K=\bar{F}_{p}$. (In this case every sequence $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G\left(\bar{F}_{p}\right)$ satisfies a relation.)

We prove here Theorem 4. using a different approach.
Consider the group $S L_{2}(\bar{Q})$ and conjugacy classes $\widetilde{C_{1}}, \widetilde{C_{2}}$ which are preimages of classes $C_{1}, C_{2}$. Let $g_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\epsilon, \epsilon^{-1}\right), g_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\delta, \delta^{-1}\right)$ be diagonal matrices belonging to classes $\widetilde{C_{1}}, \widetilde{C_{2}}$ respectively. The eigenvalues $\epsilon, \delta$ here are a $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$-th root of 1 for some $m_{1}, m_{2}$. Let $\Pi$ be the set of all prime divisors of the field $Q(\epsilon, \delta)$. Further $\Gamma$ we define the set
$S=\left\{P \in \Pi|P|\left(\epsilon^{r}-\epsilon^{-r}\right)\right.$ or $P \mid\left(\delta^{s}-\delta^{-s}\right)$ for some $\left.0<r<m_{1}, 0<s<m_{2}\right\}$
(here $\left(\epsilon^{r}-\epsilon^{-r}\right) \Gamma\left(\delta^{s}-\delta^{-s}\right)$ are principal divisors).
Let $\Omega$ be the set of appropriate words on 2 letters (see definition abovelin 5) for conjugacy classes $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and let $\omega\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega$. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(g_{1}, x g_{2} x^{-1}\right)=1 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where x is a general matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t_{11} & t_{12} \\
t_{21} & t_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

from the group $G L_{2}(\bar{Q})$. If we conjugate both sides of the equation (6.2) with an appropriate powers of $g_{1}$ and $x g_{2} x^{-1}$ we obtain
(6.3) $\quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}\epsilon^{r_{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-r_{1}}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}\delta^{s_{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{-s_{1}}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22}\end{array}\right)^{-1} \ldots$
$\ldots\left(\begin{array}{cc}\epsilon^{r_{n}} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-r_{n}}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}\delta^{s_{n}} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{-s_{n}}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22}\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.

If we change the matrix $x^{-1}$ in (6.3) for the matrix

$$
x^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{22} & -t_{12}  \tag{6.4}\\
-t_{21} & t_{11}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we obtain the following equation

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{r_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{-r_{1}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{11} & t_{12} \\
t_{21} & t_{22}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta^{s_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \delta^{-s_{1}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{22} & -t_{12} \\
-t_{21} & t_{11}
\end{array}\right) \ldots  \tag{6.5}\\
\ldots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{r_{n}} & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{-r_{n}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t_{11} & t_{12} \\
t_{21} & t_{22}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta^{s_{n}} & 0 \\
0 & \delta^{-s_{n}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{22} & -t_{12} \\
-t_{21} & t_{11}
\end{array}\right)= \\
\\
=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(\operatorname{det} x)^{n} & 0 \\
0 & (\operatorname{det} x)^{n}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

On the other hand we can look at the left side of (6.5) as an expression with indeterminates $t_{i j}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{r_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{-r_{1}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{11} & t_{12} \\
t_{21} & t_{22}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta^{s_{1}} & 0 \\
0 & \delta^{-s_{1}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{22} & -t_{12} \\
-t_{21} & t_{11}
\end{array}\right) \cdots  \tag{6.6}\\
\cdots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{r_{n}} & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{-r_{n}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t_{11} & t_{12} \\
t_{21} & t_{22}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta^{s_{n}} & 0 \\
0 & \delta^{-s_{n}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{22} & -t_{12} \\
-t_{21} & t_{11}
\end{array}\right)= \\
\cdots=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{11}\left(t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{21}, t_{22}\right) & \theta_{12}\left(t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{21}, t_{22}\right) \\
\theta_{21}\left(t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{21}, t_{22}\right) & \theta_{22}\left(t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{21}, t_{22}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\theta_{i j}\left(t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{21}, t_{22}\right)$ are polynomials in $t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{21}, t_{22}$ with coefficients from the ring $Z[\epsilon, \delta]$.

Now we need the following

Lemma 3. $\theta_{12}(1,1,1,1)=-\epsilon^{r_{1}} \pi, \theta_{21}(1,1,1,1)=\epsilon^{-r_{1}} \pi$ where

$$
\pi=\left(\prod_{i=2}^{n}\left(\epsilon^{r_{i}}-\epsilon^{-r_{i}}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\delta^{s_{i}}-\delta^{-s_{i}}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. We can substitute $t_{11}=a_{11}, t_{12}=a_{12}, t_{21}=a_{21}, t_{22}=a_{22}$ for every $a_{i j}$ belonging to any ring which contains $Z[\epsilon, \delta]$. Thus we obtain the values of polynomials $\theta_{i j}$ at the points $t_{i j}=a_{i j}$. In particular $\Gamma$ if we substitute $\left.t_{11}=1, t_{12}=1, t_{21}=1, t_{22}\right)=1$ we obtain the values $\theta_{i j}(1,1,1,1)$.

We have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{r_{i}} & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{-r_{i}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\delta^{s_{i}} & 0 \\
0 & \delta^{s_{i}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right)=  \tag{6.7}\\
=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{r_{i}}\left(\delta^{s_{i}}-\delta^{-s_{i}}\right) & -\epsilon^{r_{i}}\left(\delta^{s_{i}}-\delta^{-s_{i}}\right) \\
\epsilon^{-r_{i}}\left(\delta^{s_{i}}-\delta^{-s_{i}}\right) & -\epsilon^{-r_{i}}\left(\delta^{s_{i}}-\delta^{-s_{i}}\right)
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Further $\Gamma$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{k} a & -\epsilon^{k} a  \tag{6.8}\\
\epsilon^{-k} & -\epsilon^{-k} a
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{l} b & -\epsilon^{l} b \\
\epsilon^{-l} b & -\epsilon^{-l} b
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\epsilon^{k}\left(\epsilon^{l}-\epsilon^{-l}\right) a b & -\epsilon^{k}\left(\epsilon^{l}-\epsilon^{-l}\right) a b \\
\epsilon^{-k}\left(\epsilon^{l}-\epsilon^{-l}\right) a b & -\epsilon^{-k}\left(\epsilon^{l}-\epsilon^{-l}\right) a b
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now our assertion obviously follows from (6.7) and (6.8).

Let $A$ be the subset of matricies from $G L_{2}(\bar{Q})$ satisfying the following conditions:

1'.if $a \in A$ then all entries $a_{i j}$ of $a$ are algebraic integers;

2'. there exists a prime divisor $p_{a}$ of the field $Q\left[\epsilon, \delta, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}\right]$ such that $p_{a} \mid\left(a_{i j}-1\right)$ for every $\mathrm{i} \Gamma \mathrm{j}$ and $p_{a}$ is prime to every divisor $P$ from the set $S((6.1))$.

Let $a \in A$. Then if we input $a$ in the left side of (6.3) instead of x we obtain the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\theta_{11}\left(a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}\right)}{(\operatorname{deta}){ }^{n}} & \frac{\theta_{12}\left(a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}\right)}{(\operatorname{deta})^{n}}  \tag{6.9}\\
\frac{\theta_{21}\left(a_{11}, a_{2}, a_{21}, a_{22}\right)}{(\operatorname{deta})^{n}} & \frac{\theta_{22}\left(a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}\right)}{(\text { deta })^{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

If the matrix $a$ satisfies the equation (6.3) then according (6.5) for elements of the matrix (6.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{12}\left(a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}\right)=\theta_{21}\left(a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}\right)=0 \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

But (6.10) cannot hold if $a \in A$. Indeed $\Gamma$ the conditions (6.10) and 2 ' imply that the divisor $p_{a}$ divides $\left(\theta_{12}(1,1,1,1)\right)$ and $\left(\theta_{21}(1,1,1,1)\right)$. Lemma 3 lin its turn $\Gamma$ implies that the prime divisors of the field $\left.Q\left[\epsilon, \delta, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}\right]\right)$ dividing $\left(\theta_{12}(1,1,1,1)\right) \Gamma$ $\left(\theta_{21}(1,1,1,1)\right)$ are only those which divide divisors from the set $S$. This contradicts the conditions of $2^{\prime}$ that $p_{a}$ is prime to every $P$ from the set $S$.

Thus every matrix $a \in A$ cannot satisfy the equation (6.3) $\Gamma$ that is $\Gamma$ cannot satisfy the equation (6.2) for every appropriate non-empty reduced word $\omega$ on two letters. This implies that the group $\Gamma \subset P S L_{2}(\bar{Q})$ generated by the images $\overline{g_{1}} \Gamma \overline{a g_{2} a^{-1}}$ of elements $g_{1}$ and $a g_{2} a^{-1}$ is isomorphic to the free-product $\left\langle\overline{g_{1}}\right\rangle *\left\langle\overline{a g_{2} a^{-1}}\right\rangle$.

It is easy to see that the set $A$ is Zariski dense in $G L_{2}(\bar{Q})$. Hence the set of pairs $\left(\sigma g_{1} \sigma^{-1}, \sigma a g_{2} a^{-1} \sigma^{-1}\right)$ where $\sigma \in G L_{2}(\bar{Q})$ and $a \in A$ is dense in $\widetilde{C} \times \widetilde{C}$. Therefore $\Gamma$
the set $M$ of pairs $\left(g_{1}^{\prime}, g_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ from $C_{1} \times C_{2}$ such that the group generated by $g_{1}^{\prime}, g_{2}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the free-product $\left\langle g_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle *\left\langle g_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is dense in $C_{1} \times C_{2}$.

Theorem 4 has been proved.

Now we prove Theorem 5.

First of all we show the existence of such a set $M$. In the case $n=2$ this follows from Theorem 4 (we can put $C_{1}=C_{2}=C$ ). If elements of $C$ are not involutions then for every $n>2$ one can find in the group $\Gamma=\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle *\left\langle g_{2}\right\rangle$ where $g_{1}, g_{2} \in C$ the subgroup

$$
\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle *\left\langle\tau_{1} g_{2} \tau_{1}^{-1}\right\rangle * \ldots *\left\langle\tau_{n-1} g_{2} \tau_{n-1}^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

where $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n-1} \in \Gamma$. This follows from the Kurosch theorem on subgroups of free products (see $[\ddagger 7]$ or $[6 \Gamma 17.2]$ ). Thus we have a non-empty set $M$ containing the sequence $\left(g_{1}, \tau_{1} g_{2} \tau_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, \tau_{n-1} g_{2} \tau_{n-1}^{-1}\right)$. If elements of $C$ are involutions we may take an element $g \in C \cap P S L_{2}(Z)$ and using the isomorphism $P S L_{2}(Z) \approx\langle g\rangle *\langle\sigma\rangle$ where $\sigma \in P S L_{2}(Z)$ is an element of the order 3 and again using the Kurosch theorem we obtain a non-empty set M satisfying the condition of Theorem 5 .

Now we prove that the set $M \subset C^{n}$ such that

$$
\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle \approx\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle * \ldots *\left\langle g_{n}\right\rangle
$$

for every sequence $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in M$ is dense in $C^{n}$. For $n=2$ this follows from Theorem 4. Thus we may assume $n>2$. Let $\Gamma=\left\langle g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\rangle$ where $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \in M$. Then

$$
\left\langle w_{1} g_{1} w_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, w_{n} g_{n} w_{n}^{-1}\right\rangle \approx\left\langle w_{1} g_{1} w_{1}^{-1}\right\rangle * \ldots *\left\langle w_{n} g_{n} w_{n}^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

for every $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in \Gamma$. This follows from the Kurosch theorem. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w_{1} g_{1} w_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, w_{n} g_{n} w_{n}^{-1}\right) \in M \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in \Gamma$. Since $n>2$ the group $\Gamma$ is dense in $P S L_{2}(\bar{Q})$. (This is also true for $n=2$ except in the case when the elements of $C$ are involutions.) This implies that the set of sequences of the form (6.11) is dense in $C^{n}$. Therefore the set $M$ is also dense in $C^{n}$.

Theorem 5 has been proved.
We now prove the corollaries.

Proof. Let $T$ be a maximal torus of the group $G$ and let $g \in C \cap T$. Let $d=p^{l}$ be the order of $g$. Since $G$ is the group of adjoint type and since $d$ is a primary number one can find a root $\alpha: T \longrightarrow \overline{Q^{*}}$ such that $\alpha\left(g^{r}\right) \neq 1$ for every $0<r<d$. Let $G_{\alpha}=\left\langle X_{\alpha}, X_{-\alpha}\right\rangle$ where $X_{\alpha}, X_{-\alpha}$ are the corresponding root subgroups of $G$. Then the image $\bar{g}$ of $g$ in the factor group $G_{\alpha} T / Z\left(G_{\alpha}\right) T \approx P S L_{2}(\bar{Q})$ has also the order $d$. Now we can apply Theorem 4 and 5.
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