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#### Abstract

We obtain forms of Born-Infeld and D-brane actions that are quadratic in derivatives of $X$ and linear in $F_{\mu \nu}$ by introducing an auxiliary 'metric' which has both symmetric and anti-symmetric parts, generalising the simplification of the Nambu-Goto action for $p$-branes using a symmetric metric. The abelian gauge field appears as a Lagrange multiplier, and solving the constraint gives the dual form of the $n$ dimensional action with an $n-3$ form gauge field instead of a vector gauge field. We construct the dual action explicitly, including cases which could not be covered previously. The generalisation to supersymmetric D-brane actions with local fermionic symmetry is also discussed.


## 1 Actions

The Nambu－Goto action for a $p$－brane with $p=n-1$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N G}=-T_{p} \int d^{n} \sigma \sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(G_{\mu \nu}\right)}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{p}$ is the $p$－brane tension and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mu \nu}=G_{i j} \partial_{\mu} X^{i} \partial_{\nu} X^{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the world－volume metric induced by the spacetime metric $G_{i j}$ ．The non－linear form of the action（1］）is inconvenient for many purposes．However，introducing an intrinsic worldvolume metric $g_{\mu \nu}$ allows one to write down the equivalent action［1］， 2． 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{P}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma \sqrt{-g}\left[g^{\mu \nu} G_{\mu \nu}-(n-2) \Lambda\right], \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)$ and $\Lambda$ is a constant．The metric $g_{\mu \nu}$ is an auxiliary field which can be eliminated using its equation of motion to recover action（1）．The constants $T_{p}$ and $T_{p}^{\prime}$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p}^{\prime}=\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} T_{p} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form of the action is much more convenient for many purposes，as it is quadratic in $\partial X$ ．

The Born－Infeld action for a vector field $A_{\mu}$ in an $n$－dimensional space－time with metric $G_{\mu \nu}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{B I}=-T_{p} \int d^{n} \sigma \sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(G_{\mu \nu}+F_{\mu \nu}\right)}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=d A$ is the Maxwell field strength．A related $(n-1)$－brane action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{D B I}=-T_{p} \int d^{n} \sigma \sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(G_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{\mu \nu}$ is the induced metric（2）and $\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}$ is the antisymmetric tensor field

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \equiv F_{\mu \nu}-B_{\mu \nu} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $B_{\mu \nu}$ the pull－back of a space－time 2 －form gauge field $B$ ，

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\mu \nu}=B_{i j} \partial_{\mu} X^{i} \partial_{\nu} X^{j} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action（6）is closely related to the D－brane action，which has been the subject of much recent work［5，因，园，9，（10，11，12，16，17］and the Born－Infeld action（目） can be thought of as a special case of this，but with a different interpretation of $G_{\mu \nu}$ ．However，just as in the case of the action（5），the non－linearity of（6）makes it rather difficult to study．In particular，dualising the action（6）has proved rather difficult in this approach，and has only been achieved for $n \leq 5$［8，（3，13］．Clearly， an action analogous to（3）for this case would be very useful，and it is the aim of this paper to propose and study just such an action．

The key is to introduce a 'non-symmetric metric', in the form of an auxiliary world-volume tensor field

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mu \nu} \equiv g_{\mu \nu}+b_{\mu \nu} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with both a symmetric part $g_{\mu \nu}$ and an antisymmetric part $b_{\mu \nu}$. ${ }^{\text {U }}$ The action which is classically equivalent to (6) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma \sqrt{-k}\left[\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu}\left(G_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right)-(n-2) \Lambda\right], \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(k_{\mu \nu}\right)$; the inverse metric $\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu} k_{\nu \rho}=\delta^{\mu}{ }_{\rho} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such an action was proposed for Born-Infeld theory in [4]. For $n \neq 2$, the $k_{\mu \nu}$ field equation implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}=\Lambda k_{\nu \mu} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting back into (10) yields the Born-Infeld-type action (8) where the constants $T_{p}, T_{p}^{\prime}$ are related as in eq. (4). For $n=2$, the action (10) is invariant under the generalised Weyl transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mu \nu} \rightarrow \omega(\sigma) k_{\mu \nu} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $k_{\mu \nu}$ field equation implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}=\Omega k_{\nu \mu} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some conformal factor $\Omega$.
The action (10) is linear in $\left(G_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right)$ and so is much easier to analyse than (6). In particular, it is linear in $F$, so that $A_{\mu}$ is a Lagrange multiplier imposing the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu}\left(\sqrt{-k}\left(k^{-1}\right)^{[\mu \nu]}\right)=0 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution of this is $\sqrt{-k}\left(k^{-1}\right)^{[\mu \nu]}=\tilde{H}^{\mu \nu}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}^{\mu \nu} \equiv \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n-3}} \partial_{[\rho} \tilde{A}_{\left.\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n-3}\right]}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\tilde{A}$ is an $n-3$ form and $\epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \ldots}$ is the alternating tensor density. The anti-symmetric part of $k_{\mu \nu}$ can then in principle be solved for in terms of $\tilde{A}$, leaving a dual form of the action involving only the symmetric part of $k_{\mu \nu}$ and the dual potential $\tilde{A}$. To do this explicitly requires a judicious choice of variables, as we now show.

[^0]
## 2 Dual Actions

Instead of introducing a tensor $k_{\mu \nu}$, we introduce a tensor density $\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}$ with $\tilde{k} \equiv$ $\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}\right)$. For $n \neq 2$, the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma\left[\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}\left(G_{\mu \nu}-B_{\mu \nu}+F_{\mu \nu}\right)-(n-2)(-\tilde{k})^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \Lambda\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent to (10), as can be seen by defining a tensor $k_{\mu \nu}$ by $\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu}=(-\tilde{k})^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} \tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu} \equiv \sqrt{-k}\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating out $\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}$ yields the action (6) as before.
Integrating out the world-volume vector field $A_{\mu}$ from (17) gives $\partial_{\mu} \hat{h}^{[\mu \mu]}=0$ which is solved by $\tilde{k}^{[\mu \nu]}=\tilde{H}^{\mu \nu}$ where $\tilde{H}^{\mu \nu}$ is given in terms of an unconstrained $n-3$ form $\tilde{A}$ by (16), so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}=\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}+\tilde{H}^{\mu \nu} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symmetric tensor density $\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}$ is defined by $\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu} \equiv \tilde{k}^{(\mu \nu)}$. The action (17) then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma\left[\left(\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}+\tilde{H}^{\mu \nu}\right)\left(G_{\mu \nu}-B_{\mu \nu}\right)-(n-2) \Lambda\left(-\operatorname{det}\left[\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}+\tilde{H}^{\mu \nu}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}\right] . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a dual form of the action in which $A_{\mu}$ has been replaced by $\tilde{A}$. It contains the auxiliary symmetric tensor density $\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}$ which can in principle be integrated out; this can be done explicitly for low values of $n$, but is harder for general $n$.

We define a symmetric metric tensor $g_{\mu \nu}$ with inverse $g^{\mu \nu}$ by $g^{\mu \nu}=(-\tilde{g})^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} \tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}$ where $\tilde{g}=\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}\right)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}=\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)$, and an anti-symmetric tensor by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \tilde{H}^{\mu \nu} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}=\sqrt{-g}\left(g^{\mu \nu}+H^{\mu \nu}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{k} \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}\right)=-(-g)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \Delta, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(g, H) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(\delta_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}+H_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $H_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}=g_{\mu \rho} H^{\rho \nu}$. Then the action (20) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{D}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma \sqrt{-g}\left(g^{\mu \nu} G_{\mu \nu}+\Sigma\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma \equiv-(n-2) \Lambda \Delta^{\frac{1}{n-2}}-H^{\mu \nu} B_{\mu \nu} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action (26) is the dual form of action (17). Unfortunately, the metric dependence of $\Delta$ makes it hard to eliminate $g_{\mu \nu}$ from this action explicitly.

For $n=2$, the action (10) has the Weyl symmetry (13) and can be rewritten using a tensor density $\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{1} \int d^{2} \sigma\left\{\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}\left(G_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right)+\lambda\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}\right)+1\right]\right\} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating out $\lambda$ yields the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{k}=-1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is solved in $n=2$ dimensions by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu} \equiv \sqrt{-k}\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu}, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that one recovers the original action (10). If instead one keeps the Lagrange multiplier and integrates out the world-volume vector $A$, one finds the constraint eq. (15) again. For $n=2$, this is solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{k}^{[\mu \nu]}=\epsilon^{\mu \nu} \Lambda, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is a constant. The dual action for $n=2$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{D}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{1} \int d^{2} \sigma\left\{\left[\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}+\Lambda \epsilon^{\mu \nu}\right]\left[G_{\mu \nu}-B_{\mu \nu}\right]+\lambda\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}\right)+1+\Lambda^{2}\right]\right\} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}=\tilde{k}^{(\mu \nu)}$ and we have used the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}+\epsilon^{\mu \nu} \Lambda\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}\right)+\Lambda^{2} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating out $\lambda$ gives $\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}\right)=-1-\Lambda^{2}$, which is solved in terms of an unconstrained metric $g_{\mu \nu}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}=\sqrt{1+\Lambda^{2}} \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the action becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{D}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{1} \int d^{2} \sigma\left(\sqrt{1+\Lambda^{2}} \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} G_{\mu \nu}+\Lambda \epsilon^{\mu \nu} B_{\mu \nu}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The metric can be eliminated from this to give the dual action of ref. [5, 6, (2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{D}^{2}=-T_{1} \int d^{2} \sigma\left(\sqrt{1+\Lambda^{2}} \sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(G_{\mu \nu}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \Lambda \epsilon^{\mu \nu} B_{\mu \nu}\right) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 More Dual Actions

Consider actions given by the sum of (6) and some action $S_{F}=\int d^{n} \sigma f(F)$ which is algebraic in $F$; in the next section we will be interested in the example of D-brane actions which are of this form. Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mu \nu} \equiv G_{\mu \nu}-B_{\mu \nu}, \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

the action can be rewritten in first order form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma\left\{\sqrt{-k}\left[\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu}\left(N_{\mu \nu}+F_{\mu \nu}\right)-(n-2) \Lambda\right]+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}^{\mu \nu}\left(F_{\mu \nu}-2 \partial_{[\mu} A_{\nu]}\right)+f(F)\right\} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the anti-symmetric tensor density $\tilde{H}^{\mu \nu}$ is a Lagrange multiplier imposing $F=$ $d A$ and can be integrated out to regain the original action. Alternatively, integrating over $A_{\mu}$ imposes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} \tilde{H}^{\mu \nu}=0, \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be solved in terms of an $n-3$ form $\tilde{A}$ as before:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{(n-2)!} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n-3}} \partial_{[\rho} \tilde{A}_{\left.\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n-3}\right]} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $F$ is an auxiliary 2-form occuring algebraically; we emphasize this by rewriting $F \rightarrow L$ so that the action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma\left\{\sqrt{-k}\left[\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu}\left(N_{\mu \nu}+L_{\mu \nu}\right)-(n-2) \Lambda\right]+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}^{\mu \nu} L_{\mu \nu}+f(L)\right\} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field equation for $L_{\mu \nu}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-k}\left(k^{-1}\right)^{[\mu \nu]}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}^{\mu \nu}+\frac{\delta f}{\delta L_{\mu \nu}}=0 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f=0$, this can be used to recover the dual action (26) of the last section. More generally, if $f(L)$ is at most quartic in $L$, this can be solved to give an expression for $L_{\mu \nu}$ which can then be re-substituted in (41) to give a dual action analogous to (26). This is applicable to the D-brane actions considered in the next two sections, in which $f$ is at most quartic for $p<9$ branes.

Integrating out $k_{\mu \nu}$ from (41) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-T_{p} \int d^{n} \sigma\left\{\sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(N_{\mu \nu}+L_{\mu \nu}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}^{\mu \nu} L_{\mu \nu}+\frac{T_{p}^{\prime}}{T_{p}} f(L)\right\} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f=0$ and $n \leq 5$, the equation of motion for $L$ can be solved explicitly and the solution substituted in (41) to get the dual action (9, (13)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{D}=-T_{p} \int d^{n} \sigma\left\{\sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(G_{\mu \nu}+i K_{\mu \nu}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}^{\mu \nu} B_{\mu \nu}\right\} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mu \nu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(G_{\mu \nu}\right)}} G_{\mu \rho} G_{\nu \lambda} \tilde{H}^{\rho \lambda} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can in turn be linearised to give the equivalent action

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma\left\{\sqrt{-k}\left[\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu}\left(G_{\mu \nu}+i K_{\mu \nu}\right)-(n-2) \Lambda\right]+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}^{\mu \nu} B_{\mu \nu}\right\} . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 D-Brane Actions

The bosonic part of the effective world-volume action for a D-brane in a type II supergravity background is [5, 7, 16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=-T_{p} \int d^{n} \sigma e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(G_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right)}+T_{p} \int_{W_{n}} C e^{\mathcal{F}}, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term is of the form (6) with an extra dependence on the dilaton field $\phi$. The second term is a Wess-Zumino term and gives the coupling to the background Ramond-Ramond $r$-form gauge fields $C^{(r)}$ (where $r$ is odd for type IIA and even for type IIB). The potentials $C^{(r)}$ for $r>4$ are the duals of the potentials $C^{(8-r)}$. In (47), $C$ is the formal sum (16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{9} C^{(r)} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

all forms in space-time are pulled back to the worldvolume of the brane $W_{n}$ and it is understood that the $n$-form part of $C e^{\mathcal{F}}$, which is $C^{(n)}+C^{(n-2)} \mathcal{F}+\frac{1}{2} C^{(n-4)} \mathcal{F}^{2}+\ldots$, is selected. The case of the 9 -form potential $C^{(9)}$ is special because its equation of motion implies that the dual of its field strength is a constant $m$. This constant will be taken to be zero here, so that $C^{(9)}=0$; the more general situation will be discussed elsewhere [18].

Introducing $k_{\mu \nu}$, we obtain the classically equivalent D-brane action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma \sqrt{-k} e^{-\phi}\left[\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu}\left(G_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right)-(n-2) \Lambda\right]+T_{p} \int_{W_{n}} C e^{\mathcal{F}} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field equation for $k_{\mu \nu}$ is given in (12); substituting back into (49) yields (47). The action is of the form (38) (apart from the introduction of the dilaton) so that the dual action is (cf. (41))

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma\left\{\sqrt{-k} e^{-\phi}\left[\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu}\left(N_{\mu \nu}+L_{\mu \nu}\right)-(n-2) \Lambda\right]+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{H}^{\mu \nu} L_{\mu \nu}\right\} \\
& +T_{p} \int_{W_{n}} C e^{L-B} . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

The potential $f(L) \sim C e^{L-B}$ is a polynomial of order $[n / 2]$ in $L$ (i.e. the integer part of $n / 2$ ), so that the field equation for $L_{\mu \nu}$ (42) is of order $[n / 2]-1$ in $L$ and so should be soluble explicitly for all $n \leq 10$. In particular, it is quadratic for $n \leq 8$, so that the dual action for p -branes with $p \leq 7$ can be obtained straightforwardly. This will be discussed elsewhere [18]; here we will consider only the case in which $C^{(n-4)}=C^{(n-6)}=C^{(n-8)}=0$ so that the action is linear in $F$. Then $A$ is a Lagrange multiplier imposing the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu}\left(\sqrt{-k}\left(k^{-1}\right)^{[\mu \nu]} e^{-\phi}-\frac{2 T_{p}}{T_{p}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n-2}}\left(C^{(n-2)}\right)_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n-2}}\right)=0 . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution of this constraint is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{-k}\left(k^{-1}\right)^{[\mu \nu]} \epsilon^{-\phi}=\tilde{H}^{\mu \nu}+\frac{2 T_{p}}{T_{p}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n-2}}\left(C^{(n-2)}\right)_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{n-2}} \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu \nu} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{H}^{\mu \nu}$ is given in terms of $\tilde{A}$ by (40).
To obtain the dual action, we first express (49) in terms of a density $\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}$. For $n \neq 2$, this gives the equivalent action

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}_{1}= & -\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma e^{-\phi}\left[\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}\left(G_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right)-(n-2)(-\tilde{k})^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \Lambda\right] \\
& +T_{p} \int_{W_{n}} C^{(n)}+C^{(n-2)} \mathcal{F} . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating out $\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}$ yields the action (47), while integrating out $A$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}=\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}+\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu \nu} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu \nu}$ is given in terms of $\tilde{A}$ and $C^{(n-2)}$ by eq. (52), and $\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}$ is a symmetric tensor density. The action (53) can be written in terms of tensors $g^{\mu \nu}=(-\tilde{g})^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} \tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}$ (with $\tilde{g}=\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}\right)$ ) and $\mathcal{H}^{\mu \nu}=(-g)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{\mu \nu}$ (with $g=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)$ ) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}_{1 D}= & -\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-g}\left[\left(g^{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{H}^{\mu \nu}\right) N_{\mu \nu}-(n-2) \Omega^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \Lambda\right] \\
& +T_{p} \int_{W_{n}} C^{(n)}-C^{(n-2)} B, \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(\delta_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}+\mathcal{H}_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{H}_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}=\left(\tilde{g}^{-1}\right)_{\mu \rho} \mathcal{H}^{\rho \nu}$. The action (55) is the dual form of action (49). Again, the dependence of $\Omega$ on the metric $g_{\mu \nu}$ makes the elimination of the latter from the action difficult, although possible in principle.

For $n=2$, the action (49) still possesses the generalised Weyl symmetry (13) and can be rewritten in terms of a tensor density $\widetilde{k}^{\mu \nu}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{1}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{1} \int d^{2} \sigma e^{-\phi}\left[\tilde{k}^{\mu \nu}\left(N_{\mu \nu}+F_{\mu \nu}\right)+\lambda(\tilde{k}+1)\right]+T_{1} \int_{W_{2}} C^{(2)}+C^{(0)} \mathcal{F} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating out $\lambda$ yields the constraint $\tilde{k}=-1$, which is solved by eq. (30), so that one recovers the original action. Keeping the Lagrange multiplier and integrating out $A$ one finds the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu}\left(e^{-\phi} \tilde{k}^{[\mu \nu]}-2 \epsilon^{\mu \nu} C^{(0)}\right)=0, \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for $n=2$ is solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\phi \tilde{k}^{[\mu \nu]}}=\epsilon^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{E}, \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E} \equiv \tilde{\Lambda}+2 C^{(0)} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a constant. The dual action for $n=2$ is then

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{S}_{1 D}^{2}= & -\frac{1}{2} T_{1} \int d^{2} \sigma e^{-\phi}\left[\left(\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}+\epsilon^{\phi} \epsilon^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{E}\right) N_{\mu \nu}+\lambda\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}\right)+1+e^{2 \phi} \mathcal{E}^{2}\right)\right] \\
& +T_{1} \int_{W_{2}} C^{(2)}-C^{(0)} B, \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{g}^{\mu \nu}=\tilde{k}^{(\mu \nu)}$. Integrating out $\lambda$ gives the dual action in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{1} \int d^{2} \sigma \sqrt{e^{-2 \phi}+\mathcal{E}^{2}} \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} G_{\mu \nu}+T_{1} \int_{W_{2}} C^{(2)}+\left(\mathcal{E}-C^{(0)}\right) B . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, integrating out $g_{\mu \nu}$ gives the action (4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{2}=-T_{1} \int d^{2} \sigma \sqrt{\epsilon^{-2 \phi}+\mathcal{E}^{2}} \sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(G_{\mu \nu}\right)}+T_{1} \int_{W_{2}} C^{(2)}+\left(\mathcal{E}-C^{(0)}\right) B \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 Supersymmetric D-Brane Actions

The new actions discussed above can be extended to supersymmetric D-brane actions with local kappa symmetry equivalent to those presented in refs. [10, (17, 11, 12] at the classical level.

The (flat) superspace coordinates are the $D=10$ space-time coordinates $X^{i}$ and the Grassmann coordinates $\theta$, which are space-time spinors and world-volume scalars. For the type IIA superstring (even $p$ ), $\theta$ is Majorana but not Weyl while in the IIB superstring there are two Majorana-Weyl spinors $\theta_{\alpha}(\alpha=1,2)$ of the same chirality. The superspace (global) supersymmetry transformations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\epsilon} \theta=\epsilon, \quad \delta_{\epsilon} X^{i}=\bar{\epsilon} \Gamma^{i} \theta . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The world-volume theory has global type IIA or type IIB super-Poincaré symmetry and is constructed using the supersymmetric one-forms $\partial_{\mu} \theta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\mu}^{i}=\partial_{\mu} X^{i}-\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{i} \partial_{\mu} \theta \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The induced world-volume metric is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mu \nu}=G_{i j} \Pi_{\mu}^{i} \Pi_{\nu}^{j} . \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The supersymmetric world-volume gauge field-strength two form $\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}$ is given by (7) for the following choice of the two form $B$ [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=-\bar{\theta} \Gamma_{11} \Gamma_{i} d \theta\left(d X^{i}+\frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{i} d \theta\right) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $p$ is even or the same formula with $\Gamma_{11}$ replaced with the Pauli matrix $\tau_{3}$ when $p$ is odd. With the choice (67), $\delta_{\epsilon} B$ is an exact two-form and $\mathcal{F}$ is supersymmetric for an appropriate choice of $\delta_{\epsilon} A$ [12.

The effective world-volume action for a D-brane in flat superspace with constant dilaton is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{D B I}=-T_{p} \int d^{n} \sigma e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(G_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right)}+T_{p} \int_{W_{n}} C e^{\mathcal{F}}, \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is formally of the same form as (47). Again $C$ represents a complex of differential forms $C^{(r)}$, as in (48), but now the $r$-forms $C^{(r)}$ are the pull-backs of superspace forms $C^{(r)}=d \bar{\theta} T^{(r-2)} d \theta$ for certain $r-2$ forms $T^{(r-2)}$ given explicitly in 110, 17, 11, 12. This action is supersymmetric and invariant under local kappa symmetry [10, 17, 11, 12].

A classically equivalent form of the D-brane action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{P}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{p}^{\prime} \int d^{n} \sigma e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-k}\left[\left(k^{-1}\right)^{\mu \nu}\left(G_{\mu \nu}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right)-(n-2) \Lambda\right]+T_{p} \int_{W_{n}} C e^{\mathcal{F}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action is of the same form as (49) and can be dualised to give (50) for $n \neq 2$ or (61) for $n=2$.
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