Multifractal Spectra of Branching Measure on a Galton-Watson Tree

Narn-Rueih SHIEH

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University Taipei, Taiwan

E-mail: shiehnr@math.ntu.edu.tw

and

S. James TAYLOR

School of Mathematics, University of Sussex Falmer, Brighton, England E-mail: SJT4K@aol.com

Abstract

If Z is the branching mechanism for a supercritical Glaton-Watson tree with a single progenitor and $E[Z\log Z]<\infty$, there is a branching measure μ defined on $\partial\Gamma$ the set of all path ξ which has a unique node $\xi|n$ at each generation n. We use the natural metric $\rho(\xi,\eta)=e^{-n}$ where $n=\max\{k:\xi|k=\eta|k\}$ and observe that the local dimension index

$$d(\mu.\xi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu(B(\xi|n))}{-n} = \alpha = \log m, \quad \mu - a.e. \quad \xi.$$

Our objective is to consider the exceptional points where the above display may fail. There is a non-trivial "thin" spectrum for $\overline{d}(\mu,\xi)$ when $p_1=P\{Z=1\}>0$ and Z has finite moments of all positive orders. Because $\underline{d}(\mu,\xi)=\alpha$ for all ξ , we obtain a "thick" spectrum by introducing the "right" power of a logarithm. In both cases we find the Hausdorff dimension of the exceptional sets.

Running Title: Multifractal spectra on GWT

AMS Subject Classifications (1991): Primary 60G17, 60J80

Key words: branching measure, Galton-Watson tree,

Hausdorff dimension, packing dimension, multifractal spectrum.

1 Introduction

We are interested in supercritical Galton-Watson trees with a single progenitor. Let $Z=\{p_0,p_1,\cdots\}$ be the offspring distribution of a Galton-Watson branching process, defined on a probability space (Ω,P) , with mean $m=\sum jp_j>1$ and $\Gamma=\Gamma(\omega)$ denote the associated family tree. Let μ be the branching measure on the boundary $\partial\Gamma$; see Section 2 for more detailed descriptions. We remark that μ is a random measure on the (random) tree Γ and our object in this paper is to find properties of the multifractal spectra of μ which are true with probability one. Put

$$\alpha = \log m > 0$$
.

It is already known that, with probability one,

$$d(\mu,\xi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \mu B(\xi|n)}{n} = -\alpha \quad \mu - a.e. \ \xi \in \partial \Gamma,$$

which can be translated, using the natural metric in $\partial\Gamma$, to

$$d(\mu,\xi) = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\log \mu B(\xi,r)}{\log r} = \alpha, \quad \mu - a.e. \quad \xi.$$

The above display is the usual starting point for multifractal analysis of a locally finite Borel measure.

In a recent paper Liu[L3] showed that, if $p_0 = p_1 = 0$ and Z has finite moments of all orders then, with probability one,

$$d(\mu, \xi) = \alpha, \quad \forall \xi.$$

Thus the ordinary multifractal spectrum is trivial in this case. However, even in this case, as was shown for the occupation measure of stable subordinator in Shieh-Taylor[ST] and of Brownian motion in Dembo-Peres-Rosen-Zeitouni[DPRZ], one can observe a non-trivial spectrum for "thick" points, by introducing an appropriate power of a logarithm. Results of this type are obtained in Section 4.

In [L3], Liu also observed that, if Z has finite moments of all positive orders, then

$$\underline{d}(\mu,\xi) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log \mu B(\xi|n)}{n} = \alpha \quad \forall \xi \in \partial \Gamma,$$

which implies that for $\beta \neq \alpha$ the set

$$E_{\beta} = \{ \xi \in \partial \Gamma : d(\mu, \xi) = \beta \}$$
 is empty,

so that the standard multifractal formalism cannot yield a spectrum. However, as in Perkins-Taylor[PT] for super-Brownian motion and Hu-Taylor[HT] for stable occupation measure, we prove that, when $0 < p_1 < 1$, there is a non-trivial spectrum for

$$\overline{d}(\mu, \xi) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log \mu B(\xi|n)}{n}.$$

In Section 5, we obtain the Hausdorff dimension of

$$C_{\beta} = \{ \xi : \overline{d}(\mu, \xi) \ge \beta \},$$

$$D_{\beta} = \{ \xi : \overline{d}(\mu, \xi) = \beta \},$$

for an interval of values of β in which these sets are non-empty. In both Sections 4 and 5, our method also yields the packing dimension of the relevant sets.

In this paper we again make use of the strong spherical porosity conditions first defined in [PT]. Section 2 defines this condition and its meaning on $\partial\Gamma$; in addition we recall the necessary preliminary definitions and results for Galton-Watson trees. In Dembo-Peres-Rosen-Zeitouni[DPRZ], and Khoshnevisan-Peres-Xiao[KPX], it is pointed out that many exceptional sets examined in random phenomena are of limsup type; they provide a useful theorem giving a lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of such sets. The exceptional sets which we examine now on $\partial\Gamma$ are again of limsup type. We therefore develop a version of the main theorem in [DPRZ], proved there for Euclidean cubes, which is valid in the context of a Galton-Watson tree. This is done in Section 3, and is used in both Sections 4 and 5.

2 Preliminaries

We begin with notation and results for Galton-Watson trees which we need in this paper; these are adapted from Pemantle-Peres[PP]. Let $Z=\{p_0,p_1,\cdots\}$ be the offspring distribution of a Galton-Watson branching process. We assume that $m:=\sum_j jp_j<\infty$ and that $p_0=0,p_1<1$; thus $1< m<\infty$, that is we are in the supercritical case(As pointed out in [PP], if $p_0>0$ and m>1 there is positive probability that Γ is finite. All our results remain true, conditioned on the event that Γ is infinite. However we impose $p_0=0$ to eliminate the complication of conditioning). We also assume that Z is not

a constant, that is $p_j < 1$, $\forall j$. Associated with each realization of the process, we have a (random) family tree, called a Galton-Watson tree(GWT), which we denote by $\Gamma = \Gamma(\omega)$. Let Γ_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ be the n-th level(generation) so that $\Gamma = \bigcup_n \Gamma_n$. Let Z_n denote the cardinal number of Γ_n and we assume that $Z_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ (single progenitor). Assume moreover that $E[Z \log Z] < \infty$, then the limit

$$W := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{Z_n}{m^n}$$

exists and is finite and positive a.s., see Artheya-Ney[AN]. For a GWT Γ there is associated a natural boundary $\partial \Gamma$, which is defined as the set of infinite self-avoiding paths from \emptyset through the tree; we denote by ξ a generic point in $\partial \Gamma$. For $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$, $|\sigma| = n$ denotes its length and $B(\sigma) = B(\sigma, r)$, $r = e^{-n}$, denotes the "ball" $\{\xi \in \partial \Gamma : \sigma \text{ is the ancestor of } \xi \text{ in } \Gamma_n\}$. We also use $\xi|n$ to denote the ancestor in Γ_n of an $\xi \in \partial \Gamma$. We remark that $\partial \Gamma$ is a compact metric space under the metric $d(\xi_1, \xi_2) = e^{-n}$, where $n = \max\{k : \xi_1|k = \xi_2|k\}$. In this metric, the subtree consisting of the vertex $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$ and all its descendants is a ball in $\partial \Gamma$ of diameter of e^{-n} , as denoted above. Let $W(\sigma)$ be the shifted W at the vertex σ , that is

$$W(\sigma) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{card}\{\eta \in \Gamma_n : \sigma \text{ is the ancester of } \eta\}}{m^{n-|\sigma|}}.$$

Since Γ is a countable set, $W(\sigma)$ exists for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$ with probability one. By assuming the existence of $W(\sigma)$, we define branching measure on $\partial \Gamma$ as the unique (random) Borel measure μ on $\partial \Gamma$ such that

$$\mu B(\sigma) = m^{-n} W_{\sigma}, \quad \sigma \in \Gamma_n.$$

Note that $W(\sigma), \sigma \in \Gamma_n$, are iid with the same distribution as W, conditional on $Z_j, j \leq n$. Thus, the above display reflects the statistical self-similarity of the measure μ .

In Perkins-Taylor[PT], the notion of a $\gamma - thin$ set for $\gamma > 1$ was defined. The definition was for \mathbb{R}^d but it translates to any metric space, so we define it for $\partial \Gamma$.

Definition 2.1 Fix $\gamma > 1$. We say $E \subset \partial \Gamma$ is $\gamma - thin$ at ξ if there is a sequence $r_i \downarrow 0$ such that

$$E \cap [B(\xi, r_i) \backslash B(\xi, r_i^{\gamma})] = \emptyset \quad \forall i.$$

We say E is a γ - thin set if E is γ - thin at each $\xi \in E$.

The nature of our metric on $\partial\Gamma$ then asserts that $\partial\Gamma$ is $\gamma - thin$ at ξ if and only if

$$B(\xi|n_i) = B(\xi|[\gamma n_i])$$

for an increasing sequence of positive integers $n_i([\cdot]]$: the greatest integer part). This is equivelent to saying that $\xi|n\in\Gamma_n$ has exactly one descendant in Γ_{n+1} for $n_i\leq n\leq [\gamma n_i]$, $i=1,2,\ldots$, This shows that $\partial\Gamma$ can have γ -thin points only if $p_1>0$, and in this case we denote the set of all γ -thin points for $\partial\Gamma$ by S_{γ} .

We now recall some facts first proved in [PT] for Euclidean space. It is easy to check that the results remain true for $\partial\Gamma$.

Lemma 2.1 For any γ – thin set $E \subset \partial \Gamma$, we have

$$Dim E \ge \gamma dim E$$
.

Here, Dim stands for packing dimension and dim stands for Hausdorff dimension. One can refer to [PP] for the detailed definitions and properties of Dim and dim on GWT's.

Lemma 2.2 Let ν be any Borel measure on $\partial\Gamma$ and its support be $S=S(\nu)$. If $A\subset S$ is $\gamma-thin$, and

$$\underline{d}(\nu, x) \ge a \quad \forall x \in A,$$

then,

$$\overline{d}(\nu, x) \ge \gamma a \quad \forall x \in A.$$

We note that, in the case of branching measure μ , with probability one, every ball $B(\sigma)$ has positive μ measure. Hence $S(\mu) = \partial \Gamma$. We will see that there is a range of values of γ for which $S_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$, provided some simple conditions are satisfied.

We mention that the metric space $\partial\Gamma$ has fractal dimension α : with probability one,

$$\dim \partial \Gamma = \operatorname{Dim} \partial \Gamma = \alpha.$$

3 Limsup fractals on Galton-Watson Trees

The following two propositions are a version of Theorem 2.1 of [DPRZ] for GWT. Firstly we note that $\partial\Gamma$ can be regarded as a random subset of the infinite sequence space \mathbb{N}^{∞} .

We define a random mapping Ψ on $\mathbb{N}^{\infty} \times \Omega$ so that $\Psi(B,\omega) = 0$, whenver $B \not\subset \partial \Gamma(\omega)$, and that $Z(B,\omega)$ is $\{0,1\}$ - valued, when $B \subset \partial \Gamma(\omega)$. Set

$$A = \limsup_{n} A(n),$$

where

$$A(n) = \bigcup_{\Psi(B(\sigma))=1, \quad \sigma \in \Gamma_n} B(\sigma).$$

Proposition 3.1 Assume that

(i) the random variables $\Psi(B(\sigma))$, $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$, are independent;

(ii) the expectation

$$q_n := E[\Psi(B(\sigma))] = P\{\Psi(B(\sigma)) = 1\}$$

is the same for all $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$, and

(iii) there is a sequence of pointive integers n_k which increase to ∞ rapidly enough so that $m^{2n_k} \leq n_{k+1}$, $\forall k$, such that q_n satisfies the following lower bound estimate,

$$cm^{-\delta n_k} \leq q_{n_k} \quad \forall k,$$

where c is some absolute constant and $0 < \delta < 1$. Then, with probability one, the limsup set A defined above has infinite Hausdorff ϕ -measure, ϕ -m(A) = $+\infty$, where the gauge function ϕ is defined by

$$\phi(x) = x^{(1-\delta)\alpha} (\log(1/x))^b, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad b > 2.$$

In particular, $\dim A \geq (1 - \delta)\alpha$.

Proposition 3.2 Under the conditions of Proposition 3.1, with probability one,

$$Dim A = \alpha$$
.

Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 can be proved using the methods of [DPRZ]. We remark that we do not need a condition which bounds the correlation since the sub-trees $B(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$, are completely independent. We need modifications because we are now working on a random tree, rather than the binary tree; these can be made by suitable use of conditional independence. Moreover, the third condition in Proposition 3.1 is stated as required for all large n in [DPRZ], yet in fact it is only needed for a sufficiently rapidly increasing sequence. We will leave the details to readers. We also mention that Theorem 2.1 of [DPRZ] has been further refined in [KPX].

4 Dimension Spectrum for thick behavior

From Section 1, we know that the "typical behavior" of the branching measure μ on $B(\sigma), \sigma \in \Gamma_n$, is m^{-n} , for all n large enough. To describe the behavior of μ which is "thicker", we introduce the following two (random) sets

$$A_{\theta} := \left\{ \xi \in \partial \Gamma : \limsup_{n} \frac{\mu(B(\xi|n))}{m^{-n}n^{\lambda}} \ge \theta \right\},$$

and

$$B_{\theta} := \left\{ \xi \in \partial \Gamma : \limsup_{n} \frac{\mu(B(\xi|n))}{m^{-n}n^{\lambda}} = \theta \right\}.$$

In the above, λ is defined by

$$\lambda := 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\log||Z||_{\infty}},$$

where $||\cdot||_{\infty}$ is the sup norm of the underlying probability space. Note that $0 < \lambda \le 1$, and $\lambda < 1$ if and only if Z is a finite distribution. To describe the dimension spectrum of A_{θ} and B_{θ} , we need the following two parameters

$$r_0 := \liminf_{x \to \infty} \frac{-\log P[W > x]}{x^{1/\lambda}},$$

 $r := \text{ the one such that } P[W > x] \approx e^{-rx^{1/\lambda}}, \text{ as } x \uparrow \infty,$

here $a \approx b$ means that there are c_1, c_2 such that $c_1 a \leq b \leq c_2 a$. To discuss the dimension spectrum of A_{θ} , we assume that r_0 is finite and positive, which is a quite mild assumption as we can see from Lemma 4.1 below. To discuss the dimension spectrum of B_{θ} , we need to impose the stronger assumption that r exists, and is finite and positive. This is a strong condition, yet it holds for the interesting case that Z has a geometric distribution, which makes W have an exponential distribution and then $\lambda = r = 1$. It holds also for the case in which W has a gamma distribution, see Harris[H, p17]. Now we state our dimension spectrum separately for A_{θ} and B_{θ} .

Theorem 4.1 Assume that ro is finite and positive, then, with probability one,

$$\dim A_{\theta} = \alpha - r_0 \theta^{1/\lambda}, \quad 0 \le \theta \le \left(\frac{\alpha}{r_0}\right)^{\lambda}.$$

Theorem 4.2 Assume that r exists, and is finite and positive, then, with probability one,

$$\dim B_{\theta} = \alpha - r\theta^{1/\lambda}, \quad 0 \le \theta \le \left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)^{\lambda}.$$

Moreover, under the assumption of Theorem 4.1, resp. Theorem 4.2,

$$\mathrm{Dim}A_{\theta} = \alpha, \quad 0 < \theta < \left(\frac{\alpha}{r_0}\right)^{\lambda},$$
 $resp. \ \mathrm{Dim}B_{\theta} = \alpha, \quad 0 < \theta < \left(\frac{\alpha}{r}\right)^{\lambda}.$

Remark 1. Since $B_{\theta} \subset A_{\theta}$ and r is necessarily equal to r_0 under the existence assumption, Theorem 4.2 has a stronger assertion under stronger assumption, compared with Theorem 4.1.

Remark 2. By the following uniform law for μ proved in Liu-Shieh[LS],

$$\limsup_n \sup_{\xi \in \partial \Gamma} \frac{\mu(B(\xi|n))}{m^{-n}n^{\lambda}} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{r_0}\right)^{\lambda},$$

the set $B_{\theta} = \emptyset$ for $\theta > \left(\frac{\alpha}{r_0}\right)^{\lambda}$. Thus, the range for θ in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 is exact.

Firstly we state a lemma giving conditions which imply that r_0 is finite and positive. The lemma is a direct consequence of Liu[L1 Theorem 3.1 and L2], however it can be deduced from earlier results.

Lemma 4.1 The parameter r_0 is finite and positive, when $\lambda < 1$, or when $\lambda = 1$ and $E[e^{tZ}] < \infty$ for some, but not for all, t > 0.

Proofs of Theorems. We concentrate first on the Hausdorff dimension, dim. We begin with the discussion of the extreme cases $\theta=0$ and $\theta=\left(\frac{\alpha}{r_0}\right)^{\lambda}$. For $\theta=0$, the asserton $\dim A_{\theta}=\dim B_{\theta}=\alpha$ is merely a consequence of the well-known result that $\dim \mu=\log m$ a.s.; see Hawkes[H] and Lyons-Pemantle-Peres[LPP]. For $\theta=\left(\frac{\alpha}{r_0}\right)^{\lambda}$, it is a consequence of letting a sequence θ_k strictly increase to θ and proving the spectrum for θ_k . Therefore, henceafter we assume that θ is not at the endpoints of the range in the theorems.

To prove the upper bound of dim it suffices to show that, for any $b > \alpha - r_0 \theta^{1/\lambda}$, the Hausdorff b-dimensional measure, b - m, of A_{θ} is zero. This proof is standard and was given in [LS, Section 3]; we include the proof here for completeness. We observe that, for $\epsilon: 0 < \epsilon < \theta$ and positive integer k,

$$A_{\theta} \subset \bigcup_{n \geq k} \Big\{ \xi \in \partial \Gamma : \frac{\mu(B(\xi|n))}{m^{-n}n^{\lambda}} > (\theta - \epsilon)C \Big\},$$

where $C = \left(\frac{\alpha}{r_0}\right)^{\lambda}$. We consider the pre-Hausdorff b-dimensional measure at level k,

$$(b-m)_k(A_\theta) = \inf \Big\{ \sum_{\sigma} |B(\sigma)|^b : A \subset \cup B(\sigma), |\sigma| \ge k, \forall \sigma \Big\}.$$

Recall that $|B(\sigma)| = e^{-k}$ when $\sigma \in \Gamma_k$. Let I_k denote the random variable defined by

$$I_k = \sum_{|\sigma|=n} |B_{\sigma}| 1 \left\{ \frac{\mu(B(\xi|n))}{m^{-n} n^{\lambda}} > (\theta - \epsilon)C \right\},$$

then, using the definition of r_0 we see that

$$EI_k \le \sum_{n>k} e^{-(b-\alpha)n} e^{-(r_0-\epsilon)(\theta-\epsilon)^{1/\lambda} C^{1/\lambda} n},$$

when $k = k(\epsilon)$ is large enough. The series in the above display is convergent, so that I_k tends to 0 a.s. as $k \uparrow \infty$. Since ϵ is arbitrary, we conclude that $b - m(A_{\theta}) = 0$.

To obtain the lower bound of dim, let r_1 be such that $r_0 < r_1$ and $\theta < \left(\frac{\alpha}{r_1}\right)^{\lambda}$. We prove that $\dim A_{\theta} \geq \alpha - r_1 \theta^{1/\lambda}$ by proving that A_{θ} has infinite Hausdorff ϕ -measure, where

$$\phi(x) = x^{\alpha - r_1 \theta^{1/\lambda}} (\log(1/x))^b, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad b > 2.$$

We apply Proposition 3.1 in the following way. Define the random mapping $\Psi(B,\omega)$, $B \subset \mathbb{N}^{\infty}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, to be 1, only when $B = B(\sigma), \sigma \in \partial \Gamma_n(\omega)$ and when $W(\sigma,\omega) > n^{\lambda}\theta$; otherwise Ψ takes value 0. Thus $q_n = E[\Psi(B(\sigma))] = P[W > n^{\lambda}\theta]$. By our definition of r_0 as a liminf and our choice of r_1 there exists a sequence $n_k \uparrow \infty$ such that $q_n \geq e^{-r_1n\theta^{1/\lambda}}$, $\forall n = n_k$. We may well assume that n_k satisfies the rapid growth condition in Proposition 3.1. Therefore Theorem 4.1 is an application of Proposition 3.1 with $\delta = \alpha^{-1}r_1\theta^{1/\lambda}$ there, and that r_1 can be arbitrarily close to r_0 . To prove the lower bound for B_{θ} , we need to use a strategy first used in [PT]. Under the stronger assumption on the existence of r, let now

$$\phi(x) = x^{\alpha - \tau \theta^{1/\lambda}} (\log(1/x))^b, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad b > 2.$$

Then the above arguments assert that Hausdorff ϕ -measure of A_{θ} is infinite while, by the upper bound proof given in the above, that of $A_{\theta+1/k}$ is 0 for all $k=1,2,\cdots$. Thus, $B_{\theta}=A_{\theta}\setminus \bigcup_k A_{\theta+1/k}$ is also of infinite Hausdorff ϕ -measure; in particular we get the desired lower bound for B_{θ} .

Application of Proposition 3.2 gives the assertion for Dim. \square

Remark. We believe that the stronger assumption in Theorem 4.2 for B_{θ} is not needed; a proof would require a version of the limsup theorem based on Corollary 3.3 of [KPX], in which the target set satisfies a regularity condition so that we can use the value of q_n in Proposition 3.1 on a subsequence. We have not formulated a precise theorem, so in this paper we use the methods of [DPRZ].

5 Multifractal spectrum for thin behavior

The reader is reminded that smaller than usual branching behavior is reflected in large values of $\overline{d}(\mu,\xi)$ defined in Section 1. As we have seen in Section 1 that $d(\mu,\xi)$, and hence so is $\overline{d}(\mu.\xi)$, is equal to α for all ξ whenever $p_0=p_1=0$; thus there is only a trivial mulftifractal spectrum in this case. In this section, we prove that, whenever $0 < p_1$ there is an interesting spectrum for $\overline{d}(\mu,\xi)$ (we always assume that $p_0=0$ and that $p_j < 1$, $\forall j$). We need the following lemma which is in Liu[L3].

Lemma 5.1 ([L3, Theorem 4.1(ii)) If Z has finite moments of all positive orders, then, with probability one,

$$\underline{d}(\mu, \xi) = \alpha, \quad \forall \xi \in \partial \Gamma.$$

We introduce a new paremeter needed in this section, assuming that $p_1 > 0$.

$$\tau = -\frac{\log p_1}{\alpha}.$$

Note that

$$p_1 = e^{-\tau \alpha}.$$

The following small tail distribution of W is known from Bingham[B, p. 217].

$$(5.1) P[W \le x] \approx x^{\tau}, \quad x \downarrow 0.$$

We first observe that the probability of a long string of vertices giving rise to a single branch leads to the same estimate for $P[W \leq x]$. For, if k > n and $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$, then the event E that there is only one $\eta \in \Gamma_k$ descended from σ is

(5.2)
$$P(E) = p_1^{k-n}.$$

Now conditional on E, $W_{\eta} = m^{k-n}W_{\sigma}$, so that

$$P[W_{\sigma} \le m^{-(k-n)}|E] = P[W_{\eta} \le 1].$$

Thus, we have

Lemma 5.2 Under the above conditions, if $x \approx m^{-(k-n)}$, and E is the event that each vertex starting with $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$ has only one descendant up to $\eta \in \Gamma_k$, then

$$1 \ge \frac{P[\{W_{\sigma} \le x\} \cap E]}{P(E)} \ge c_3.$$

We now see how to obtain an efficient cover for points in the thin spectrum.

Lemma 5.3 Suppose $\gamma > 1, 0 < \epsilon < (\gamma - 1)/3$. Then, with probability one, there is an $n_0 = n_0(\omega)$ such that every vertex $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$ with $n \ge n_0$ such that $W_{\sigma} \le e^{-(\gamma - 1)\alpha n}$ has fewer than $e^{\epsilon \alpha n}$ descendants at the level $k = [(\gamma - \epsilon)n]$.

Proof. For each $\eta \in \Gamma_k$ descended from $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$ such that $W_{\sigma} \leq e^{-(\gamma-1)an}$, it is seen that

$$W_n \le e^{-\epsilon \alpha n}$$
.

By (5.1), the above has probability $\leq c_2 e^{-\epsilon \alpha \tau n}$. Putting N_{σ} as the number of descendants of σ at level k, we have then

$$P[N_{\sigma} > e^{\epsilon \alpha n} | W_{\sigma} \le e^{-(\gamma - 1)\alpha n}] \le [c_2 e^{-\epsilon \alpha \tau n}]^{e^{\epsilon \alpha n}},$$

in which we have used the fact that W_{η} for distinct $\eta \in \Gamma_k$ are iid. Recall that Z_n counts the vertices $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$, thus the expected number of σ such that $W_{\sigma} \leq e^{-(\gamma-1)\alpha n}$ and $N_{\sigma} > e^{\epsilon \alpha n}$ is

$$\leq E[Z_n] \cdot c_2 e^{-\epsilon \alpha \tau n \cdot e^{\epsilon \alpha n}}$$

Since $E[Z_n] = e^{\alpha n}$ we deduce that the probability that there is at least one such vertex is bounded by $c_2 e^{\alpha n(1-\epsilon \tau \cdot e^{\epsilon \alpha n})}$, which is the general term of a convergent series. By the Borel-Cantelli Lemma we have proved the lemma.

Lemma 5.4 If $0 < p_1 < 1$, then, with probability one,

(5.3)
$$\dim C_{\beta} \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\alpha}{\beta}(1+\tau) - \tau\right], \quad \alpha \leq \beta,$$

where $C_{\beta} = \{ \xi \in \partial \Gamma : \overline{d}(\mu, \xi) \geq \beta \}.$

When $\beta > \alpha(1 + 1/\tau)$, the right hand side of (5.3) is negative, and we interpret this as stating that $C_{\beta} = \emptyset$.

Proof. (i) When $\beta = \alpha$, (5.3) is immediate.

(ii) When $\beta > \alpha(1+1/\tau)$, we will prove that $C_{\beta} = \emptyset$ a.s. Take ζ such that $\beta > \zeta > \alpha(1+1/\tau)$, then $\overline{d}(\mu,\xi) \geq \beta$ implies that $\mu B(\xi|n) < e^{-\zeta n}$ for infinitely many integers n. The expected number of those $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$ for which $W_{\sigma} < e^{(\alpha-\zeta)}$ is

$$E[Z_n] \cdot P[W < e^{(\alpha-\zeta)}] = e^{(\alpha+(\alpha-\zeta)\tau)n},$$

which is a negative power of e^n . By Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we deduce that, for $n \ge n_1 = n_1(\omega)$

$$\mu B(\xi|n) \ge e^{-\zeta n}, \quad \forall \xi.$$

By letting $\beta \downarrow \alpha(1+1/\tau)$ through a countable set, we deduce that, with probability one,

$$\overline{d}(\mu,\xi) \le \alpha(1+1/\tau), \quad \forall \xi \in \partial \Gamma.$$

(iii) Now suppose that $\alpha < \beta < \alpha(1+1/\tau)$. Put $\gamma = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} > 1$. Instead of covering the vertices $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$ where $\mu B(\xi|n) < e^{-\beta n}$ by balls of diameter e^{-n} we use the descendant vertices at level $k = [(\gamma - \epsilon)n]$ which can be covered by balls of diameters e^{-k} . By Lemma 5.3, when n is large enough the number of such vertices is less than $e^{\epsilon \alpha n}$ so that the total number needed will be at most $e^{\epsilon \alpha n} \cdot T_n$ where T_n is the number of those $\sigma \in \Gamma_n$ for which $W_{\sigma} < e^{-(\beta - \alpha)n}$. Now $E[T_n] = m^n \cdot e^{-(\beta - \alpha)\tau n}$, so that we obtain

$$E[s^{\delta} - m(C_{\beta})] \leq \sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} e^{-[(\gamma - \epsilon)n]\delta + \epsilon \alpha n - (\beta - \alpha)\tau n + \alpha n},$$

where n_1 is arbitrary. If the power of of e^n in this series is negative, we deduce that $s^{\delta} - m(C_{\beta}) = 0$ a.s. This will be true if

$$\delta > \delta_{\epsilon} := \frac{1 + \epsilon - (\gamma - 1)\tau}{\gamma - \epsilon} \cdot \alpha.$$

Letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ through a countable set, we see that $s^{\delta} - m(C_{\beta}) = 0$ a.s. for $\delta \geq \frac{(1 - (\gamma - 1)\tau)\alpha}{\gamma}$. Substituing $\gamma = \beta/\alpha$ we prove the assertion. \square .

We are now ready to prove that (5.3) gives the right answer for $\dim C_{\beta}$. However, if we are to obtain the same answer for $\dim D_{\beta}$, as in Section 4, we need to find a gauge function $\phi(s) = s^{\Delta}L(s)$ with L(s) slowly varying, such that $\phi - m(C_{\beta}) = \infty$. We will prove this by applying Proposition 3.1, and the strategy is the same as that used in [PT]: we find a random Cantor-like subset T_{γ} which is γ -thin and use Proposition 3.1 to find

its Hausdorff ϕ — measure. This set $T_{\gamma} \subset C_{\beta}$ by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 5.1. In order to apply Proposition 3.1, fix a $\gamma > 1$, we define the random mapping Ψ there by defining $\Psi(B,\omega) = 1$ if and only if $B = B(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in \Gamma_n(\omega)$ is such that its ancestor in $\Gamma_{[n/\gamma]-1}(\omega)$ has a string of single branches stretching to σ . Denote the limsup set A there now by T_{γ} . By (5.2), the probability q_n in Proposition 3.1 is now

$$q_n \ge c \cdot p_1^{(1-1/\gamma)n} \cdot e^{\alpha(1-1/\gamma)n} = c \cdot e^{\alpha n(1-1/\gamma)(\tau+1)}$$

We remark that the third factor in the middle term of the above display comes from the expected number of all the possible ancestors in $\Gamma_{[n/\gamma]-1}$, given an element in Γ_n . We can now calculate the δ in Proposition 3.1. Note that T_{γ} is clearly a γ -thin subset of $\partial\Gamma$ by the construction. Thus we have

Lemma 5.5 Assume that $0 < p_1 < 1$ and Z has finite moments of all positive orders; let β be fixed, $\alpha < \beta < (1+1/\tau)\alpha$, and define $\gamma = \beta/\alpha$. Then the Hausdorff measure of the γ -thin set T_{γ} defined above satisfies $\phi - m(T_{\gamma}) = +\infty$, where the gauge function ϕ is $\phi(x) = x^{\Delta}(\log(1/x))^3$, with

$$\Delta = \alpha \left[\frac{1}{\gamma}(1+\tau) - \tau\right]$$

We can now state our main decomposition.

Theorem 5.1 If $0 < p_1 < 1$ and Z has finite moments of all positive orders, then the branching measure μ has the following propoerties, with probability one. Set

$$C_{\beta} = \{ \xi : \overline{d}(\mu, \xi) \ge \beta \}, D_{\beta} = \{ \xi : \overline{d}(\mu, \xi) = \beta \},$$

then

- (a) C_{β} and therefore D_{β} is empty for $\beta > \alpha(1 + \frac{1}{\tau})$.
- (b) D_{β} is non-empty for $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \alpha(1+\frac{1}{\tau})$, and in this range

$$\dim C_{\beta} = \dim D_{\beta} = \alpha \left[\frac{\alpha}{\beta} (1 + \tau) - \tau \right]$$

$$\operatorname{Dim} C_{\beta} = \operatorname{Dim} D_{\beta} = \alpha.$$

Proof. By Lemmas 2.2 and 5.1, $T_{\gamma} \subset C_{\beta}$, where $\gamma = \beta/\alpha$. By Lemma 5.5, the Hausdorff ϕ_{Δ} - measure of C_{β} is $+\infty$, where ϕ_{Δ} is the gauge function there in Lemma 5.5. Regard Δ as a function of β , it is strictly monotone. Lemma 5.4 then tells that ϕ_{Δ} measure of $C_{\beta+1/k}$ is 0. Thus, arguing as in the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we see that $\dim D_{\beta} \geq \Delta$. Since $D_{\beta} \subset C_{\beta}$, we have completed the proof for $\alpha \leq \beta < \alpha(1+1/\tau)$. In the case where $\beta = \alpha(1+1/\tau)$ we only need to show that $D_{\beta} = C_{\beta}$ is non-empty. This will follow if we can construct T_{γ} for $\gamma = 1+1/\tau$ by requiring the string of single branches to stretch from the level $[n/\gamma - \log n] - 1$ to n. This condition forces $\overline{d}(\mu, \xi) \geq \alpha(1+1/\tau)$, on using Lemmas 2.2 and 5.1. \square

Remark. In Theorem 5.1 we assume that Z has finite moments of all positive orders is mainly to apply Lemma 5.1. It seems possible that we may weaken the condition in Theorem 5.1 to, say, that Z has finite moments up to a certain order k_0 greater than one and get a spectrum involving $p_+ = \sup\{a \ge 1 : EZ^a < \infty\}$ (one critical value in [L3]).

Acknowledgement. This research was initiated while both authors attended the Newton Institute, Cambridge University, for the workshop on Fractals and Applications, and was completed while the second author visited Mathematical Institute of Academia Sinica at Taipei. Support from these institutes is appreciated. At the Newton Institute we benefited from extensive discussions with Yuval Peres about the limsup construction. The first author is partially supported by the grants NSC 1998/99 2115-M-002-017 and 1999/2000 2115-M-002-006

References

- [AN] K.B. Athreya and P. Ney(1972). Branching Processes. Springer.
- [B] N.H. Bingham (1988). On the limit of a supercritical branching processes. J. Appl. Probab. 25A 215-228.
- [DPRZ] A. Dembo, Y. Peres, J. Rosen and O. Zeitouni(1998). Thick points for spatial Brownian motions: multifractal analysis of occupation measure. Ann. Probab., to appear.
- [HT] X. Hu and S.J. Taylor (1997). The multifractal structure of stable occupation. Stoch. Processes Appl. 66 283-299.
- [H] T.E. Harris(1963). The Theory of Branching Processes. Springer.
- [KPX] D. Khoshnevisan, Y. Peres and Y. Xiao(1998). Limsup random fractals. Electr. J. Probab., to appear.

- [L1] Q.S. Liu(1996). The exact Hausdorff dimension of a branching set. Prob. Theory and Rel. Fields. 104 515-538.
- [L2] Q.S. Liu(1996). The growth of an entire characteristic function and the tail probabilities of the limit of a tree martingale. In: Trees(ed. B. Chauvin et al) Birkhouser.
- [L3] Q.S. Liu(1999). Local dimension of the branching measure on a Galton-Watson tree. Preprint submitted.
- [LS] Q.S. Liu and N.R. Shieh(1998). A uniform limit law for the branching measure on a Galton-Watson tree. Asian J. Math., to appear.
- [LPP] R. Lyons, R. Pemantle and Y. Peres (1995). Ergodic theory on Galton-Watson trees. Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. 15 593-619.
- [PP] R. Pemantle and Y. Peres(1995). Galton-Watson trees with the same mean have the same polar sets. Ann. Probab. 23 1102-1124.
- [PT] E.A. Perkins and S.J. Taylor(1998). The multifractal structure of super Brownian motions. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré 34 97-138.
- [ST] N.R. Shieh and S.J. Taylor(1998) Logarithmic multifractal spectrum of stable occupation measure. Stoch. Processes Appl. 75 249-261.