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## Branching Brownian motion with absorption

Begin with some configuration of particles in $(0, \infty)$.
Each particle independently moves according to standard onedimensional Brownian motion with drift $-\mu$.

Each particle splits into two at rate $1 / 2$ (more general supercritical offspring distributions can also be handled).

Particles are killed if they reach the origin.


## Motivation

1. Population models with selection. BBM with absorption can model populations subject to natural selection (Brunet, Derrida, Mueller, and Munier, 2006).
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2. Connections with PDEs. Position of right-most particle in BBM can be studied using the FKPP equation (McKean, 1975). Harris, Harris, and Kyprianou (2006) use BBM with absorption.
3. Applications to other processes. Techniques developed for BBM have been used to study extremes of:

- Two-dimensional discrete Gaussian free field (Bramson, Ding, and Zeitouni, 2016; Biskup and Louidor, 2018)
- Two-dimensional cover times (Belius and Kistler, 2017)
- Log-correlated Gaussian fields (Ding, Roy, and Zeitouni, 2017)


## Condition for extinction

Theorem (Kesten, 1978): Branching Brownian motion with absorption dies out almost surely if $\mu \geq 1$. If $\mu<1$, the process survives forever with positive probability.

Hereafter, we always assume $\mu=1$ (critical drift).

## Questions

- What is the probability that the process survives until a large time $t$ ?
- Conditional on survival until a large time $t$, what does the configuration of particles look like at time $t$ ? (Such results are known as Yaglom-type limit theorems.)


## Long-run survival probability

Let $N(t)$ be the number of particles at time $t$.
Let $\zeta=\inf \{t: N(t)=0\}$ be the extinction time.
Let $c=\left(3 \pi^{2} / 2\right)^{1 / 3}$.
Theorem (Kesten, 1978): There exists $K>0$ such that for each $x>0$, we have for sufficiently large $t$ :

$$
x e^{x-c t^{1 / 3}-K(\log t)^{2}} \leq P_{x}(\zeta>t) \leq(1+x) e^{x-c t^{1 / 3}+K(\log t)^{2}}
$$

Theorem (BMS, 2018+): There is a positive constant $C$ such that for all $x>0$, we have as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
P_{x}(\zeta>t) \sim C x e^{x-c t^{1 / 3}}
$$

## Remark:

- Derrida and Simon (2007) obtained result nonrigorously.
- The weaker bound $C_{1} x e^{x-c t^{1 / 3}} \leq P_{x}(\zeta>t) \leq C_{2} x e^{x-c t^{1 / 3}}$ was obtained by BBS (2014).


## The process conditioned on survival

Let $N(t)$ be the number of particles at time $t$.
Let $R(t)$ be the position of the right-most particle at time $t$.

Theorem (Kesten, 1978): There are positive constants $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ such that for all $x>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{x}\left(N(t)>e^{K_{1} t^{2 / 9}(\log t)^{2 / 3}} \mid \zeta>t\right) & =0 \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{x}\left(R(t)>K_{2} t^{2 / 9}(\log t)^{2 / 3} \mid \zeta>t\right) & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem (BMS, 2018+): If the process starts with one particle at $x>0$, then conditional on survival until time $t$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
t^{-2 / 9} \log N(t) \Rightarrow V^{1 / 3} \\
t^{-2 / 9} R(t) \Rightarrow V^{1 / 3},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $V$ has an exponential distribution with mean $3 c^{2}$.

## First moment calculations

Consider a single Brownian particle started at $x$, with drift of -1 and absorption at 0 . The "density" of the position of the particle at time $t$ is

$$
p_{t}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi t}}\left(e^{-(x-y)^{2} / 2 t}-e^{-(x+y)^{2} / 2 t}\right) \cdot e^{x-y-t / 2}
$$

For BBM with absorption, let $X_{1}(t) \geq X_{2}(t) \geq \cdots \geq X_{N(t)}(t)$ be the positions of particles at time $t$. Let

$$
q_{t}(x, y)=e^{t / 2} p_{t}(x, y)
$$

Theorem (Many-to-One Lemma): If $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
E_{x}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} f\left(X_{i}(t)\right)\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(y) q_{t}(x, y) d y
$$

Take $f=\mathbf{1}_{A}$ to get expected number of particles in a set $A$.

## Second moment calculations

Theorem (Ikeda, Nagasawa, Watanabe, 1969): If $f:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then
$E_{x}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} f\left(X_{i}(t)\right)\right)^{2}\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(y)^{2} q_{t}(x, y) d y+$
$2 \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} f\left(y_{1}\right) f\left(y_{2}\right) q_{s}(x, z) q_{t-s}\left(z, y_{1}\right) q_{t-s}\left(z, y_{2}\right) d y_{1} d y_{2} d z d s$.

Moments are dominated by rare events in which one particle drifts unusually far to the right and has many surviving offspring.

Truncation: kill particles that get too far to the right.
Moments can be calculated the same way, after adjusting $q_{t}(x, y)$.

## Branching Brownian motion in a strip

Consider Brownian motion killed at 0 and $L$. If there is initially one particle at $x$, the "density" of the position at time $t$ is:

$$
p_{t}^{L}(x, y)=\frac{2}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi^{2} n^{2} t / 2 L^{2}} \sin \left(\frac{n \pi x}{L}\right) \sin \left(\frac{n \pi y}{L}\right)
$$

Add branching at rate $1 / 2$ and drift of -1 , "density" becomes:

$$
q_{t}^{L}(x, y)=p_{t}^{L}(x, y) \cdot e^{(x-y)-t / 2} \cdot e^{t / 2}
$$

meaning that if $A \subset(0, L)$, the expected number of particles in $A$ at time $t$ is $\int_{A} q_{t}^{L}(x, y) d y$. For $t \gg L^{2}$,

$$
q_{t}^{L}(x, y) \approx \frac{2}{L} e^{-\pi^{2} t / 2 L^{2}} \cdot e^{x} \sin \left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right) \cdot e^{-y} \sin \left(\frac{\pi y}{L}\right)
$$

- The expected number of future descendants of a particle at $x$ is proportional to $e^{x} \sin (\pi x / L)$.
- For $t \gg L^{2}$, particles settle into a fairly stable configuration, number of particles near $y$ is proportional to $e^{-y} \sin (\pi y / L)$.


## A curved right boundary

Fix $t>0$. Let $L_{t}(s)=c(t-s)^{1 / 3}$, where $c=\left(3 \pi^{2} / 2\right)^{1 / 3}$.
Consider BBM with particles killed at 0 and $L_{t}(s)$.
This right boundary was previously used by Kesten (1978).
Roughly, a particle that gets within a constant of $L_{t}(s)$ at time $s$ has a good chance to have a descendant alive at time $t$.


Use methods of Novikov (1981) and Roberts (2012) to approximate the density and then compute moments.

Density formula resembles that for BBM in a strip.

## Beyond truncated moment calculations

When particles are killed at 0 and at $L_{t}(s)$ :

- Second moment is too large to conclude that the number of particles in the system stays close to its expectation.
- The probability that a particle is killed at $L_{t}(s)$ does not tend to zero, though the expected number of such particles is bounded by a constant.

Idea: kill particles instead at $L_{t}(s)+A$ :

- Let $A \rightarrow-\infty$, and then the number of particles stays close to its expectation.
- Let $A \rightarrow \infty$, and then the probability that a particle hits the right boundary tends to zero.

Because we can't do both, proceed as follows:

- Stop particles when they reach $L_{t}(s)-A$, for large $A$.
- After a particle hits $L_{t}(s)-A$, follow the descendants of this particle until they reach $L_{t}(s)-A-y$ for large $y$. Then reincorporate them into the process.


## The particles that hit $L_{t}(s)-A$

Consider branching Brownian motion with drift -1 started with one particle at $L$.

Let $M(y)$ be the number of particles that reach $L-y$, if particles are killed upon reaching $L-y$.

Conditional on $M(x)$, the distribution of $M(x+y)$ is the distribution of $M(x)$ independent random variables with the same distribution as $M(y)$. Therefore, $(M(y), y \geq 0)$ is a continuoustime branching process.

Theorem (Neveu, 1987): There exists a random variable $W$ such that almost surely

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} y e^{-y} M(y)=W
$$

Proposition (Maillard, 2012; Berestycki, Berestycki, Schweinsberg, 2013):

$$
P(W>x) \sim \frac{1}{x} \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty .
$$

## Putting the pieces together

Consider BBM with drift at rate -1 , branching at rate $1 / 2$, and absorption at 0 .

Let $N(s)$ be the number of particles at time $s$.
Let $X_{1}(s) \geq X_{2}(s) \geq \cdots \geq X_{N(s)}(s)$ be the positions of the particles at time $s$.

Let $t>0$. For $0 \leq s \leq t$, let

$$
Z_{t}(s)=\sum_{i=1}^{N(s)} L_{t}(s) e^{X_{i}(s)-L_{t}(s)} \sin \left(\frac{\pi X_{i}(s)}{L_{t}(s)}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\left\{0<X_{i}(s)<L_{t}(s)\right\}}
$$

The processes $\left(Z_{t}(s), 0 \leq s \leq t\right)$ converge as $t \rightarrow \infty$ :

- The limit process has jumps of size greater than $x$ at a rate proportional to $x^{-1}$.
- The jump rate at time $s$ is also proportional to $Z_{t}(s)$.

Limit is a continuous-state branching process.

Continuous-state branching processes (Lamperti, 1967)

A continuous-state branching process (CSBP) is a $[0, \infty)$-valued Markov process $(X(t), t \geq 0)$ whose transition functions satisfy

$$
p_{t}(a+b, \cdot)=p_{t}(a, \cdot) * p_{t}(b, \cdot)
$$

CSBPs arise as scaling limits of Galton-Watson processes.
Let $(Y(s), s \geq 0)$ be a Lévy process with no negative jumps with $Y(0)>0$, stopped when it hits zero. Let

$$
S(t)=\inf \left\{u: \int_{0}^{u} Y(s)^{-1} d s>t\right\}
$$

The process $(X(t), t \geq 0)$ defined by $X(t)=Y(S(t))$ is a CSBP. Every CSBP can be obtained this way.

If $Y(0)=a$, then $E\left[e^{-q Y(t)}\right]=e^{a q+t \Psi(q)}$, where

$$
\Psi(q)=\alpha q+\beta q^{2}+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(e^{-q x}-1+q x 1_{\{x \leq 1\}}\right) \nu(d x)
$$

The function $\Psi$ is the branching mechanism of the CSBP.

## Convergence to the CSBP

Neveu (1992) considered the CSBP with branching mechanism

$$
\Psi(q)=a q+b q \log q=c q+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(e^{-q x}-1+q x \mathbf{1}_{\{x \leq 1\}}\right) b x^{-2} d x .
$$

Rate of jumps of size at least $x$ is proportional to $x^{-1}$.

Theorem (BMS, 2018+): If $Z_{t}(0) \Rightarrow Z$ and $L_{t}(0)-R(0) \rightarrow_{p} \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then the finite-dimensional distributions of

$$
\left(Z_{t}\left(\left(1-e^{-u}\right) t\right), u \geq 0\right)
$$

converge as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to the finite-dimensional distributions of ( $X(u), u \geq 0$ ), which is a CSBP with $X(0)={ }_{d} Z$ and branching mechanism $\Psi(q)=a q+\frac{2}{3} q \log q$.

Note: The value of the constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is unknown.

## Asymptotics for the CSBP

Let $(X(u), u \geq 0)$ be a CSBP with $X(0)=x>0$ and branching mechanism $\Psi(q)=a q+\frac{2}{3} q \log q$.

Results of Gray (1974) give

$$
P_{x}(0<X(u)<\infty \text { for all } u \geq 0)=1 .
$$

Letting $\alpha=e^{-3 a / 2}$,

$$
P_{x}\left(\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} X(u)=\infty\right)=1-e^{-\alpha x}, \quad P_{x}\left(\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} X(u)=0\right)=e^{-\alpha x} .
$$

Interpretation (Bertoin, Fontbona, Martinez, 2008): The CSBP at time zero may include "prolific individuals", whose number of descendants at time $u$ tends to infinity as $u \rightarrow \infty$. The number of prolific individuals has a Poisson distribution with mean $\alpha x$.

Survival of BBM until time $t$ corresponds to $\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} X(u)=\infty$.

## Survival probability for BBM

Theorem (BMS, 2018+): Assume the initial configuration of particles is deterministic, but may depend on $t$. Recall that $\zeta$ denotes the extinction time.

- If $Z_{t}(0) \rightarrow z$ and $L_{t}(0)-R(0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P(\zeta>t)=1-e^{-\alpha z}
$$

- If $Z_{t}(0) \rightarrow 0$ and $L_{t}(0)-R(0) \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
P(\zeta>t) \sim \alpha Z_{t}(0)
$$

- If at time zero there is only a single particle at $x$, then

$$
P_{x}(\zeta>t) \sim \alpha \pi x e^{x-L_{t}(0)}
$$

- If at time zero there is a single particle at $L_{t}(0)+x$, then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{L_{t}(0)+x}(\zeta>t)=\phi(x)
$$

where $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x)=1$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} \phi(x)=0$.

## Asymptotics of survival time

Theorem (BMS, 2018+): Assume the initial configuration is deterministic and satisfies $Z_{t}(0) \rightarrow 0$ and $L_{t}(0)-R(0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Conditional on $\zeta>t$,

$$
t^{-2 / 3}(\zeta-t) \Rightarrow V
$$

where $V$ has an exponential distribution with mean $3 / c$.
Proof: By the previous result,

$$
P\left(\zeta>t+y t^{2 / 3} \mid \zeta>t\right)=\frac{P\left(\zeta>t+y t^{2 / 3}\right)}{P(\zeta>t)} \sim \frac{\alpha Z_{t+y t^{2 / 3}(0)}}{\alpha Z_{t}(0)} \sim e^{-c y / 3} .
$$

## A Yaglom-type result

For BBM at time $t$ that will go extinct at time $t+s$ :

- $Z_{t+s}(t)$ will not be close to 0 or $\infty$.
- "density" of particles near $y$ is proportional to $e^{-y} \sin \left(\frac{\pi y}{L_{t+s}(t)}\right)$.
- right-most particle is near $L_{t+s}(t)=c s^{1 / 3}$.
- $N(t)$ is of the order $s^{-1} e^{L_{t+s}(t)}$, so $\log N(t) \approx c s^{1 / 3}$.

Conditional on $\zeta>t$, the process will survive an additional $t^{2 / 3} V$ time units. Then $R(t) \approx \log N(t) \approx c\left(t^{2 / 3} V\right)^{1 / 3}=c t^{2 / 9} V^{1 / 3}$.

Theorem (BMS, 2018+): Assume the initial configuration is deterministic and satisfies $Z_{t}(0) \rightarrow 0$ and $L_{t}(0)-R(0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Conditional on $\zeta>t$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
t^{-2 / 9} \log N(t) \Rightarrow c V^{1 / 3} \\
t^{-2 / 9} R(t) \Rightarrow c V^{1 / 3}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The conditioned BBM before time $t$

Theorem (BMS, 2018+): Assume the initial configuration is deterministic and satisfies $Z_{t}(0) \rightarrow 0$ and $L_{t}(0)-R(0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Conditional on $\zeta>t$, the finite-dimensional distributions of the processes

$$
\left(Z_{t}\left(\left(1-e^{-u}\right) t\right), u \geq 0\right)
$$

converge as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to the finite-dimensional distributions of a CSBP with branching mechanism $\Psi(q)=a q+\frac{2}{3} q \log q$ started at 0 and conditioned to go to infinity.

Remark: The law of the CSBP started at $x>0$ and conditioned to go to infinity has a limit as $x \rightarrow 0$. The limit can be interpreted as the process that keeps track of the number of descendants of a single prolific individual.

