Bessel S(P)DEs: a story of renormalisation

Henri Elad Altman, Sorbonne Université, (in joint work with Lorenzo Zambotti)

November 7 2018, Isaac Newton Institute, Cambridge

Motivation: model the random evolution of a continuous interface over an obstacle.

At equilibrium, such a system can be modeled by a *Bessel bridge*. The dynamics can be modeled by a *Bessel SPDE*. The corresponding equations involve a renormalisation of local times.

1 Bessel processes and Bessel bridges





Squared Bessel processes

Let $\delta \geq 0$, $y \geq 0$, and $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a BM.

By Yamada-Watanabe's Theorem, there exists a unique (strong) solution $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ of

$$Y_t = y + \int_0^t 2\sqrt{|Y_s|} \,\mathrm{d}B_s + \delta t,$$

and moreover $Y \ge 0$ so that |Y| = Y.

Squared Bessel processes

Let $\delta \geq 0$, $y \geq 0$, and $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ a BM.

By Yamada-Watanabe's Theorem, there exists a unique (strong) solution $(Y_t)_{t>0}$ of

$$Y_t = y + \int_0^t 2\sqrt{|Y_s|} \,\mathrm{d}B_s + \delta t,$$

and moreover $Y \ge 0$ so that |Y| = Y.

 $(Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is called a Squared Bessel Process.

Many quantities related to these processes can be computed explicitly (see Pitman-Yor).

We set $x := \sqrt{y}$, and define

$$X_t := \sqrt{Y_t}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

 $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a continuous Markov process on \mathbb{R}_+ , called δ -dimensional Bessel process started from x.

We set $x := \sqrt{y}$, and define

$$X_t := \sqrt{Y_t}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

 $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a continuous Markov process on \mathbb{R}_+ , called δ -dimensional Bessel process started from x.

Bessel processes have several remarkable properties and arise as scaling limits of various discrete models (e.g. pinning models, see Deuschel-Giacomin-Zambotti, 2005).

We set $x := \sqrt{y}$, and define

$$X_t := \sqrt{Y_t}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

 $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a continuous Markov process on \mathbb{R}_+ , called δ -dimensional Bessel process started from x.

Bessel processes have several remarkable properties and arise as scaling limits of various discrete models (e.g. pinning models, see Deuschel-Giacomin-Zambotti, 2005).

Example (The values $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = 3$)

If *B* is a standard Brownian motion, then $X_t := |B_t|$ is a 1-dimensional Bessel process.

We set $x := \sqrt{y}$, and define

$$X_t := \sqrt{Y_t}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

 $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a continuous Markov process on \mathbb{R}_+ , called δ -dimensional Bessel process started from x.

Bessel processes have several remarkable properties and arise as scaling limits of various discrete models (e.g. pinning models, see Deuschel-Giacomin-Zambotti, 2005).

Example (The values $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = 3$)

If *B* is a standard Brownian motion, then $X_t := |B_t|$ is a 1-dimensional Bessel process.

On the other hand, the conditional law:

 $\mathcal{L}(B_t, t \in [0,1] \mid B \geq 0)$

is equivalent to the law of a 3-dimensional Bessel process on [0, 1].

Diffusion local times of a Bessel process

Question: Given $b \ge 0$, how much time does a δ -dimensional Bessel process $(X_t)_{t\ge 0}$ spend at the level b ?

Proposition

There exists a continuous process $(\ell_t^b)_{b\geq 0,t\geq 0}$ such that, almost-surely, for all $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ Borel and $t \geq 0$:

$$\int_0^t f(X_s) \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^\infty f(b) \,\ell_t^b \,b^{\delta-1} \,\mathrm{d}b.$$

Diffusion local times of a Bessel process

Question: Given $b \ge 0$, how much time does a δ -dimensional Bessel process $(X_t)_{t\ge 0}$ spend at the level b ?

Proposition

There exists a continuous process $(\ell_t^b)_{b\geq 0,t\geq 0}$ such that, almost-surely, for all $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ Borel and $t \geq 0$:

$$\int_0^t f(X_s) \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^\infty f(b) \,\ell_t^b \,b^{\delta-1} \,\mathrm{d}b.$$

What can we say about $(\ell_t^0)_{t\geq 0}$ or, equivalently, about the contact set $Z(X) := \{t \geq 0, X_t = 0\}$?

Diffusion local times of a Bessel process

Question: Given $b \ge 0$, how much time does a δ -dimensional Bessel process $(X_t)_{t\ge 0}$ spend at the level b ?

Proposition

There exists a continuous process $(\ell_t^b)_{b\geq 0,t\geq 0}$ such that, almost-surely, for all $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ Borel and $t \geq 0$:

$$\int_0^t f(X_s) \,\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^\infty f(b) \,\ell_t^b \,b^{\delta-1} \,\mathrm{d}b.$$

What can we say about $(\ell_t^0)_{t\geq 0}$ or, equivalently, about the contact set $Z(X) := \{t \geq 0, X_t = 0\}$?

Proposition

- If $\delta \geq 2$, then $Z(X) = \emptyset$ a.s.
- If $\delta < 2$, then Z(X) is infinite a.s.

What equation does a δ -dimensional Bessel process X satisfy? For $\delta > 1$ we have:

$$X_t = x + \frac{\delta - 1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{1}{X_s} \,\mathrm{d}s + B_t.$$

What equation does a δ -dimensional Bessel process X satisfy? For $\delta > 1$ we have:

$$X_t = x + \frac{\delta - 1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{1}{X_s} \,\mathrm{d}s + B_t.$$

For $\delta = 1$:

$$X_t = x + \frac{1}{2}\ell_t^0 + B_t.$$

What equation does a δ -dimensional Bessel process X satisfy? For $\delta > 1$ we have:

$$X_t = x + \frac{\delta - 1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{1}{X_s} \,\mathrm{d}s + B_t.$$

For $\delta = 1$:

$$X_t = x + \frac{1}{2}\ell_t^0 + B_t.$$

Finally, for $\delta \in (0, 1)$:

$$X_t = x + \frac{\delta - 1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{b} (\ell_t^b - \ell_t^0) b^{\delta - 1} \mathrm{d}b + B_t,$$

where we see a renormalisation of local times $\ell_t^b - \ell_t^0$ appearing.

Definition

Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a δ -dimensional Bessel process started from 0. Then the probability law:

$$\mathcal{L}(X_t, t \in [0, 1] | X_1 = 0)$$

is known as the law of a δ -dimensional Bessel bridge from 0 to 0 on [0, 1].

Definition

Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a δ -dimensional Bessel process started from 0. Then the probability law:

$$\mathcal{L}(X_t, t \in [0,1] | X_1 = 0)$$

is known as the law of a δ -dimensional Bessel bridge from 0 to 0 on [0, 1].

In a series of articles of the early 2000s, L. Zambotti introduced a family of parabolic SPDEs with properties analogous to Bessel processes. In particular, they admit Bessel bridges of dimension $\delta \geq 3$ as reversible measure.

In 1992, Nualart and Pardoux introduced and solved the stochastic heat equation with reflection on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \xi + \eta, \\ u \ge 0, \quad d\eta \ge 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0,1]} u \, d\eta = 0. \end{cases}$$
(B₃)

In 1992, Nualart and Pardoux introduced and solved the stochastic heat equation with reflection on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \xi + \eta, \\ u \ge 0, \quad d\eta \ge 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0,1]} u \, d\eta = 0. \end{cases}$$
(B₃)

where ξ is a space-time white noise. In 2001, Lorenzo proved that this SPDE has the law of a 3-dimensional Bessel bridge (i.e. of a Brownian excursion) as invariant measure.

In 1992, Nualart and Pardoux introduced and solved the stochastic heat equation with reflection on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \xi + \eta, \\ u \ge 0, \quad d\eta \ge 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0,1]} u \, d\eta = 0. \end{cases}$$
(B₃)

where ξ is a space-time white noise. In 2001, Lorenzo proved that this SPDE has the law of a 3-dimensional Bessel bridge (i.e. of a Brownian excursion) as invariant measure.

Let $\delta > 3$ and $c(\delta) := \frac{(\delta-1)(\delta-3)}{8} > 0$. Lorenzo considered the stochastic heat equation with repulsion from 0:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{c(\delta)}{u^3} + \xi, \qquad (B_{\delta})$$

where $u \ge 0$. This SPDE has the law of a δ -dimensional Bessel bridge as reversible measure.

The above SPDEs arise as scaling limits of various discrete interface models :

- $\nabla \phi$ interface models (Funaki-Olla, 2000 and Zambotti, 2004)
- weakly asymmetric interfaces (Etheridge-Labbé, 2014).

The above SPDEs arise as scaling limits of various discrete interface models :

- $\nabla \phi$ interface models (Funaki-Olla, 2000 and Zambotti, 2004)
- weakly asymmetric interfaces (Etheridge-Labbé, 2014).

They have several remarkable properties reminiscent of Bessel processes:

- diffusive scaling (1 2 4)
- hitting of 0 (see Dalang-Mueller-Zambotti, 2006)

The above SPDEs arise as scaling limits of various discrete interface models :

- $\nabla \phi$ interface models (Funaki-Olla, 2000 and Zambotti, 2004)
- weakly asymmetric interfaces (Etheridge-Labbé, 2014).

They have several remarkable properties reminiscent of Bessel processes:

- diffusive scaling (1 2 4)
- hitting of 0 (see Dalang-Mueller-Zambotti, 2006)

What about $\delta < 3$? This question has been open since 2001, and is particularly relevant for $\delta = 1$, in view of scaling limits of dynamical critical pinning models.

Lorenzo and I have recently proved integration by parts formulae on the laws of Bessel bridges of dimension $\delta < 3$. These formulae suggest that, when $\delta < 3$, the SPDE should be of the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathsf{drift} + \xi$$

where the drift should contain renormalised local times.

Lorenzo and I have recently proved integration by parts formulae on the laws of Bessel bridges of dimension $\delta < 3$. These formulae suggest that, when $\delta < 3$, the SPDE should be of the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathsf{drift} + \xi$$

where the drift should contain renormalised local times.

More precisely, for all $x \in (0, 1)$, there should exist a process $(\ell_{t,x}^b)_{b,t \ge 0}$, such that:

$$orall f:\mathbb{R}_+ o\mathbb{R}_+,\quad \int_0^t f\left(u(s,x)
ight)ds=\int_0^{+\infty}f(b)\,\ell^b_{t,x}\,b^{\delta-1}\,\mathrm{d}b.$$

Lorenzo and I have recently proved integration by parts formulae on the laws of Bessel bridges of dimension $\delta < 3$. These formulae suggest that, when $\delta < 3$, the SPDE should be of the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathsf{drift} + \xi$$

where the drift should contain renormalised local times.

More precisely, for all $x \in (0, 1)$, there should exist a process $(\ell_{t,x}^b)_{b,t \ge 0}$, such that:

$$orall f:\mathbb{R}_+ o\mathbb{R}_+,\quad \int_0^t f\left(u(s,x)
ight)ds=\int_0^{+\infty}f(b)\,\ell^b_{t,x}\,b^{\delta-1}\,\mathrm{d}b.$$

Moreover, for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and t > 0, we should have:

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\ell^b_{t,x}\right|_{b=0}=0.$$

Then, for $\delta \in (1,3)$, the drift would be formally given by :

$$c(\delta) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{b^3} \left(\ell_t^b(x) - \ell_t^0(x) \right) b^{\delta-1} \mathrm{d}b$$

Then, for $\delta \in (1,3)$, the drift would be formally given by :

$$c(\delta) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{b^3} \left(\ell_t^b(x) - \ell_t^0(x) \right) b^{\delta-1} \mathrm{d}b$$

Similarly, for $\delta \in (0, 1)$, the drift in the SPDE would be formally given by:

$$c(\delta)\int_0^{+\infty} \left.\frac{1}{b^3} \left(\ell_t^b(x) - \ell_t^0(x) - \frac{b^2}{2}\partial_u^2 \ell_t^u(x)\right|_{u=0}\right) b^{\delta-1} \,\mathrm{d}b.$$

Then, for $\delta \in (1,3)$, the drift would be formally given by :

$$c(\delta) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{b^3} \left(\ell_t^b(x) - \ell_t^0(x) \right) b^{\delta-1} \mathrm{d}b$$

Similarly, for $\delta \in (0, 1)$, the drift in the SPDE would be formally given by:

$$c(\delta)\int_0^{+\infty} \left.\frac{1}{b^3} \left(\ell_t^b(x) - \ell_t^0(x) - \frac{b^2}{2}\partial_u^2 \ell_t^u(x)\right|_{u=0}\right) b^{\delta-1} \mathrm{d}b.$$

Finally, for $\delta = 1$, the drift would be formally given by: $-\frac{1}{8}\partial_u^2\ell_t^u(x)\Big|_{u=0},$

so that we could write the following SPDE for $\delta = 1$:

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u - \frac{1}{8} \partial_t \partial_u^2 \ell_t^u(x) \Big|_{u=0} + \xi, \qquad (B_1)$$

Then, for $\delta \in (1,3)$, the drift would be formally given by :

$$c(\delta) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{b^3} \left(\ell_t^b(x) - \ell_t^0(x) \right) b^{\delta-1} \mathrm{d}b$$

Similarly, for $\delta \in (0, 1)$, the drift in the SPDE would be formally given by:

$$c(\delta)\int_0^{+\infty} \left.\frac{1}{b^3}\left(\ell_t^b(x)-\ell_t^0(x)-\frac{b^2}{2}\partial_u^2\ell_t^u(x)\right|_{u=0}\right)b^{\delta-1}\,\mathrm{d}b.$$

Finally, for $\delta = 1$, the drift would be formally given by: $-\frac{1}{8}\partial_u^2\ell_t^u(x)\Big|_{u=0},$

so that we could write the following SPDE for $\delta = 1$:

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u - \frac{1}{8} \partial_t \partial_u^2 \ell_t^u(x) \Big|_{u=0} + \xi, \qquad (B_1)$$

describing the scaling limit of dynamical critical pinning models.

The Bessel-like SPDEs for $\delta < 3$ as written above are still essentially conjectural.

The Bessel-like SPDEs for $\delta < 3$ as written above are still essentially conjectural.

We do have integration by parts formulae for the laws of δ -dimensional Bessel bridges, for $\delta < 3$.

The Bessel-like SPDEs for $\delta < 3$ as written above are still essentially conjectural.

We do have integration by parts formulae for the laws of δ -dimensional Bessel bridges, for $\delta < 3$.

By Dirichlet form methods, we constructed a weak form of the SPDE for $\delta = 1$, for stationary solutions. The case $\delta = 2$ can be treated similarly.

The Bessel-like SPDEs for $\delta < 3$ as written above are still essentially conjectural.

We do have integration by parts formulae for the laws of δ -dimensional Bessel bridges, for $\delta < 3$.

By Dirichlet form methods, we constructed a weak form of the SPDE for $\delta = 1$, for stationary solutions. The case $\delta = 2$ can be treated similarly.

The above SPDEs lie at the crossroads of major open questions :

- local times for SPDEs ?
- pathwise well-posedness ?
- Strong Feller property ?
- the associated Dirichlet forms for $\delta \neq 1, 2$?

What is the relation between all the SPDEs above ?

What is the relation between all the SPDEs above ?

One can rewrite all the SPDEs above in a unified way, using a family of Schwartz distributions $(\mu_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}}$ acting as fractional derivatives.

$$\mu_{\alpha}(\,\mathrm{d} x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \,\mathrm{d} x.$$

$$\mu_{\alpha}(\,\mathrm{d} x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \,\mathrm{d} x.$$

For $\alpha = -k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the Schwartz distribution:

 $\langle \mu_{\alpha}, \varphi \rangle := (-1)^k \varphi^{(k)}(0), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R}_+).$

$$\mu_{\alpha}(\,\mathrm{d} x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \,\mathrm{d} x.$$

For $\alpha = -k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the Schwartz distribution:

$$\langle \mu_lpha, arphi
angle := (-1)^k arphi^{(k)}(0), \quad arphi \in \mathit{C_c}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Finally, if $-k - 1 < \alpha < -k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set :

$$\langle \mu_{\alpha}, \varphi \rangle := rac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha-1} \left(\varphi(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{k} rac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} \varphi^{(j)}(0)
ight) \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad \varphi \in C_{c}(\mathbb{R}_{+}).$$

$$\mu_{\alpha}(\,\mathrm{d} x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} \,\mathrm{d} x.$$

For $\alpha = -k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the Schwartz distribution:

$$\langle \mu_lpha, arphi
angle := (-1)^k arphi^{(k)}(\mathsf{0}), \quad arphi \in \mathit{C_c}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Finally, if $-k - 1 < \alpha < -k$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set :

$$\langle \mu_{\alpha}, \varphi \rangle := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} \left(\varphi(x) - \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \varphi^{(j)}(0) \right) \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad \varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

The family of distributions $(\mu_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}}$ has numerous nice properties, and is very similar to Caputo's notion of fractional derivative (see also Ramanujan's Master Theorem).

A unified fomula

For all $\delta > 0$, the δ -Bessel SDE can be rewritten:

$$X_t = X_0 + rac{\Gamma(\delta)}{2} \langle \mu_{\delta-1}(\,\mathrm{d} b), \ell_t^b
angle + B_t.$$

On the other hand, for all $\delta > 0$, the δ - Bessel SPDE can be rewritten:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta)}{8(\delta-2)} \langle \mu_{\delta-3}(\mathrm{d}b), \ell_{t,x}^b \rangle + \xi.$$

A distinction result

Let u(t, x) be a stationary solution to the stochastic heat equation on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, 1]$, i.e.:

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u + \xi,$$

where u_0 is distributed as a Brownian Bridge from 0 to 0 on [0, 1].

A distinction result

Let u(t,x) be a stationary solution to the stochastic heat equation on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0,1]$, i.e.:

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u + \xi,$$

where u_0 is distributed as a Brownian Bridge from 0 to 0 on [0, 1]. $|u| = (|u_t|)_{t \ge 0}$ is a C_0 - valued stochastic process. It solves an SPDE, which is an instance of an infinite-dimensional Tanaka formula (Zambotti, 2006).

A distinction result

Let u(t,x) be a stationary solution to the stochastic heat equation on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times [0,1]$, i.e.:

$$\partial_t u = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 u + \xi,$$

where u_0 is distributed as a Brownian Bridge from 0 to 0 on [0, 1]. $|u| = (|u_t|)_{t\geq 0}$ is a C_0 - valued stochastic process. It solves an SPDE, which is an instance of an infinite-dimensional Tanaka formula (Zambotti, 2006).

Theorem

|u| does not have the same law as a stationary solution to the Bessel-like SPDE for $\delta = 1$.