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## Describe some of the mathematics of shuffling cards

Focus on "perfect shuffles"

- Where the questions came from
- Early work by Diaconis, Graham and Kantor
- New work joint with Carmen Amarra and Luke Morgan



## Perfect Shuffles

A deck containing 2 n cards:

- Cut into two piles of $n$ cards each
- Perfectly interleave them

Two different ways to do this:
Out - shuffle keeps top card on top


Starting order:

$$
(0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11) \quad(n=6)
$$

Picking up: card 0 , then card 6 , then card 1 , then card 7 and so on
After the out - shuffle: $\quad(0,6,1,7,2,8,3,9,4,10,5,11) \quad$ (top card stays on top)

## Perfect Shuffles

A deck containing 2 n cards:

- Cut into two piles of $n$ cards each
- Perfectly interleave them

Two different ways to do this:
In - shuffle pick up first from the 2nd pile


Starting order:

$$
(0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11) \quad(n=6)
$$

Picking up: card 6 , then card 0 , then card 7 , then card 1 and so on

After the in - shuffle:

$$
(6,0,7,1,8,2,9,3,10,4,11,5)
$$

## Perfect Shuffles

A deck containing 2 n cards:

- Cut into two piles of $n$ cards each
- Perfectly interleave them

Out - shuffles and in - shuffles


Questions (from card players and mathematicians):

- Can I get card 0 into any chosen position by repeated out or in shuffles?
- How many shuffles to get to a preferred ordering? Or the original order?
- How to alternate these shuffles to "randomize" the order?
- How many different orderings are possible?
- What kind of maths is going on?


## Perfect Shuffles

A deck containing 2 n cards:

- Cut into two piles of $n$ cards each
- Perfectly interleave them

Any sequence of in-shuffles and out-shuffles Is a "valid move"


Interpret as permutations of $\mathbf{2 n}$ cards: first the out-shuffle

| Starting order: | $(0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| After the out - shuffle: | $(0,6,1,7,2,8,3,9,4,10,5,11)$ |

Interpret as: (0)(1, 2, 4, 8, 5, 10, 9, 7, 3, 6) (11)

## Perfect Shuffles

A deck containing 2 n cards:

- Cut into two piles of $n$ cards each
- Perfectly interleave them

Any sequence of in-shuffles and out-shuffles Is a "valid move"


Interpret as permutations of $\mathbf{2 n}$ cards: and the in-shuffle
Starting order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11) \\
& (6,0,7,1,8,2,9,3,10,4,11,5)
\end{aligned}
$$

After the in- shuffle:

Interpret in-shuffle as: $(0,1,3,7,2,5,11,10,8,4,9,6)$

Quite different from the out-shuffle: $(0)(1,2,4,8,5,10,9,7,3,6)(11)$

## What is a shuffle group?

Shuffle group is the set of all permutations obtained by performing any sequence of (any length of) in- and out-shuffles


Shuffles: permutations of the numbers $\{0,1,2, \ldots, 2 n-1\}$ elements of the symmetric group $\operatorname{Sym}(2 n)$ of all permutations

Shuffle group subgroup of $\operatorname{Sym}(2 n)$ generated by the out- and in-shuffle.

How big is the shuffle group? What do we know about its structure?
Does it depend on n , and if so how?

## 1983 Diaconis, Graham and Kantor

## "The mathematics of perfect shuffles" Advances in App. Math



- Explain they're not the first - Section 3 gives overview of earlier work:
- Alex Elimsley 1957: importance of $o(2, \bmod 2 n-1)$
- Golomb 1961, deck of $2 n-1$ cards: Group order is $(2 n-1) \times o(2, \bmod 2 n-1)$
- Discuss applications to parallel processing algorithms (Section 4)


## And they work out the shuffle groups!

## 1983 Diaconis, Graham and Kantor

Very technical description - probably meaningless to most everyone
Write $\sigma=O$ and $\delta=$ swap the piles, so $I=\delta \circ \sigma$ and shuffle group is $\langle\sigma, \delta\rangle$,

Theorem 1.1. [8, Theorem 1] The structure of the shuffle group $\langle\sigma, \delta\rangle$ on $2 n$ points, where $n \geqslant 2$, is given in Table 1 .

| Size of each pile $n$ | Shuffle group $\langle\sigma, \delta\rangle$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $n=2^{f}$ for some positive integer $f$ | $C_{2} \imath C_{f+1}$ |
| $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4), n \geqslant 20$ and $n$ is not a power of 2 | $\operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{sgn}) \cap \operatorname{ker}(\overline{\operatorname{sgn}})$ |
| $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geqslant 5$ | $\operatorname{ker}(\overline{\operatorname{sgn}})$ |
| $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geqslant 10$ | $B_{n}$ |
| $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ | $\operatorname{ker}(\operatorname{sgnsgn})$ |
| $n=6$ | $C_{2}^{6} \rtimes \mathrm{PGL}(2,5)$ |
| $n=12$ | $C_{2}^{11} \rtimes M_{12}$ |

Table 1. The shuffle group on $2 n$ points

- $B_{n}=C_{2} \backslash \operatorname{Sym}(n) \leq \operatorname{Sym}(2 n)$, for $g \in B_{n}$
$\cdot \operatorname{sgn}(g)$ sign of $g$ on $2 n$ points, $\overline{\operatorname{sgn}(g)}$ sign of $g$ on $n$ parts of size 2
$\cdot M_{12}$ is the Mathieu group


## Composition tree for a group



Porter-Novelli, Wild Bear, October 2019

## 1983 Diaconis, Graham and Kantor

"Central symmetry" preserved by in-shuffle and out-shuffle

| Starting order: | $(0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| After the out-shuffle: | $(0,6,1,7,2,8,3,9,4,10,5,11)$ |
| After the in- shuffle: | $(6,0,7,1,8,2,9,3,10,4,11,5)$ |

Typical Shuffle group involves: $n$ or $n-1$ copies of $C_{2}$ (one for each pair) And Symmetric group: $\operatorname{Sym}(n) \quad$ permuting these pairs (sometimes only $\operatorname{Alt}(n)$ )

Typically shuffle group has size: $2^{n} \times n!>2^{n} e^{n}$

Extraordinary special case: $n=2^{f}$ where the group size is only

$$
2^{n} \times(f+1) \approx 2^{n} \log n
$$

Two small cases: $n=6,12$ where the group involves a group smaller than $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$, namely $P G L_{2}(5)$ or $M_{12}$ (a sporadic Mathieu group)

## Finite simple group classification

## The Periodic Table Of Finite Simple Groups

1
Dynkin Diagrams of Simple Lie Algebras


| $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} A_{3}(4) \\ B_{2}(3) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3}(3)$ | $D_{4}(2)$ | ${ }^{2} D_{4}\left(2^{2}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{2} A_{2}(9)$ |
| 25920 | 4583351680 | 174182400 | 197406720 | 6048 |
| $\begin{aligned} & B_{2}(4) \\ & 979200 \end{aligned}$ | $C_{3}(5)$ $\begin{gathered} 228501 \\ \text { ne wne uno } \end{gathered}$ <br> 0000 m 00000 | $D_{4}(3)$ <br> 4952179814400 | ${ }^{2} D_{4}\left(3^{2}\right)$ <br> 10151968619521 | ${ }^{2} A_{2}(16)$ <br> 62400 |
| $\begin{aligned} & B_{3}(2) \\ & 1451520 \end{aligned}$ | $C_{4}(3)$ <br> 65784756 65489600 654489600 | $D_{5}(2)$ <br> 23499295948800 | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} D_{5}\left(2^{2}\right) \\ { }^{25013} 379358400 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{2} A_{2}(25)$ <br> 126000 |
| $B_{2}(5)$ <br> 4680000 | $\begin{gathered} C_{3}(7) \\ 272457518 \\ 604535000 \end{gathered}$ | $D_{4}(5)$ <br> 8911539000 000000000 | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} D_{4}\left(4^{2}\right) \\ \left.\begin{array}{c} 6753647 \\ 1556485010 \end{array}\right) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{2} A_{3}(9)$ <br> 3265920 |
| $B_{2}(7)$ <br> 138297600 | $C_{3}(9)$ <br> 54025731402 4995841010 | $\begin{gathered} D_{5}(3) \\ 1289512799 \\ 441305139200 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{2} D_{4}\left(5^{2}\right)$ <br> 17880203250 06000 | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} A_{2}(64) \\ { }^{5515776} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & P s p_{2 n}(q) \\ & C_{n}(q) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & o_{2 n}^{-}(q) \\ & { }^{2} D_{n}\left(q^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> M-1) | $\begin{aligned} & P S U_{n \mid 1}(q) \\ & { }^{2} A_{n}\left(q^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> "-4nilia-(-15) |



## "many handed shuffler"

A deck containing kn cards:

- Cut into $k$ piles of $n$ cards each
- "Perfectly interleave them" - What should this mean?
- The out-shuffle $\sigma$ "picks up" top card from each pile in turn, and repeats
- For $k=3, n=4$ the $\operatorname{deck}(0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11)$
- is mapped to
( $0,4,8,1,5,9,2,6,10,3,7,11$ )
- With associated perm: $(0)(1,3,9,5,4)(2,6,7,10,8)(11)$
- $\quad \square \square \quad$ But what should the in-shuffle be?

Rethink the case $k=2$,

- In-shuffle same as "swap piles" followed by out-shuffle


## "many handed shuffler"

A deck containing kn cards:

- Cut into $k$ piles of $n$ cards each
- "Perfectly interleave them" - What should this mean?
- Will have the out-shuffle $\sigma$ "picks up" top card from each pile in turn, ...
- Allow an arbitrary subgroup $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ of the k piles to form the


## Generalised shuffle group $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n) \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k n)$

Not first to study many handed shuffler: 1980's

- Steve Medvedoff and Kent Morrison Math Magazine 1987
- John Cannon - early computational information.

1984 Computations: John Cannon \& Kent Morrison


## Medvedoff and Morrison 1987

Focused on the case of $\boldsymbol{G}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { S h }}(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { S y m }}(\boldsymbol{k}), \boldsymbol{n})$ that is $\boldsymbol{P}=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { S y m }}(\boldsymbol{k})$

1. $\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{n}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\boldsymbol{f}}$ ("power case") turned out to give "exceptionally small" $\mathbf{G}$

$$
\text { If } k n=k^{f} \text { then } \operatorname{Sh}\left(\operatorname{Sym}(k), k^{f-1}\right)=\operatorname{Sym}(k)^{f} \cdot C_{f}
$$

2. Worked out precisely when $\operatorname{Sh}(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { S y m }}(\boldsymbol{k}), n) \subseteq \operatorname{Alt}(\boldsymbol{k n})$ contains only even permutations [in terms of $n, k(\bmod 4)]$
3. Explored cases $k=3$ and $k=4$ computationally for small $n$ and
4. MM Conjecture: if $\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{n} \neq \boldsymbol{k}^{f}$ and $\boldsymbol{k n} \neq \mathbf{4} \cdot \mathbf{2}^{f}$ then $\operatorname{Sh}(\operatorname{Sym}(\boldsymbol{k}), n)$ should be Sym(kn) or Alt(kn)

## Amarra, Morgan and CEP

## Explored $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ for general $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$

- Show the "power case" where $k n=k^{f}$ is also special for general P
- Show certain properties of $P$ lead to similar properties of $G$
- Confirm the MM-Conjecture [that G usually contains Alt(kn)] in 3 cases:
$-k>n$
$-k=2^{e} \geq 4$
$-k=\ell^{e}$ and $n=\ell^{f}$ for some $\ell, e$ and $f$
- We gained insights leading to new open questions


## Amarra, Morgan and CEP

Suppose $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ is transitive. Is $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ transitive?

- The answer is "yes"- transitive $P$ gives transitive $G$
- To see this use $\rho: P \rightarrow G$ where for $\tau \in \operatorname{Sym}(k), \rho(\tau)$ means "permute the piles according to $\tau$ "


In Example $k=3, n=4$
For $\tau=(0,1) \in \operatorname{Sym}(3)$,
$\rho(\tau)=(0,4)(1,5)(2,6)(3,7)$

Label Deck as $[k n]=\{0,1, \ldots, k n-1\}$
So set of piles is $[k]=\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$
Pile 0 has cards $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$

## Amarra，Morgan and CEP

Suppose $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ is transitive．Is $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ transitive？ $\rho(P)$ has the horizontal layers as its＂orbits＂
－We examine the shuffle $\sigma$ and check that it＂merges＂all these orbits
－The shuffle maps（ $0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11$ ）
－To

$$
(0,4,8,1,5,9,2,6,10,3,7,11)
$$

## In Example

$k=3, n=4$

```
So the shuffle }\sigma\mathrm{ is
(0)(1, 3, 9, 5, 4)(2, 6, 7, 10, 8)(11)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { So } 1 \rightarrow 3.1, & 2 \rightarrow 3.2, \quad 3 \rightarrow 3.3,4 \rightarrow 1=3.4-11, \quad 5 \rightarrow 4=3.5-11, \\
& 6 \rightarrow 7=3.6-11, \quad 7 \rightarrow 10=3.7-11,8 \rightarrow 2=3.8-22, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Amarra, Morgan and CEP

Suppose $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ is transitive. Is $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ transitive?

- We examine the shuffle $\sigma$ and check that it "merges" all these orbits


## 曾 <br> 

Each intransitive subgroup P of Sym(3) gives an in transitive shuffle group $G=$ $\operatorname{Sh}(P, 4)$ - but general case not settled

Shuffle:
$\sigma$ fixes 0 and otherwise maps card

$$
a
$$

To card
$k a(\bmod k n-1)$

The remainder between 1 and $k n-1$ after dividing $k a$ by $k n-1$

## What other properties are interesting?

Transitive subgroups of $\operatorname{Sym}(k)$


Primitive: "only invariant partitions are trivial" Good tools for studying primitive groups

## What other properties are interesting?

Transitive subgroups of $\operatorname{Sym}(k)$
$\{0,2 \mid 1,3\}$ invariant for
$P=\langle$ (0123), (13) $\rangle \leq \operatorname{Sym}(4)$
Transitive groups


Primitive: "only invariant partitions are trivial" Good tools for studying primitive groups

## Primitive groups: regular or not?

Regular permutation group $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ : for each point pair $(\alpha, \beta)$ exactly one $g \in P$ maps $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$

Fact: If $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ and $P$ is primitive and regular, then $k=p$ is prime and $P \cong C_{p}$ is cyclic of order $p$

- Recall: if $k=2$ then $P=\operatorname{Sym}(2) \cong C_{2}$ and $G=\operatorname{Sh}(\operatorname{Sym}(2), n)$ is not primitive ["central symmetry" preserved]

Theorem: If $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ is primitive and not regular then $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ primitive

## Primitive groups: regular or not?

Regular permutation group $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ : for each point pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ exactly one $g \in G$ maps $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$

Fact: If $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ and $G$ is primitive and regular, then $k=p$ is prime and $G \cong C_{p}$ is cyclic of order $p$

- Recall: if $k=2$ then $P=\operatorname{Sym}(2) \cong C_{2}$ and $G=\operatorname{Sh}(\operatorname{Sym}(2), n)$ is not primitive ["central symmetry" preserved]
- If $k=p$ is odd then $G=\operatorname{Sh}\left(C_{p}, n\right)$ is imprimitive if $n=p^{f}$

```
is Alt(kn) or Sym(kn) if n\not= p}\mp@subsup{p}{}{f}\mathrm{ IF p s 13, n < 1000
AND WE CONJECTURE THIS TRUE FOR ALL n}=\mp@subsup{p}{}{f
```


## Theorem: If $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ is primitive and not regular then $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ primitive

# Amarra, Morgan and CEP: what else, $k \geq 3$ ? 

1. The Power case: $n=k^{f}$, and any $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ implies that $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)=P \imath C_{1+f}$ [i.e. SMALL]
[generalises DGK and MM]
2. Other interesting structure preservation happens:

AFFINE STRUCTURE:

## [ $k$ ] = finite vector space and each <br> $x \in P$ acts as a nonsingular linear transformation followed by a translation

- If $P$ preserves an "affine structure" on $[k]=F_{p}^{e}$ then $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ preserves an affine structure on $[k n]$ "whenever it can"
- If $n=p^{f}$ then $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ preserves affine structure on $[k n]=F_{p}^{e+f}$
- If $n \neq p^{f}$ and if $k>n$, and if $P$ is 2 -transitive, then $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ is $\operatorname{Alt}(k n)$ of $\operatorname{Sym}(k n)$ [proves MM conjecture for this situation: relies on FSGC]


## Amarra, Morgan and CEP: what else, $k \geq 3$ ?

1. The Power case: $n=k^{f}$, and any $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ implies that $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)=P$ 乞 $C_{1+f}$ [i.e. SMALL] [generalises DGK and MM]
2. Other interesting structure preservation happens: PRODUCT STRUCTURE:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[k]=\ell \times \cdots \times \ell=[\ell]^{e} \text { and each } x \in P \text { acts independently }} \\
& \text { on each entry of a point }\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{e}\right) \text { with elements of } \operatorname{Sym}(\ell) \\
& \text { followed by a permutation of the entries }
\end{aligned}
$$

If $P$ preserves a "product structure" on $[k]=[\ell]^{e}$ then $G=$ $\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ preserves a product structure on $[k n]=[\ell]^{e+f}$ "whenever it can", that is, whenever $[n]=[\ell]^{f}$

```
Amarra, Morgan and CEP: what else, \(k \geq 3\) ? AUSTRALIA
```



2-Transitive: $\boldsymbol{P} \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ transitive and stabiliser $\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{0}}$ transitive on $[\boldsymbol{k}] \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$

We show: if $\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{n}>2$ and $P \leq \operatorname{Sym}(k)$ is 2-transitive then $G=\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ is 2-transitive.

We asked ourselves: Since finite 2-transitive groups are known explicitly (using the finite simple group classification) Can we be more specific?

Fact: P is 2-transitive implies P is "almost simple" or affine

1. Affine case: we already discussed
2. Almost simple case: we prove $\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ is almost simple
3. Moreover: if P is $\operatorname{Alt}(k)$ or $\operatorname{Sym}(k)$ then $\operatorname{Sh}(P, n)$ is Alt (kn) or Sym(kn)
[proving MM conjecture in this case]

## Cascading shuffle groups

One last investigation, then summary and questions:
Suppose $k=2^{e} \geq 4$ and $n \neq 2$-power.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } t \in\{1,2, \ldots, e\} \text {, the deck }[k n]=\left[2^{t} \cdot 2^{e-t} n\right] \text { and } \\
& G_{t}=\operatorname{Sh}\left(C_{2}^{t}, 2^{e-t} n\right) \text { all groups transitive on }[k n]
\end{aligned}
$$

How are they related? Note that $G_{1}$ is known from [DGK]
With much hard work and misgivings we proved that

$$
G_{1} \geq G_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \mathrm{G}_{e} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Generically: all the } G_{t} \\
& \text { equal and all preserve } \\
& \text { central symmetry }
\end{aligned}
$$

> Theorem If $k=2^{e} \geq 4$ and $n \neq$ 2-power, then $\operatorname{Sh}(\operatorname{Sym}(k), n)$ is $\operatorname{Alt}(\boldsymbol{k n})$ or $\operatorname{Sym}(\boldsymbol{k n})$

## Summary and questions for $k \geq 3$

MM Conjecture Still Open: if $k n \neq k^{f}$ and $k n \neq 4 \cdot 2^{f}$ then $\operatorname{Sh}(\operatorname{Sym}(k), n)$ should be $\operatorname{Alt}(k n)$ or $\operatorname{Sym}(k n)$

Our contribution: we have confirmed it for:

- $k>n$
- $k=2^{e}$
- $k=\ell^{e}$ and $n=\ell^{f}$ for some $\ell, e, f$

Work led to our own conjectures: first
If k is an odd prime, $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{n}$, and n is not a power of k , then $\operatorname{Sh}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{k}}, n\right)$ should be $\operatorname{Alt}(k n)$ or $\operatorname{Sym}(k n)$

## More questions

Diaconis is particularly interested in $P=\langle\tau\rangle$ where $\tau$ "reverses the piles"

Not much in [MM] or our paper [AMP]

But recent computational evidence suggests some very interesting groups arise. Perhaps at last we'll be able to make sense of the computational data from
John Cannon and Kent Morrison's data

## More questions

Diaconis is particularly interested in $P=\langle\tau\rangle$ where $\tau$ "reverses the piles"

Not much in [MM] or our paper [AMP]

But recent computational evidence suggests some very interesting groups arise. Perhaps at last we'll be able to make sense of the computational data from John Cannon and Kent Morrison's data

## Thank you



